Committee on Expenditures in the Executive Departments.

By Mr. MAY:

H. R. 7020. A bill amending Public Law No. 100, Seventy-seventh Congress (approved June 3, 1941); to the Committee on Military Affairs.

By Mr. PAGAN:

H.R. 7028. A bill to amend section 31, as amended, of the Organic Act of Puerto Rico; to the Committee on Insular Affairs.

By Mr. RIVERS:

H. Res. 476. Resolution authorizing an investigation by the Committee on Naval Affairs of the petroleum requirements of the United States Navy on the east coast of the United States and the sources of supply and methods of transportation of petroleum and petroleum products for the east coast; to the Committee on Rules.

H. Res. 477. Resolution to provide for expenses of study and investigation authorized by House Resolution 476; to the Committee on Accounts.

By Mr. VINSON of Georgia:

H. Res. 478. Resolution that the further expenses of conducting the studies and investigations authorized by House Resolution 162 of the present Congress, incurred by the Committee on Naval Affairs not to exceed \$50,000, including expenditures for the employment of clerical, stenographic, and other assistants, shall be paid out of the contingent fund of the House on vouchers authorized by such committee conducting such studies and investigations or any part thereof, signed by the chairman of the committee, and approved by the Committee on Accounts; to the Committee on Accounts.

PRIVATE BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 1 of rule XXII, private bills and resolutions were introduced and severally referred as follows:

By Mr. BATES of Kentucky:

H.R. 7021. A bill for the relief of Homer H. Keffer; to the Committee on Claims.

By Mr. JACKSON:

H. R. 7022. A bill for the relief of Jane Thayer; to the Committee on Claims. H. R. 7023. A bill for the relief of George

A. Rogers; to the Committee on Claims.

H.R. 7024. A bill for the relief of Joseph Lee Hauser; to the Committee on Military Affairs.

By Mr. JOHNSON of West Virginia: H. R. 7025. A bill to make Younghill Kaug eligible for naturalization; to the Committee on Immigration and Naturalization.

By Mr. VOORHIS of California:

H. R. 7026. A bill conferring jurisdiction upon the United States District Court for the Southern District of California over certain naturalization proceedings in the case of John Charles Thorn; to the Committee on Immigration and Naturalization.

By Mr. WOLVERTON of New Jersey: H. R. 7027. A bill granting an increase of pension to Sarah C. Powell; to the Committee on Invalid Pensions

PETITIONS, ETC.

Under clause 1 of rule XXII, petitions and papers were laid on the Clerk's desk and referred as follows:

2738. By Mr. TALLE: Petition of R. L. Valentine and sundry other citizens of St. Ansgar, Iowa, and vicinity, urging the enactment of Senate bill 860; to the Committee on

Military Affairs. 2739. Also, petition of sundry citizens of Clear Lake, Iowa, urging the enactment of Senate bill 860; to the Committee on Mili-

tary Affairs.

2740. By Mr. ROLPH: Resolution of Barbers Union, Local No. 148, San Francisco, Calif., relative to House bill 6486, to increase the salaries of certain postal employees; to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

2741. By Mr. LYNCH: Resolution of the Union Label Trades Department of the State of New York, opposing any proposed legislation tending to again bring out prohibition; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

SENATE

THURSDAY, APRIL 30, 1942

The Chaplain, the Very Reverend Ze-Barney T. Phillips, D. D., offered the fol-

O God, who art our eternal life, live Thou in us that we may live not in the narrowness of self-content, but in the breadth of sympathy and understanding that will quicken in others the wholesome and beneficent determination to live above the cares that drag men down. Cleanse Thou the heart of this Nation, that we may assimilate from the divers elements of life's changing scenes, something of rare use and beauty that will sanctify the common tasks of every day's most quiet need.

Come Thou as true, unerring wisdom to all who need guidance in thought and action, especially to Thy servants here assembled; come as an inspiring faith to all who walk in darkness or uncertainty; come as a supporting strength to those with burdens heavy and grievous to be borne, and if there be any such as have not sought Thine aid, save them from the blight of the unasking and unkneeling soul, for the sake of Him who hath showed us how to love and hath taught us how to pray, Jesus Christ, Thy Son, our Lord. Amen.

THE JOURNAL

On request of Mr. Barkley, and by unanimous consent, the reading of the Journal of the proceedings of the calendar day Tuesday, April 28, 1942, was dispensed with, and the Journal was approved.

MESSAGES FROM THE PRESIDENT-APPROVAL OF BILLS

Messages in writing from the President of the United States were communicated to the Senate by Mr. Miller, one of his secretaries, who also announced that the President had approved and signed the following acts:

On April 28, 1942:

S. 950. An act for the relief of Dora Thompson:

S. 984. An act for the relief of Mr. and Mrs. James C. Loard;

S. 1424. An act for the relief of Mary J. Crabtree:

S. 1619. An act for the relief of the Bell

Grocery Co.; S. 1757. An act for the relief of Clyde Kingery;

S. 1766. An act for the relief of John Snure, Jr.;

S. 1776. An act for the relief of Mrs. Agnes S. Hathaway;

S. 1961. An act to eliminate the prohibition against the filling of the first vacancy occurring in the office of district judge for the District of New Jersey;

S. 1991. An act for the relief of Mrs. William Meister;

S. 1993. An act for the relief of Pasqualina Lazzaro

S. 2017. An act to amend Private Act No. 446. Seventy-sixth Congress, approved July 2, 1940, and for other purposes;

S. 2116. An act for the relief of Frank S. Mathias and Elsie Mathias;

S. 2187. An act for the relief of Tom G. Irving, Thomas G. Irving, Sr., J. E. Irving, Mata D. Irving, L. T. Dale, and Amelia Dale;

S. 2212. An act to suspend during war or a national emergency declared by Congress or by the President the provisions of section 322 of the act of June 30, 1932, as amended, relating to certain leases; and

S. 2406. An act to authorize the Secretary of the Navy to proceed with the construction of certain public works, and for other

purposes

On April 29, 1942: S. 1801. An act for the relief of Eugene Jackson:

S. 2175. An act for the relief of Bibiano L. Meer; and

S. 2399. An act to amend the act entitled "An act to require the registration of certain persons employed by agencies to disseminate propaganda in the United States, and for other purposes," approved June 8, 1938, as amended.

MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE

A message from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Swanson, one of its clerks, announced that the House had passed without amendment the bill (S. 2315) for the relief of dealers in certain articles or commodities rationed under authority of the United States.

The message also announced that the House had agreed to Senate Concurrent Resolution 28, as follows:

Resolved by the Senate (the House of Representatives concurring), That the action of the Speaker of the House of Representatives and of the Vice President in signing the enrolled bill (S. 2202) to reinstate Paul A. Larned, a major, United States Army, retired, to the active list of Regular Army is hereby rescinded; and be it further

Resolved, That the action of the Senate and the House of Representatives in passing the said bill is hereby vacated.

The message further announced that the House had insisted upon its amendment to the bill (S. 1563) conferring jurisdiction upon the Court of Claims of the United States to hear, determine, and render judgment upon the claim of Albert M. Howard, disagreed to by the Senate; agreed to the conference asked by the Senate on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses thereon, and that Mr. McGehee, Mr. Keogh, and Mr. Pittenger were appointed managers on the part of _ the House at the conference.

The message also announced that the House had disagreed to the amendments of the Senate to the bill (H. R. 5295) for the relief of the estate of Romano Emiliani; asked a conference with the Senate on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses thereon, and that Mr. Weiss, Mr. KEOGH, and Mr. PITTENGER were appointed managers on the part of the House at the conference.

The message further announced that the House had disagreed to the amendments of the Senate to the bill (H. R. 5845) for the relief of Alvira Manfredi: asked a conference with the Senate on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses thereon, and that Mr. McGehee, Mr. Keogh, and Mr. PITTENGER were appointed managers on the part of the House at the conference.

The message also announced that the House had severally agreed to the amendment of the Senate to the following bills of the House:

H. R. 809. An act for the relief of the legal guardian of Vernon Clemons, Jr.;

H. R. 5596. An act for the relief of Tommy

Huddleston; and

H.R. 6604. An act providing for the suspension of annual assessment work on mining claims held by location in the United States, including the Territory of Alaska.

The message further announced that the House had agreed to the amendments of the Senate to the bill (H. R. 4869) to provide for longevity credit for enlisted men of the Naval and Marine Corps Reserve, and for other purposes.

The message also announced that the House had passed a joint resolution (H. J. Res. 308) making appropriations to provide war housing and war public works in and near the District of Columbia, in which it requested the concurrence of the Senate.

ENROLLED BILLS SIGNED

The message further announced that the Speaker had affixed his signature to the following enrolled bills, and they were signed by the Vice President:

S. 1765. An act for the relief of the minor children of Mrs. Jesús Zamora Felix, deceased; S. 2002. An act to amend Public Law No. 35, Seventy-seventh Congress, approved April

H.R. 736. An act for the relief of Ideal Service Station:

H.R. 1154. An act for the relief of George

C. Dewey:

H. R. 2192. An act authorizing and directing the Secretary of the Treasury to reimburse Carrol D. Ward for the losses sustained by him by reason of the negligence of an employee of the Civilian Conservation Corps; H. R. 3476. An act for the relief of James

A. Quillinan; H. R. 4180. An act for the relief of Edward

Keating and others; H. R. 4331. An act for the relief of Alice

R. Swett: H.R. 4408. An act for the relief of Alice R.

Swett and the estate of Robert S. Swett; H.R.4413. An act for the relief of Olive

Z. Ressler: H. R. 4657. An act for the relief of Floyd P.

H. R. 4869. An act to provide for longevity credit for enlisted men of the Naval Reserve, Marine Corps Reserve, and the National Guard of the United States, and for other purposes:

H.R. 4981. An act for the relief of the Phoenix Construction Associates, a partner-

H. R. 5433. An act for the relief of the

guardian of Charles Jirinec, an infant; H. R. 5857. An act for the relief of Roy F. Lassly, former acting chief disbursing clerk, Department of the Interior, and G. F. Allen, chief disbursing officer, Division of Disburse-

ment, Treasury Department; H. R. 5887. An act for the relief of Howard

H.R. 5962. An act to ratify and confirm Act 20 of the Special Session Laws of Hawaii, 1941, extending the time within which revenue bonds may be issued and delivered under Act 174 of the Session Laws of Hawaii, 1935;

H. R. 6020. An act granting the consent and approval of Congress to an interstate compact relating to the better utilization of the fisheries (marine, shell, and anadromous)

of the Atlantic seaboard and creating the Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission:

H. R. 6063. An act for the relief of the Clark County Lumber Co.;

H.R 6166. An act to approve Act No. of the Special Session Laws of Hawaii, 1941, reducing the rate of interest on loans and providing for the reamortization of indebtedness to the Farm Loan Board;

H. R. 6328. An act for the relief of certain disbursing officers of the Army of the United States and for the settlement of individual claims approved by the War Department;

H.R. 6604. An act providing for the suspension of annual assessment work on min-ing claims held by location in the United States, including the Territory of Alaska; and

H. R. 6641. An act to amend the act entitled "An act to authorize the establishment of a permanent instruction staff at the United States Coast Guard Academy," approved April

EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS, ETC.

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate the following letters, which were referred as indicated:

REPORT ON PERSONNEL OF THE LAND FORCES

A letter from the Secretary of War, reporting, pursuant to law, relative to personnel of the land forces; to the Committee on Military Affairs

REPORT OF ARCHIVIST OF THE UNITED STATES

A letter from the Archivist of the United States, transmitting, pursuant to law, the seventh annual report of the Archivist for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1941 (with an accompanying report); to the Committee on the Library.

TRAVEL FROM MILITARY ZONES OF DEPENDENTS OF OFFICERS AND EMPLOYEES OF GOVERN-MENT AGENCIES

A letter from the Acting Secretary of Commerce, transmitting a draft of proposed legislation to authorize the use of appropriations for travel and transportation for the transportation of dependents of officers and employees of Government agencies from zones of military operations, and for other purposes (with an accompanying paper); to the Committee on Commerce.

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS

Petitions, etc., were laid before the Senate, or presented, and referred as indicated:

By Mr. BURTON:

The petitions of Grace L. Mosher and other members of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Ashtabula; of Mrs. Elmer Shank and sundry other citizens of Miami County; and of John C. Campbell and sundry other members of the Uniontown Parent-Teachers Association, Belmont County, all in the State of Ohio, praying for the enactment of the bill to provide for the common defense in relation to the sale of alcoholic liquors to the members of the land and naval forces of the United States and to provide for the suppression of vice in the vicinity of military camps and naval establishments; ordered to lie on the table.

By Mr. CAPPER:

Petitions, numerously signed, of sundry citizens of Topeka and Overland Park, in the State of Kansas, praying for the enactment of the bill (S. 860) to provide for the common defense in relation to the sale of alcoholic liquors to the members of the land and naval forces of the United States and to provide for the suppression of vice in the vicinity of military camps and naval establishments; ordered to lie on the table. By Mr. GREEN:

A resolution of the Senate of the State of Rhode Island; to the Committee on Finance. "STATE OF RHODE ISLAND AND PROVIDENCE PLANTATIONS. "IN GENERAL ASSEMBLY, "January Session, A. D. 1942. "Resolution 146

"Memorializing Congress of the United States of America to defeat proposed legislation to increase the Federal gasoline and lubricating-oil taxes

"Whereas the Ways and Means Committee of the House of Representatives in Washington is considering the 1942 revenue bill as submitted by Secretary of the Treasury Morgenthau; and

"Whereas in said revenue bill an increase in the Federal gasoline tax from 11/2 cents to 3 cents per gallon, which is a 100-percent tax increase over the present levy, has been proposed; and

Whereas an increase in the Federal lubricating-oil tax from 41/2 cents to 10 cents per gallon, which is a 144-percent tax increase over the present levy, has also been proposed; and

"Whereas in addition to the above-proposed Federal taxes there now exists in the State of Rhode Island a tax on gasoline of

3 cents per gallon; and

"Whereas gasoline and lubricating oil are acknowledged necessities to businessmen, farmers, defense workers, and, in fact, to all engaged in the pursuit of a legitimate livelihood, and should not be singled out for special taxation along with luxuries and nonessential commodities: Be it there-

"Resolved by the Senate of the State of Rhode Island, That the Congress of the United States be, and it is hereby, respectfully memorialized to defeat such proposed legislation to increase the Federal gasoline and lubricating-oil taxes; and be it further

"Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be transmitted to the President of the United States, the Clerk of the House of Representa-tives, the Secretary of the Treasury of the United States, the chairman of the House Ways and Means Committee, the chairman the Senate Finance Committee, and to each Member of Congress elected from the State of Rhode Island, and that the latter be urged to use their best offices to prevent the enactment of any legislation which will increase the Federal gasoline and lubricating-

LABOR AND THE WAR EFFORT-PETITION

Mr. REED. Mr. President, I present and ask to have printed in the RECORD, without all the signatures attached thereto, and appropriately referred a petition regarding labor and the conduct of the war, signed by Mr. E. H. Pugh and 93 other citizens of Independence, Kans.

There being no objection, the petition was referred to the Committee on Education and Labor and ordered to be printed in the RECORD, without all the signatures attached, as follows:

We, the undersigned taxpayers, citizens of the United States, your constituents, demand that: Legislation be enacted to abolish the union closed shop for the duration of the war, permitting any qualified American citito work on defense work without paying tribute to labor-union racketeers. Also that industry having defense contracts be required to operate 24 hours per day on a 7-day week, and that waste and graft be eliminated from all war effort, both National and State, also that time and one-half and double time be eliminated, with 6-percent profit to industry maximum allowed.

E. H. PUGH, FRED C. SANDERS. (And sundry other citizens of Independence, Kans.)

PRIORITIES AND PROHIBITION OF LIQUOR SALES AROUND MILITARY CAMPS-PETITION

Mr REED. Mr. President, I also present and ask to have printed in the REC-ORD, without all the signatures attached thereto, and appropriately referred a petition regarding priorities and Senate bill 860, signed by Mrs. Nellie W. Bender and 79 other citizens of Holton, Kans.

There being no objection, the petition was ordered to lie on the table and to be printed in the RECORD, without all the signatures attached, as follows:

We, the undersigned citizens of Jackson County, Kans., hereby petition our legal Representatives in Congress to use their influence to change the order of classification and priorities which prohibits owners of trucks used for the delivery of milk and other food products from buying tires, thereby compelling discontinuance of these deliveries of es-sential foods and permits persons and corporations owning and operating trucks de-livering beer and other alcoholic beverages to buy tires in order to contine deliveries and operations. We further petition that during this national emergency all manufacturing and distribution of alcoholic beverages be denied all priority rights.

We also petition the passage of Senate bill

860.

Mrs. RATIA KLUSHMIRE, H. B. LYONS, (And sundry other citizens of Holton, Kans.)

EFFICIENCY OF VOLUNTARY HOSPITALS OF THE UNITED STATES

Mr. MEAD. Mr. President, I have in my hand some correspondence from the Secretary of the Western New York Hospital Council, which I shall ask to have made part of my remarks in the RECORD, and that it be brought to the attention of the committee of the Senate having to do with legislation affecting our hospitals. This correspondence includes some resolutions adopted by the American Hospital Association, resolutions having to do with the voluntary hospitals of the United States, hospitals which account for more than 60 percent of all hospital admissions in our country.

I wish to pay my respects to the American Hospital Association and also to the voluntary hospitals of the United States for the splendid work they are doing in furthering the national defense effort at this time. Like all our hospitals, like the medical and the nursing professions, they are rendering valiant services to the

country.

I want this correspondence made part of my remarks, and I should like to have it brought to the attention of the appropriate committee.

There being no objection, the correspondence and resolutions were referred to the Committee on Finance and ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

WESTERN NEW YORK HOSPITAL COUNCIL, March 14, 1942.

Hon. JAMES M. MEAD,

United States Senate,

Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Mead: We are handing you, attached, copy of letter written to Bert W.
Caidwell, executive secretary of the American Hospital Association, Chicago, Ill.; also copy of the resolutions of the American Hospital Association of February 14, 1942.

The hospitals composing this council feel confident that this matter so vitally affecting the voluntary hospital system will receive very earnest consideration at the hands of their Congressmen.

Very sincerely yours, WESTERN NEW YORK HOSPITAL COUNCIL, ALICE J. MACK, Secretary.

WESTERN NEW YORK HOSPITAL COUNCIL, March 14, 1942.

BERT W. CALDWELL, M. D.,

Executive Secretary,
American Hospital Association, Chicago, Ill.

DEAR MR. CALDWELL: Referring to the Social Security Board's plans for the partial payment of the hospitalization of beneficiaries, at the rate of \$3 per day cash:

At a special meeting of the Western New York Hospital Council, held at the Buffalo General Hospital, Buffalo, N. Y., March 11, 1942, action was taken—

"That this council approves the resolutions of the American Hospital Association of Feb-

ruary 14, 1942; and

"That a letter be written to the secretary of the American Hospital Association, voicing this approval; and also, that a copy of that letter, with a copy of the American Hospital Association's resolutions, be sent to our Congressmen in this area."

Very sincerely yours, WESTERN NEW YORK HOSPITAL COUNCIL, ALICE J. MACK, Secretary.

AMERICAN HOSPITAL ASSOCIATION, Chicago, Ill., February 14, 1942.

Resolved, That the voluntary hospitals of the United States, which account for more than 60 percent of all hospital admissions, are a national asset of incalculable value.

That the efficiency of these institutions is traceable in large part to their freedom of action under local control. -

That the independence of voluntary hospitals and of hospitals under city, county, and other local community control should not be jeopardized by Federal legislation.

That programs seeking to widen the use of voluntary hospitals, and their more perfect adaptation to the needs of the workers of the country through voluntary contributory plans, merit Government consideration and support.

That a full opportunity should be given to the voluntary hospitals of the country, through the American Hospital Association, to study proposed legislation affecting hospitals before such legislation is offered to the

Resolved, That these resolutions be brought to the notice of the President of the United States, the Social Security Board, and the Members of the Congress of the United States.

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES

The following reports of committees were submitted:

By Mr. TYDINGS, from the Committee on

Appropriations:
H.R. 6802. A bill making appropriations for the legislative branch of the Government for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1943, and for other purposes (this bill had been recommitted) with amendments (Rept. No. 1292)

By Mr. ELLENDER, from the Committee on Claims:

S. 2037. A bill for the relief of Edgar B. Dunlap; with an amendment (Rept. No. 1298);

S. 2279. A bill for the relief of O. R. Maxfield; with amendments (Rept. No. 1286);

S. 2318. A bill for the relief of Primo Giordanengo and Angie Giordanengo; without amendment (Rept. No. 1288);

S. 2354. A bill for the relief of Mr. and Mrs. George M. Legg and Loetta Trainer; without amendment (Rept. No. 1289);

H.R. 5000. A bill for the relief of Ferd W.

Meile; with amendments (Rept. No. 1287); H. R. 5275. A bill for the relief of Weslie A. Coulter, Sr.: without amendment (Rept. No.

H.R. 5658. A bill for the relief of James Warren: without amendment (Rept. No. 1291)

By Mr. ROSIER, from the Committee on Claims:

H.R. 1901. A bill for the relief of Floyd Odom; without amendment (Rept. No. 1293); H.R. 4153. A bill for the relief of Cleaver Kelley; with an amendment (Rept. No. 1296);

H. R. 4723. A bill for the relief of the legal guardian of John Lesniak; with an amendment (Rept. No. 1297);

H.R. 5468. A bill for the relief of J. Furman Richardson; without amendment (Rept. No. 1294); and

H.R. 5563. A bill for the relief of Joe A. Mumford and the estate of W. C. Mumford; without amendment (Rept. No. 1295)

By Mr. McCARRAN, from the Committee on

the Judiciary:

S. 2319. A bill to provide for the appointment of a district judge for the northern district of California in order to fill a vacancy in the office of an additional district judge heretofore authorized for such district; without amendment (Rept. No. 1299).

ENROLLED BILLS PRESENTED

Mrs. CARAWAY, from the Committee on Enrolled Bills, reported that on April 27, 1942, that committee presented to the President of the United States the following enrolled bills:

S. 836. An act for the relief of John C. Crossman:

S. 950. An act for the relief of Dora Thompson:

S. 984. An act for the relief of Mr. and Mrs. James C. Loard;

S. 1424. An act for the relief of Mary J. Crabtree

S. 1619. An act for the relief of the Bell Grocery Co.; S. 1757. An act for the relief of Clyde

S. 1766. An act for the relief of John Snure,

Jr.; S. 1776. An act for the relief of Mrs. Agnes

S. Hathaway; S. 1801. An act for the relief of Eugene

Jackson:

S. 1961. An act to eliminate the prchibition against the filling of the first vacancy occur-ring in the office of district judge for the district of New Jersey;

S. 1991. An act for the relief of Mrs. William Meister:

S. 1993. An act for the relief of Pasqualina Lazzaro:

S. 2017. An act to amend Private Act No. 446, Seventy-sixth Congress, approved July 2, 1940, and for other purposes;

S. 2116. An act for the relief of Frank S. Mathias and Elsie Mathias;

S. 2175. An act for the relief of Bibiano L.

S. 2187. An act for the relief of Tom G. Irving; Thomas G. Irving, Sr.; J. E. Irving; Mata D. Irving; L. T. Dale; and Amelia Dale;

S. 2212. An act to suspend during war or a national emergency declared by Congress or by the President the provisions of section 322 of the act of June 30, 1932, as amended, relating to certain leases;

S. 2399. An act to amend the act entitled 'An act to require the registration of cerran act to require the registration of certain persons employed by agencies to disseminate propaganda in the United States, and for other purposes," approved June 8, 1938, as amended; and

S. 2406. An act to authorize the Secretary of the Navy to proceed with the construction of certain public works, and for other purposes.

BILLS INTRODUCED

Bills were introduced, read the first time, and, by unanimous consent, the second time, and referred as follows:

By Mr. GEORGE:

S. 2492. A bill authorizing the Administrator of Veterans' Affairs to grant an easement in certain lands of the Veterans' Adminis-tration facility, Jefferson Barracks, Mo., to the State of Missouri for highway purposes; to the Committee on Finance.

By Mr. HAYDEN:

S. 2493. A bill to provide for the addition of certain land in the State of Arizona to the Montezuma Castle National Monument; to the Committee on Public Lands and Surveys. By Mr. JOHNSON of California:

S. 2494. A bill authorizing the appointment and retirement of Raleigh Edward Hughes as a lieutenant, United States Navy; to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

By Mr. SMITH:

S. 2495. A bill extending the maturity date of loans made or arranged for by the Commodity Credit Corporation on cotton, corn, and wheat of the 1941 crop; to the Committee on Agriculture and Forestry.

By Mr. WALSH: S. 2496 A bill to authorize the construction or acquisition of additional naval aircraft, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

By Mr. KILGORE: S. 2497. A bill to amend Public Law 507, Seventy-seventh Congress, chapter 199, second session, title III, priorities powers, section 2, subsection 2 (C); to the Committee on the Judiciary.
By Mr. CHANDLER:

S. 2498. A bill relating to the payment of 6 months' pay to a dependent relative of an officer or enlisted man of the Regular Army when the designated beneficiary dies prior to the death of such officer or enlisted man without another beneficiary having designated; to the Committee on Military Affairs.

By Mr. McCARRAN: S. 2499. A bill to amend Title II of the District of Columbia Revenue Act of 1937 and the Fire and Casualty Act;

S. 2500. A bill relating to the assessment of tangible personal property in the District of Columbia, and for other purposes;

3. 2501. A bill to authorize the Assessor of the District of Columbia to compromise inheritance and estate taxes in cases of conflicting claims of domicile;

S. 2502. A bill relating to the Metropolitan Police force of the District of Columbia: and

S. 2503. A bill to provide for the payment of retired pay to certain retired judges of the police and municipal courts of the District of Columbia: to the Committee on the District of Columbia.

HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION REFERRED

The joint resolution (H. J. Res. 308) making appropriations to provide war housing and war public works in and near the District of Columbia, was read twice by its title and referred to the Committee on Appropriations.

AUDIT OF ACCOUNT FOUND DUE THE CHEROKEE SCHOOL FUND

Mr. LEE submitted the following resolution (S. Res. 240), which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary:

Resolved. That the Comptroller General of the United States is requested to audit the account found due the Cherokee school fund as stated in the first item of the account rendered by the United States (Slade-Bend-er) on April 28, 1894 (House of Representa-tives Executive Document No. 182, 53d Cong.), and to readjust the same in accordance with the opinion of the Attorney General of the United States, dated December 2, 1895, as set forth in Senate Document No. 16, Fifty-fourth Congress; and, after crediting the United States with the amount appropriated thereon June 30, 1906, to report the balance, if any, then remaining due and unpaid.

INCREASED USE OF INLAND WATERWAYS FOR TRANSPORTATION PURPOSES

Mr. MAYBANK submitted the following resolution (S. Res. 241), which was referred to the Committee on Com-

Resolved, That the Committee on Commerce, or any duly authorized subcommittee thereof, is authorized and directed to make a full and complete investigation and study of means by which the inland waterways of the United States may be more fully utilized, with a particular view toward providing for increasing the use of existing waterways for the transportation of petroleum products and other articles and commodities. The committee shall report to the Senate, at the earliest practicable date, the results of its investigation, with such recommendations as it deems advisable.

For the purposes of this resolution, the committee, or any duly authorized subcommittee thereof, is authorized to hold such hearings, to sit and act at such times and places during the sessions, recesses, and adjourned periods of the Seventy-seventh and succeeding Congresses, to employ such clerical and other assistants, to require by subpena or otherwise the attendance of such witnesses and the production of such correspondence, books, papers, and documents, to administer such oaths, to take such testimony, and to make such expenditures as it deems advisable. The cost of stenographic services to report such hearings shall not be in excess of 25 cents per hundred words. The expenses of the committee, which shall shall be paid from the contingent fund of the Senate upon vouchers approved by the chairman of the committee.

STATEMENT BY SENATOR VANDENBERG ON PRESIDENT'S MESSAGE AND AD-DRESS TO THE COUNTRY

Mr. VANDENBERG. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to have printed at this point in the RECORD the full statement which I issued following the President's recent message to Congress and his recent address to the country.

There being no objection, the statement was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

At long last we are on our way toward being effective to the end that we do not lose the economic war at home before we have a chance to win the military abroad. But we cannot rely upon wishful thinking at any stage of the game. have reasonably complete price ceilings by law. We shall soon have totally effective profits ceilings by law. The third vital factor still demands kindred attention. We must have wage ceilings by law-with reasonable provisions for correcting maladjustments. The chain will never be any stronger than its weakest link. Labor has infinitely more to gain from total success for this program than from any exemption which destroys it. Agriculture will not resist parity when it sees that parity is the mandatory rule for all. The President is eternally right when he says that all seven points in his program are indispensable to each other. I believe he is wrong to leave any part of the achievement to chance. There must be no hole in the dike. I fear he is wrong in still avoiding compulsory savings for War-Bond purposes, but the country can prove him right by stepping up its voluntary purchases, although even the Treasury's an-nounced aims in this connection are only

about one-third of its necessities. I know the President is wrong in not adding an eighth point—maximum nondefense economies in the operation of Government and the demobilization of useless, overlapping, and often socialistic bureauracy. But he is everlastingly sound when he relies upon the total cooperation of the American people to win this war whenever they have total information as to what is required of them.

ONE HUNDRED AND FIFTY-FIRST ANNI-VERSARY OF CONSTITUTION DAY OF POLAND-JOINT STATEMENT BY SENA-TOR BROWN AND SENATOR SMATHERS

[Mr. SMATHERS asked and obtained leave to have printed in the RECORD a joint statement issued by him and Senator Brown on the occasion of the one hundred and fiftyfirst anniversary of the Constitution Day of the Republic of Poland, which appears in the Appendix.]

THE SEVEN-POINT PROGRAM-ARTICLE BY WALTER LIPPMANN

[Mr. ELLENDER asked and obtained leave to have printed in the RECORD an article entitled "The Seven-Point Program," written by Walter Lippmann and published in the Washington Post of April 30, 1942, which appears in the Appendix.]

NATIONAL MARITIME DAY

Mr. BAILEY. Mr. President, yesterday the President issued a proclamation designating May 22 for the tenth annual observance of National Maritime Day. This is the first time the observance of this day finds our Nation at war, and it is fitting that the people of the Nation should join in paying tribute to the heroic men of the merchant marine and those stout hearts who are laboring unstintedly in the Nation's shipyards to build merchant vessels which are essential to ultimate victory against our enemies.

I wish, Mr. President, to take just a minute or two to pay tribute to our seamen. In 1938, upon the death of the former chairman of the Committee on Commerce, my honored friend, Senator Copeland, I became chairman of the committee to investigate conditions in the maritime industry. There was at that time a great deal of rumor and discussion tending to bring seamen into more or less disrepute on account of subversive influences. I think the facts of our experience in the last 6 months tend fully to allay those rumors. If there are subversive influences in the maritime industry amongst the seamen, they certainly have not appeared in this time of stress and trial. The seamen have gone down to the seas in their ships; they have been under fire on our coasts; they have conducted themselves in a manner worthy of the highest traditions of American patriotism. I have heard nothing of difficulty and nothing of complaint from them or those who love them; on the other hand. I bear witness to the fact that they are carrying on magnificently under fire, night and day.

In this connection I wish to call attention to some other facts. There is justifiable alarm in our country as to our progress in building ships. All of us realize the importance of transportation across the seas, and we know the size of our program. Some complaint is heard because we are not launching ships at the rate of three a day; but it takes 4 months to build a 10,000-ton deadweight ship, and if we lay keels at the rate of one a day, which we will soon be doing, we can entertain the hope of producing ships and placing them in commission in 120 days, and at the end of, say, 4 or 5 months, we can test this production much more soundly than we can now do.

Meanwhile, let me say, there are 2,-000,000 American citizens engaged in the production of merchant ships and materials and fittings to go into them. They are at work: they are doing their jobs. They are being pretty well paid; but, it is my judgment, that they are entitled to be pretty well paid. After all, their incomes will not be much greater than the average, and they are doing an indispensable work. More than 500 industrial plants throughout our Nation are working with the 60 shipyards to produce these vessels. More than 50,000 sailors are manning the merchant ships and are facing the constant menace of enemy submarines and bombers, so that this great arsenal of the democracies may, through the life line of shipping, provide the sinews of war to the United Nations on every world-wide front and the means of carrying on to our own men of the armed forces.

Let me say one other word. I had to deal with the Maritime Commission for many years; indeed, since its foundation, since 1937, I have dealt quite intimately and almost constantly with the Commission and with its head, Admiral Land, who is also the Shipping Administrator.

There is a tendency to complain; and I would not find fault with that. is a great deal more to hearten us than there is to dishearten us. There is a great deal more to praise than to blame. I do not think it would be worthy of me if I should not say that in these 5 years of tireless and indefatigable and highly intelligent effort Admiral Land has completely won my confidence. I do not know of a more unselfish, a more devoted, or an abler public servant than Admiral Land: and I could pay a similar compliment to his colleagues. I think that, all things considered, we are doing pretty well, and I do not think that any activity of our war effort is being handled in a better way than the shipping activity, under the leadership of Admiral Land.

Mr. President, I ask that proclamation of the President to which I have referred be printed in the Record at this point.

There being no objection, the proclamation was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

NATIONAL MARITIME DAY, 1942

BY THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, A PROCLAMATION

Whereas on May 22, 1819, the steamship The Savannah sailed from Savannah, Ga., on the first successful transoceanic voyage under steam propulsion, thus making a material contribution to the advancement of ocean transportation; and

Whereas the Congress by joint resolution approved May 20, 1933 (48 Stat. 73), designated May 22 of each year as National Maritime Day and requested the President to issue annually a proclamation calling upon the people of the United States to observe such National Maritime Day; and

Whereas we of the United Nations are engaged in a war for the preservation of our liberties against the powers of aggression; and

Whereas it is fitting that public recognition be given to the patriotism and courage of the officers and men of the cargo ships in the victory fleet, and to the men in the shipyards and factories whose labor and genius go into their construction:

Now, therefore, I, Franklin D. Roosevelt, President of the United States of America, do hereby call upon the people of the United States to observe May 22, 1942, as National Maritime Day by displaying the flag at their homes or other suitable places and do direct that the flag be displayed on all Government buildings on that day.

In witness whereof, I have hereunto set

In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the United States of America to be affixed.

Done at the city of Washington, this 27th day of April, in the year of our Lord 1942, and of the independence of the United States of America the one hundred and sixty-sixth.

FRANKLIN D. ROOSEVELT.

By the President: CORDELL HULL,

Secretary of State.

Mr. MEAD. Mr. President, I desire to take this opportunity to commend the chairman of our Committee on Commerce, the Senator from North Carolina [Mr. BAILEY], and to say to him that the high compliment he has paid to the Maritime Commission, to the shipping industry, and to American seamen for their heroism is but another evidence of his splendid interest in the work of his committee. The committee over which he presides has taken cognizance of this splendid work, and the proposed legislation which has been favorably acted upon by our committee, some of the measures having for their purpose the granting of rewards to the heroes of the American merchant marine, is further evidence of our interest in this all-important question, and the careful consideration it is receiving under the leadership of our chairman.

THE SENATE "COW BLOC"

Mr. REED. Mr. President, as half of the Senate "cow bloc," I want to discuss very briefly the misfortunes that have overtaken the other 50 percent of the "cow bloc," namely, the junior Senator from Oregon [Mr. Holman]. These misfortunes are concisely described in a story written by the Washington correspondent of the Topeka Capital, a daily newspaper owned by my colleague the senior Senator from Kansas [Mr. Capper], which was reprinted in my own newspaper, the Parsons Sun, at Parsons, Kans., and which reads:

BOVINE STORK PLAYS MEAN TRICK ON SOLON

Washington, D. C.—The United States Senate in its time has had a number of "blocs." One of the latest is the "cow bloc," composed of Senator Clype M. Reed, of Kansas, and Senator Ruffus C. Holman, of Oregon, They both brag about their Guernsey herds.

But hard luck has camped on the Holman doorstep, perhaps one should say in the Holman cowsheds. When Senator Holman comes into the Senate with a particularly long face colleagues in the know put on long faces themselves and ask in hushed voices:

"Is it another one, Rufus?"

And Senator Holman responds sorrowfully: "It is."

The Holman Guernsey herd numbers thirty-some cows. And in succession these cows have produced offspring—19 in a row, count 'em, 19 buil calves.

A dairyman can appreciate this, if you cannot. Only we dairy farmers can fully appreciate the anguish of mind and spirit suffered by the distinguished Senator from Oregon over the succession of misfortunes that have attended his efforts to produce more milk and make more butter and cheese to help win the war.

MAJ. PAUL A. LARNED

The VICE PRESIDENT. The routine morning business is concluded.

Mr. AUSTIN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the consideration of Senate bill 2202, a bill to reinstate Paul A. Larned, a major, United States Army, retired, to the active list of the Regular Army. The request is made so that a correction may be effected.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Is there objection?

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the bill (S. 2202) to reinstate Paul A. Larned, a major, United States Army, retired, to the active list of the Regular Army.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Without objection, the vote by which the bill was heretofore ordered to be engrossed and read a third time is reconsidered.

Mr. AUSTIN. Mr. President, I move to strike out all after the enacting clause and to substitute the amendment I send to the desk.

The VICE PRESIDENT. The amendment will be stated.

The CHIEF CLERK. It is proposed to strike out all after the enacting clause and to insert the following:

That the President of the United States be, and he hereby is, authorized to transfer to the active list of the United States Army, in the grade of lieutenant colonel, Paul A. now a major, United States Army, retired (now on active duty): Provided, That prior to his restoration to the active list he shall have been found physically qualified for active service in the Regular Army by a board of officers, his name to appear on the active list of the United States Army next below that of the lowest ranking member of the class of 1907, United States Military Academy, now on the active list: Provided further, That he shall be carried as an additional number in the grade to which he may be transferred or, at any time thereafter, be promoted: Provided further, That no back pay or allowances shall be held to have accrued by reason of the passage of this act.

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed for a third reading, read the third time, and passed.

The title was amended so as to read:
"A bill to restore Paul A. Larned, a
major, United States Army, retired, to
the active list of the Regular Army."

Mr. AUSTIN. Mr. President, I ask to have inserted in the Record immediately following the passage of the bill an explanation of the amendment.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Is there objection?

There being no objection, the explanation was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

The reasons for the suggested change are as follows:

(1) "Reinstate," the verb employed in S.

(1) "Reinstate," the verb employed in S. 2202 as drawn, is not precise, because it implies previous separation; therefore, "restore" should be substituted.

(2) The primary purpose is transfer to the active list. The physical examination is necessary. Therefore, the substitute subor-dinates everything else in the bill to the first phrase "is authorized to transfer."

(3) As S. 2202 is drawn, it might handicap Major Larned for the following reasons:

1. The retirement age is 60. Since Major Larned will be 57 this summer, he would be compelled, under S. 2202 as drawn, to retire

in 3 years.

2. If S. 2202 were passed as drawn, Army regulations applicable to Major Larned's retirement would operate to retire him at the age of 60 in the grade of major because he would be transferred to the active list in the grade of major, and because, in 3 years between 57 and 60 he could not gain active commissioned service to qualify for promo-

3. The Pay Adjustment Act, as applied to Major Larned at retirement, if S. 2202 were passed as drawn, would probably base his retired pay upon the status he had when because he would revert to that

status when relieved from active duty.

The substitute is intended to precisely conform to the existing law and Army Regulations, and at the same time authorize the President to transfer Major Larned to the active list in a definite grade higher than when he retired, namely, lieutenant colonel,

This is not quite equal to the grade for his age, but it is high enough so that one can reasonably hope for gain in grade cor-responding to age in 3 years so that he could retire at 60 with the normal grade for that

retirement age.

You will note that the substitute conforms to the law and regulations in other particulars, namely, that he is placed as an addi-tional number in the grade of lieutenant colonel, and that he is placed next below the lowest ranking name of his class in the Military Academy now on active duty. Further, that it provides that no back pay or allowances shall be held to have accrued by reason of the passage of the act.

INDEPENDENT OFFICES APPROPRIATIONS

Mr. McKELLAR. Mr. President, 1 move that the Senate proceed to the consideration of House bill 6430, the socalled independent offices appropriation bill.

The motion was agreed to; and the Senate proceeded to consider the bill (H. R. 6430) making appropriations for the Executive Office and sundry independent executive bureaus, boards, commissions, and offices, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1943, and for other purposes, which had been reported from the Committee on Appropriations with amendments.

Mr. McKELLAR. I ask unanimous consent that the formal reading of the bill be dispensed with, that it be read for amendment, and that committee amendments be first considered.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Is there objection? The Chair hears none, and it is so ordered.

PATROL OF COAST LINES BY CIVILIAN PILOTS FLYING SMALL AIRPLANES

Mr. BROOKS. Mr. President, the Axis Powers have cut our line of supply of tin and rubber. They have not cut our production of gasoline, but they have sunk tankers and merchant marine supply ships right within sight of our shore. We are on the verge of denying our people the supply of, or right to use, gasoline largely because we have not been able to stop these attacks on our shipping in our own waters.

Every lesson of the war points to the power and effectiveness of aircraft. It was from the air that we suffered our greatest nava defeat and humiliation at Pearl Harbor. It was from the air that we lost our planes and airfields in the Philippines. It was from the air that our warships were sunk near Java. It was from the air attacks that the French found that the Maginot line was inadequate in modern war. It was from the air that the British found a force against which the Prince of Wales and the Repulse could not successfully defend themselves. Yet our tankers and merchant ships go down day after day, with little or no air protection above them.

We have thousands of small airplanes already manufactured and frozen idle on the ground. We have thousands of patriotic, courageous, and competent civilian pilots grounded in our own country. These planes and pilots, unfitted for foreign military service, are capable and competent to provide a constant umbrella over these slow-moving tankers and merchant ships.

By April 16, 1942, the United States had announced the sinking of 131 vessels within close reach of our shore. A total of 857 crew members of these vessels were reported killed, many of them having died in burning oil. The reports announced 1.084 missing and 3,593 rescued. The monetary losses of these ships and their cargoes was certainly well over

\$100,000,000.

Ships are the most critical materials of the war, not only from the American standpoint, but for the United Nations. Food and military munitions are piling up awaiting available ships. losses have averaged over one a day since the war began. They have offset any shipbuilding—actual building of ships—since Pearl Harbor. Announced rates of building are at one and onefourth ships a day at this time. In other words, the production of ships is only keeping pace with sinkings, and the country has not made any gains in production of the 8,000,000 tons of ships ordered for this year. We are straining the sinews of the steel and shipbuilding industries to produce the vessels and neglecting to apply a remedy which is so readily available.

The great majority of the sinkings are within a stone's throw of the shores. Some have been so close to shore that spectators on the beaches and shore highways were in grandstand seats for these sinkings. Recently, survivors were

rescued by row boats.

Every one of these ships sunk means a loss of almost a full day's production for the entire steel and shipbuilding facilities of the Nation. Tankers on which the enemy is concentrating are the most vital type of ship required. Rationing of fuels on both coasts is based on these losses.

We all assume that the Army and Navy have been doing the best they can with the forces available for coastal patrol to halt the sinkings. The results-continuing daily—show that additional help and lots of it, is badly needed.

The help is available-men, equipment, aircraft, and pilots and the necessary skill in administration. It is not now being used. Utilization of these resources need not disturb the main war effort in any way.

We should take into military service under Army or Navy direction and supervision, enough of the country's light aircraft, and men who have been sportsmen pilots, to operate a 24-hour a day continuous air umbrella along the entire Atlantic coast line.

This "umbrella" should be flown in

small, slow airplanes—the only kind of planes that should be used for submarine hunting. They should be armed with one or two depth charges and a two-way radio They should be flown by sportsmen pilots whose flying skill and knowledge of the capabilities of light airplanes are today lying idle and wasted.

The men are ready to go at once. tails of the projected air antisubmarine patrol in light ships have been worked The aircraft are available in almost countless numbers. I understand new planes are now frozen by Government order at a dozen different aircraft factories. The pilots are doing a little flying, but chiefly they are wondering why we are continually losing ships at sea when they know that, if used, they could virtually bring sinkings to a halt in a belt extending from Maine to Florida and 50 miles to sea. Creation of a safety zone of these proportions would protect the coastal traffic we now are losing.

One thousand pilots could do the job. Actually, the country has 100,000 licensed pilots, of whom probably two-thirds have the experience in the air that would qualify them to perform this kind of patrol work. Obviously, the field from which to draw pilots is large. Most of these men are individuals who would not be called to combat, ferry, or instruction duties in the ordinary course of affairs. Used on the patrol, they would release to other duties the highly trained and skilled personnel now attempting in inadequate numbers and with improper types of craft to maintain an air patrol. These men are needed on our fighting fronts-in the Pacific and other theaters of war.

Ground personnel for the light plane patrol should be mostly unskilled. One or two trained mechanics at each basenot more than 150 all told-could supervise the maintenance, service, and inspection of the patrol airplanes.

It should be a volunteer service. The men should be made Army or naval special reservists and placed on temporary active duty, or some other method used to place them under Army or naval supervision. A great knowledge of types of boats, naval procedures, and so forth, is not required. Once the patrol is set up this kind of training could be

But primarily the men should be given to understand that any submarine they see will be an enemy sub and should be both reported by radio and attacked. If American submarines must operate along the coasts they can stay out of the patrolled zone or notify the particular units watching the areas where they will ma-

The kind of airplanes that could do this job better than any others are types

such as the Piper Cub Cruiser, the Rearwin Cloudster, the Stinson Voyager and 105, the Luscombe, Aeronca and Taylorcraft types. Their speeds are amplesince all will cruise at 80 miles an hour or better. Their range is ample, since most of those mentioned have up to 4 hours of flying-while 2 hours at a time would be the recommended duration for any single patrol flight. Their loadcarrying capacities are such that in addition to one pilot they could easily carry two-way radio, ample fuel and lubricants, and up to 350 pounds of depth charges or bombs. Their slow speed is their big advantage. All these airplanes can fly at 60 miles an hour in complete safety. They are easy to fly. land at less than 40 miles an hour; they can be operated from improvised airports with safety by day or night. They all are equally adaptable for either pontoons or wheels. Their initial costs range from \$3,000 down to \$1,500.

Hundreds of these types of airplanes are now available-ready to fly at once. At one factory, the Piper factory, I understand more than 750 airplanes staked out, frozen by orders from the Government which prevent their sales to others than those engaged in war work. This factory's capacity is 300 a month alone, and there are at least 6 other plants where suitable types are made. The Civil Aeronautics Authority has closed up to 60 percent of all the Nation's airports, due to demands for an elaborate guard and dispatching system, and more than enough airplanes for the patrol could be commandeered from the closed airports in a few central States. Illinois, among others, could supply the entire requirement of planes for the patrol.

Let us take one of these planes, the Piper Club Cruiser, for an example. Here are the performances, weight, range, and other characteristics:

This plane is a standard type found throughout the United States. It weighs 760 pounds empty; will carry a 700-pound load. It will fly as fast as 95 miles an hour and as slow as 45 to 50. It has a 75-horse power engine that consumes only 5 gallons of fuel an hour. In addition to a pilot and 25 gallons of fuel, plus adequate lubricants, it will carry a pay load—in this case a military load—of 380 pounds.

This 380 pounds can be divided into 300 pounds of depth charges and 80 pounds of radio and a rubber boat.

Engines for these little airplanes are among the most reliable in aviation. They are made in large numbers by a wide variety of companies. They are available and they can easily be maintained. They give assurance of running for long periods of time, an assurance that is required for pilot morale in overwater operations. Cost of operating the engines is less than \$3 per hour, compared with \$3 a minute for high-powered military engines in service types now being used for this work.

A minimum of instruments is necessary. These little planes can fly slowly enough so that great skill in blind flying and blind navigation is definitely not required by their operators. No planes can operate well in fog, nor can submarines well attack boats in dense fog because of

lack of visibility. If caught at sea by fogs that form, the little planes can be flown down close to the water until they reach shore. Safe landings can be made on beaches or into shallow water, if necessary.

I am informed racks to carry depth charges already have been designed and built for these little planes. Such racks can be installed in 4 hours per ship. No complicated bombsights will be required. The home-made type is sufficient, as used by these pilots in their peacetime flour-bag bombing competition. Obviously, the Navy would supply the bombs and depth charges, and the Army or Navy should supply personnel to service the charges at each base and to man ground radio stations for the patrol.

Definite choosing of patrol fields along the eastern coast should take into account the local terrain, existence or absence of airports, weather factors, and other items. Survey work to pick these locations and get them into shape for patrol flying would require only a short time.

Where necessary, pontons could be fitted and natural harbors, sheltered bays, and so forth, could be utilized as bases.

Highways and trucking would make supply problems easy in solution. Refueling can be done from drums or 5-gallon cans. That is being done, and has been done.

In considering the patrols special techniques for their flying could easily be worked out. The point is that the little planes, used in recommended numbers, would cover the patrol zone so frequently that no given spot would escape the eyes of observers-except in extraordinary weather conditions—for periods of longer than a few minutes. In other words, an airplane would be over any given spot in any point on the zone at frequent intervals. The present patrols cannot approach this record for frequency, and speeds of patrol planes are so great that observation is cursory at best. There are vast stretches of coast line that cannot conceivably be covered with the present limited equipment and men.

If there is any refueling of enemy submarines from coastal points or from coastal boats, it certainly would be halted under this system. No point, however remote, would be left unguarded, either by day or night. Activities necessary for refueling submarines would certainly be spotted.

On such a patrol there would undoubtedly be losses of planes and men. There would be losses forced by weather, by accidents, and by limitations in experience and skill. The patrol would of necessity be a volunteer service. Inquiry among the pilots in Illinois shows that sufficient men to provide for the operation of the entire patrol could be recruited in a few days by asking for volunteers in Illinois and adjoining States. I understand that some 500 pilots in my State have shown their willingness to serve their country in any capacity, and specifically in this one.

There would constantly be observers in the air who would cover the entire zone, 50 miles to sea, at frequent intervals. It would be an armed patrol, able to strike at enemy submarines. It would be able also to call up the Navy's regular forces—by air or sea—to assist. There could be no extended attacks on surface vessels without having three or four patrol planes quickly arriving on the scene with their depth bombs, and radios calling up heavier attack units.

A submerged submarine is defenseless against an aircraft, and this point is the crux in the tactics that would be used. Suppose a patrol plane should sight a submarine. If the submarine were on the surface, the patrol ship would circle and immediately call for heavy Army or Navy units. If the submarine should crash dive upon sighting the patrol ship, the patrol pilot could dive on the submarine, fly down to within 10 feet of the water above the diving submarine, and drop its depth charges. No practice or great accuracy would be required to put charges within killing distance of the submarine under those conditions. Under the recommended patroling system, several of the patroling aircraft could be called to the scene where any one of them. had sighted a submarine within a few minutes after the first alarm sounded.

There could be no repetition of the bold, daylight attacks which have already occurred, prolonged over a period of 20 minutes to 2 or 3 hours.

For night operations slightly different techniques could be used. Every vessel leaves a visible wake in traveling over the surface of the sea. Wakes are visible for miles to air patrols. Submarines on the surface, or surfaced enough to have periscopes out, leave wakes. These could be seen at night from the air.

Probably the best system would be constantly to cover each of our own vessels proceeding through each zone during the night. Vessels operating north or south—along the Atlantic coast for example—would be known. They would be picked up at dusk and planes would fly a constant patrol over them throughout the hours of darkness. Additional methods and alternative methods and devices could be adopted or developed to fit any special conditions or situations, as experience teaches.

In the World War of 1914-18 the British operated just such a patrol as is suggested here, around the coasts of England. It was flown in ships with performance almost exactly comparable to that of the types suggested here. This patrol broke up the submarine menace around the British coasts at that time. Pilots who flew that patrol objected to it after the first few weeks because submarines disappeared, submarine activity ceased, and the patrol became a monotonous one.

At this time the Army and Navy are conducting patrols with a wide variety of planes. A few civil air patrol ships have been used in a cautious patrol which went only 15 miles to sea and carried only radio. A large number of the Army planes now used have been armed only with machine guns, which are almost completely impotent against submarines. Also both the Army and Navy planes which have been used have been highspeed planes whose observation was limited, and there have been only a very

limited number of bases from which they could be operated.

Submarine warfare off our coasts has become a critical affair. It is as much a crisis as was Dunkirk, when British yachtsmen, tugboatmen, and others took their boats, pleasure launches, antique ferry boats, and everything else that would float to carry back to England a few soldiers. No one asked the skippers whether they were civilians or naval officers.

The sportsmen pilots of our entire country are as ready and willing to risk their own lives as were the British; and they can do as effective a job. We believe they should have some sort of regular service supervision over them.

From the minutemen of Lexington through the Indian wars to Dunkirk, to the guerrilla fighting in Serbia, Russia, and elsewhere in conquered nations, there are numberless precedents for using men from civil life as direct auxiliaries to military forces.

We talk of civilian defense, plan blackouts, and appoint block captains to teach us how to hide from the flying sons of our enemies when and if they fly thousands of miles to destroy our cities and war production plants and facilities, but we ground our own civilian pilots and planes while our enemies sink our ships within sight of our shores.

Why freeze our civilian planes and pilots to the ground while our ships and our tankers go down and our American sailors burn in oil within sight of our shores?

On March 31, 1942, I introduced Senate Resolution 233, asking for the appointment of a special Senate committee to investigate and encourage the advanced training in the preliminary essentials of future airmen in our high schools and other educational institutions throughout the land. Promptly the United States Commissioner of Education answered that the Government would institute such training on a vastly increased scale. I commend that action; and I again urge the appointment of a special committee to determine to what extent this work is being done and will be increased.

I further urge that we investigate and encourage the establishment of a vastly enlarged specialist civilian corps of already trained pilots with already available planes to search out and destroy enemy submarines and provide a constant umbrella of air protection to our men and materials within sight of our American shores.

TRANSPORTATION BY BARGE LINE

Mr. SHIPSTEAD. Mr. President, I think the Senator from Illinois [Mr. Brooks] has rendered a very valuable service in bringing to the attention of the Senate the subject which he has discussed. I should like to say a few words on the subject of transportation.

Our ships and tankers are being sunk off our coasts. We anticipate a tie-up of railroad transportation by fall. It is simply not in the picture for the railroads to handle the troops and supplies which will be piling up in the fall. We

have spent many hundred million dollars on our inland waterways and inter-We have developed a coastal canals. 9-foot channel from New Orleans to Minneapolis, near the Canadian border, We have developed a channel on the Missouri River up as far as Sioux City. We have developed a channel from the Mississippi up the Illinois River to Chicago and up the Ohio River as far as Pittsburgh. In this emergency we are not using these great highways, which are so safe from U-boats. If there was ever a time when we could get our money's worth for developing these waterways, it is now.

I have some statistics on the cost of transportation by barge, by pipe line, and by railroad. We have to use all three methods of transportation; but the cheapest of all transportation is the system of barge lines on the rivers. It has been proposed to build a pipe line from the Texas fields to the Atlantic coast. The estimated cost of such a pipe line would be between \$60,000,000 and \$100,000,000.

We could, a year ago, build for about \$20,000, a steel barge 195 feet long, 35 feet wide, and 11 feet deep which would carry 6,600 barrels of oil. Thirty-four barges could handle the maximum daily capacity of a single pipe line, and the cost would be only \$680,000. Further, oil barges can be constructed rapidly, entailing no such vast construction program as is involved in the case of a pipe line, or in building railroad tank cars.

Towboats are presently available, but undoubtedly in an enlarged program additional towboats would have to be built. However, they can be built at a very nominal cost. One such towboat could handle a tow of 14 barges, each barge having a capacity of 6,600 barrels. could be taken up the Mississippi River from the oil fields of the South to the Ohio River, and to every city along the Ohio River as far as Pittsburgh. They could be taken up the Illinois River, where there are no submarines, to Chicago and towed over the lakes, or the oil could be put in tankers on the lakes and shipped as far east as Pittsburgh. There are two pipe lines at Pittsburgh through which the oil can be pumped to the Atlantic coast.

As to the cost, I have the official figures on the cost of barges. Oil can be hauled on a system of barges for one-half the cost of sending it through a pipe line. The cost of handling oil by barges is much less than the cost of hauling it by rail or by truck.

I ask that at the conclusion of my remarks the figures which I have before me be printed in the RECORD as a part of my remarks.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. SMATHERS in the chair). Without objection, it is so ordered.

(See exhibit A.)

Mr. SHIPSTEAD. Oil now moves by water from Texas, Oklahoma, and Louisiana fields through the Mississippi and Ohio Rivers to Pittsburgh, and thence to the Atlantic seaboard consuming centers. Another route is through the Mississippi and Ohio Rivers to Chicago, thence by lake to Buffalo, N. Y., and

from there by barge canal to the seaboard.

From the national defense standpoint, the maximum utilization of our inland waterways is imperative. These waterways, because they lie in the interior, are protected, and hence far less vulnerable to attack. Further, they are not subject to sabotage and breakdowns, as are pipe lines. Other nations have long since realized these truths and are utilizing their inland waterways to the maximum, not only during wartime but in peacetime.

Facilities constructed for inland-waterway use can readily be utilized in an all-out national defense effort, not only on our rivers but, if necessary, in our coastal and lake harbors as well. With the passing of the emergency the additional facilities which should be built for war purposes would readily be absorbed by industry seeking the low-cost form of transportation for this commodity.

I have called this matter to the attention of the Maritime Commission, but they have been denied priorities on steel. However, there is one thing they can furnish and that is wood. Such barges could be made of wood and could haul gasoline or crude oil just as well as could steel barges. While a steel barge could be built last year for about \$20,000, a wood barge could undoubtedly be built for approximately one-half that amount. As is very often done on the Mississippi, a large towboat can tow 14 barges. Two thousand tons can be carried by each barge, or 20,000 tons in 10 barges. That would be the equivalent of 10 railroad trains of 100 freight cars each, and all that could be pulled by 1 towboat which makes 10 miles an hour and can run day and night, making 240 miles in 24 hours. Such barge transportation can be carried on all through the interior of the United States, from the Gulf to the Canadian border, and can reach all our large cities from Chicago to Pittsburgh. The barges could go along our Atlantic and Gulf coasts on the Intercoastal Waterway; and on that waterway the oil could be hauled perfectly safe, away from submarines, and at the lowest possible transportation cost.

Those who have charge of the transportation of oil from the interior of the country to the Gulf coast, and who have been sending it from there to the Atlantic coast in tankers which have been sunk. it seems to me have neglected their duty. Their attention has been called to the fact that they can use inland waterways. Transportation by barge on the inland waterways is much cheaper than transportation by tankers, much cheaper than transportation through pipe lines; and in view of the fact that such barges can be built of wood, it seems to me that those who have charge of the transportation of oil, coal, or any other heavy commodity are neglecting their duty in not immediately applying their energies toward supplying a form of transportation which would take care of the Atlantic coast, the Gulf coast, and the entire interior of the country, without any danger of sabotage or of having ships or

tankers sunk by submarines.

I am now calling to the attention of the Senate, as for more than a year I have called it to the attention of the Maritime Commission and of the Secretary of the Interior, who wanted a pipe line constructed, the fact that for very much less money, and with a resulting greater capacity for the transportation of oil to the Atlantic coast, the method I have suggested could be used, and should be used. The Senator from Illinois has called attention to the need for a special committee to investigate the proposition he advanced this morning. I believe that some action by the Congress may be necessary in order to force upon the executive departments some consideration of the method of transportation I have just discussed.

EXHIBIT A

OIL FOR ATLANTIC SEABOARD FROM SOUTHWEST CAN BE TRANSPORTED AT LOWER COST VIA THE INLAND WATERWAYS THAN BY PROPOSED PIPE

The facts are:

1. Threatened shortage of oil tankers has brought forth suggestions that pipe lines be constructed from southwestern oil fields to Atlantic seaboard points to avoid possible oil

2. Estimated cost of constructing single pipe line from Texas to New York ranges from \$60,000,000 to \$100,000,000. Not considering steel shortage, priorities etc., time of completion estimated at 2 years for single line.

3. A pipe line has limited transportation capacity, estimated at 225,000 barrels per day

at maximum efficiency.

4. Mississippi River (including intracoastal canal to Galveston) and Ohio River have been completed at an expenditure by the Government of hundreds of millions of dollars. It has unlimited capacity for transporting oil and is now used extensively by private oper-

ators for that purpose.

While present facilities (oil barges and towboats) may be adequate for use in ordinary times by private operators, these facilities fall far short of meeting increased demands arising out of the present emergency. However, the existing plant in most instances could be quickly augmented through priority construction of comparatively inexpensive barges, placing far less burden on critical materials and manpower than the construction of an expensive and experimental pipe line. Having a completed waterway with adequate dockage facilities and experience in handling oil by barge, the problem merely reduces itself to adding barges to the existing plant as a railroad would add boxcars to its trains

6. Cost of steel oil barges ranges up to \$20,000 each, which cost might be materially reduced when produced in quantity. The capacity of an average oil barge (195 feet by 35 feet by 11 feet) is 6,600 barrels. Therefore 34 barges could handle the maximum daily capacity of a single pipe line and the cost would be only \$680,000. Further, oil barges can be constructed rapidly, entailing no such vast construction program as in the case of Towboats are presently available, but undoubtedly an enlarge program would involve a consideration of the need for added power. The waterway has been completed, the right-of-way is there for use, with unlimited capacity, lacking only expansion of equipment

7. Comparative cost of transporting oil by various forms of transport for the year 1939

is given below:

Per	ton-mile
Crude pipe lines	\$0.00477
Gasoline pipe lines	.00527
Company ships	.00050
Company barges	
Chartered ships	.00106

	ton-mue
Foreign ships	\$0.00151
Canadian ships	.00264
Company trucks: Package and bulk_ Outside trucks:	. 06853
Bulk	. 03697
Package	. 02621
Carloads	.01640
Less than carload lots	.03890
Chartered barges	.00680

From the above it is clear that the tonmile cost of directly operated barge facilities is one-half of such cost by pipe line.

8. Oil moves by water today from the Texas, Oklahoma, and Louisiana fields via the Mississippi and Ohio Rivers to Pittsburgh, thence to Atlantic seaboard consuming centers, Another route is via the Mississippi and Illinois Rivers to Chicago, thence by lake to Buffalo and New York Barge Canal to the seaboard

9. From a national-defense standpoint the maximum utilization of our inland waterways is imperative. These waterways, be-cause they lie in the interior, are protected and hence far less vulnerable to attack. Further, they are not subject to sabotage and break-downs, as would be true of pipe lines. Other nations have long since realized these truths and are utilizing their inland waterways to their maximum.

Facilities constructed for inland waterway use can readily be utilized in an all-out national-defense effort not only on our rivers, but, if necessary, in our coastal and lake harbors as well.

With the passing of the emergency the additional facilities would readily be absorbed by industry seeking the low-cost form of

transportation for this commodity.

At the present time there are three allgasoline pipe lines operating from the At-lantic seaboard to the Pittsburgh district. These three are: The Tuscarora Line, owned by the Tuscarora Oil Co., Ltd., and serving the Pittsburgh district from Bayonne, N. J., to the Keystone pipe line, a subsidiary of the Standard Oil Co., from the Pittsburgh dis-trict to Marcus Hook, Pa., and the Susque-hanna pipe line, owned by the Sun Pipe Line Co., a subsidiary of Sun Oil, Pittsburgh district, Bayonne, N. J. It is being contemplated at the present time to reverse these lines and bring oil from Wood River, Ill., to the Pittsburgh district by barge and transship via pipe line to the seaboard.

BASIC MAGNESIUM, INC.-UNCONSCION-ABLE PROFITS IN WAR PRODUCTION

Mr. BUNKER. Mr. President, I rise today to reiterate my statement of April 9 that the Defense Plant Corporation has entered into an agreement with Basic Magnesium, Inc., of Cleveland, Ohio, for construction of a \$73,000,000 magnesium plant at Las Vegas, Nev., that is "so sinister as to indicate that some officials in our Government are guilty of malfeasance in the performance of their duties."

In reaffirming the facts as before stated, I am mindful of an allegation filed April 23 by Jesse Jones with the Senate Committee to Investigate the National Defense Program.

I now state that Mr. Jones, who is accountable for the Defense Plant Corporation's affairs, has resorted in his statement to evasion, subterfuge, distortion

of facts, and an attack on the investigators of the case.

Mr. Jones' attempt to saddle the responsibility for Basic Magnesium profiteering on the War Department and the now defunct O. P. M. will meet with as little success as did his effort to blame the President of the United States and the British and Dutch Governments for Mr. Jones' own failure to provide the Nation with rubber.

My statement was based on the testimony of witnesses sworn to tell the truth at hearings in Las Vegas, conducted on March 23 and 24 by the Truman commit-

My statement was based on record and fact. However Mr. Jones may try to confuse the issue, the fact remains that Basic Magnesium will receive \$300,000 to supervise the construction of the plant, \$560,000 per year as an operating fee, \$280,000 per year on royalties, and \$1,-000,000 in the event of the sale of the plant. All this was testified before the Truman committee as possible return on an admitted investment of less than

Nothing Mr. Jones can say can dispute the fact that extortionate salaries are being paid from the taxpayers' money to the officials of the company, salaries as high as \$36,000 a year.

D. W. Stewart was paid \$15,000 by Eells when employed by Basic Refractories, but when he was transferred to Basic Magnesium and his salary was paid from public funds he was given \$20,000 a year. an increase of \$5,000.

Mr. Howard P. Eells testified under oath that H. C. Lee, who was employed by Eells' company in Cleveland at a salary of \$7,800 per year, was transferred to Basic Magnesium, Inc., at \$12,000 per year, an increase of \$4,200.

W. W. Patnoe was transferred by Eells from Basic Refractories to Basic Magnesium. His former salary was \$10,000, but now that Eells is handling the tax-payers' money Mr. Patnoe is receiving \$13,800, an increase of almost \$4,000 a year.

All these huge increases in salaries are to be paid from public funds—these same increases being approved by Jesse Jones. It is interesting to note how valuable the services of these men become upon being paid out of the taxpayers' money.

That this unusual contract provides for extortionate profits is graphically borne out by the fact that the personal salary of Howard P. Eells is \$50,000 per year, or equal to the entire assets of Basic Magnesium, Inc., when the contract was signed. This amazing salary is not the only income or interest of Mr. Eells. He receives \$18,000 per year as president of Basic Refractories. Although Eells is in supreme command, he has not spent more than 60 days at the plant since the contract was signed in August of 1941. Is it any wonder that such miserable progress has been reflected in the construction of the plant?

Nothing Mr. Jones can say can dispute the testimony of the project manager of Basic Magnesium, who stated, at the hearings, that the project is only 9-percent complete. The testimony of the project manager clearly indicates that Mr. Howard P. Eells, Jr., is grossly inefficient and incompetent.

If a man built an expensive home with a garage adjoining, and if the builder attempted to justify his delay by pointing out that the garage was 60-percent finished, I doubt if it would be considered that the house was ready to be occupied.

When it was pointed out by the Truman investigating committee that the plant is only 9-percent complete, Mr. Jones countered that the settling basin and the preparation plant are 60-percent complete. General Knudsen, sent out by the War Department because Mr. Jones was admittedly dissatisfied with the progress of the plant, reported that the first unit was 40-percent complete. Mind you, I said the first unit. There are 10 units to this vital magnesium-producing plant. If one unit is 40 percent complete, then 10 units are, in reality, 4 percent complete. With the garages, the plant is 9 percent complete

Howard Mann, project manager, in an attempt to justify to the committee the miserable showing of the management of Basic Magnesium, placed the blame on organized labor. While it is obviously a futile and weak excuse, is it not just what we would expect from this \$36,000

executive?

Although, as I have said, Mr. Jones states that the Defense Plant Corporation engineers were not satisfied with the construction progress, and as early as January caused engineers of the War Department to investigate the project, yet as late as March of 1942, no change in management had been effected. Could it be that Mr. Jones, who has contracted for the expenditure of \$63,000,000 of public funds—now grown to \$73,500,000—finds himself obligated to defend the extravagance and incompetence of a typical promoter?

Does Mr. Jones mean that the members of the Truman committee—who have no personal interest in this case—are so deficient in intelligence as to be unable to grasp the significance of that testimony and come to the conclusions they wrote into their preliminary report? I quote from that report:

The subcommittee considers it of prime importance that these huge plants constructed with Government funds be built around the most economical processes available, to the end that they may compete commercially after the war. Care should be taken that their cost of operation should not be so high that they could not meet postwar commercial competition. * * *

The facts disclosed at Las Vegas created the impression that Defense Plant Corporation is paying tremendous fees for "know how," which so far has delivered miserable progress, the metal-producing units being only 9 percent complete although the contract was signed August 13, 1941.

After taking note of this miserable progress, I am inclined to believe that the Defense Plant Corporation, instead of paying for "know how," is paying for "do not know how." I quote further from the report:

The subcommittee is prepared now to urge most strongly that Defense Plant Corporation refuse to accept any such exorbitant royalty terms as proposed by Basic Magnesium, Inc. * *

This proposed lease appears to the subcommittee as one of the most flagrant attempts at war profiteering to come to its notice. * * *

The subcommittee is of the opinion that a plant, especially one of this magnitude, ought not to have been commenced until after the Defense Plant Corporation had reached an agreement as to the terms of the license under which it was to operate and as

to the cost of the raw material (magnesite ores) from which the magnesium was to be produced. * *

These terms seem to put a premium on mismanagement and incompetency.

I would be derelict in my duty if I did not bring this matter to the attention of the Senate and insist on action being taken to place this plant on an immediate and permanent production schedule.

There are two vitally essential factors in the production of magnesium:

First, an economic process; Second, raw material.

At the time of signing of this contract neither of these was insured, and even to this day there is serious doubt as to the agreement on the cost, quality, and quantity of the ore supply.

While Mr. Jones states that it is a fixed policy for the Defense Plant Corporation never to reimburse an operator for funds expended in obtaining a contract, Mr. Eells testified under oath that the sum of \$211,000 was being repaid Basic Magnesium by the Defense Plant Corporation. Mr. Jones and Mr. Eells should get together on their statements.

Mr. Jones has ignored the recommendations of the committee, and has insulted the legislative branch of the Government, which he seemingly relegates to a position inferior to his own.

Mr. Jones said that my statement was "unworthy of a United States Senator." We have not reached, and never will reach, the time in our national life when it becomes unworthy a Member of the Senate to draw to the attention of the people extortionate salaries and war profits which come from public funds. In fact, it is the duty of every Senator and Representative to protect the interests of the taxpayers.

I am thinking of the people of Nevada and of the country at large, the people who must work a lifetime to maintain their families in respectability, the people who are depriving themselves and their children of life's necessities in order to pay their taxes and to buy War Bonds and Stamps. Think how many 25-cent Defense Stamps it will take to pay the \$36,000 salary of the project manager of Basic Magnesium.

The welfare of this Nation in this world crisis is the one vital element in the fight to preserve our liberty.

I repeat, as I said on April 9 in addressing this body, that I shall not hesitate to exercise every resource at my command to prevent or bring to light war profiteering during this conflict.

I am informed that the Truman committee will continue hearings on this matter at an early date.

When the facts are all finally in the record, I am sure that the committee's findings will be acclaimed at the bar of public opinion as a great contribution to clean government.

I intend to address the Senate further on this matter in the future.

INDEPENDENT OFFICES APPROPRIATIONS

The Senate resumed the consideration of the bill (H. R. 6430) making appropriations for the Executive Office and sundry independent executive bureaus, boards, commissions, and offices, for the

fiscal year ending June 30, 1943, and fo other purposes.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will state the first committee amendment.

Mr. LA FOLLETTE. Mr. President, will the Senator from Tennessee explain the effect of the committee amendments, and the reasons for the committee's action?

Mr. McKELLAR. Very well. I suppose the Senator from Wisconsin desires that I explain some of the more important items of the bill; I do not suppose he wants me to discuss all of them.

Mr. HUGHES. Mr. President, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk called the roll, and the following Senators answered to their names:

Aiken George Gerry Gillette Andrews Austin Bailey O'Mahoney Pepper Radcliffe Ball Green Reed Reynolds Bankhead Gurney Barbour Hayden Herring Rosier Russell Barkley Bilbo Bone Brewster Hill Schwartz Shipstead Smathers Holman Hughes Johnson, Calif. Johnson, Colo. Brooks Brown Bulow Smith Spencer Bunker Kilgore La Follette Stewart Taft Burton Thomas, Idaho Lee Butler Lucas McCarran Thomas, Okla. Tobey Capper Tunnell Caraway Chandler McFarland McKellar Tydings Chavez Clark, Idaho Clark, Mo. McNary Vandenberg Maloney Van Nuys Maybank Wagner Danaher Mead Millikin Wallgren Walsh Davis Wheeler Wiley Willis Downey Murdock Murray Ellender Norris

Mr. HILL. I announce that the Senator from New Mexico [Mr. Hatch] and the Senator from Louisiana [Mr. Overton] are absent from the Senate because of illness.

The Senator from Utah [Mr. Thomas] is absent because of a death in his family. The Senator from Texas [Mr. Con-

The Senator from Texas [Mr. Con-NALLY] and the Senator from Missouri [Mr. Truman] are necessarily absent.

Mr. AUSTIN. The Senator from New Hampshire [Mr. Bridges] is absent as a result of an injury and illness.

The Senator from North Dakota [Mr. Langer], and the Senator from Massachusetts [Mr. Lodge] are necessarily absent.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Eightyseven Senators have answered to their names. A quorum is present.

Mr. McKELLAR. Mr. President, as we all know, in the independent offices appropriation bill there are a great many diverse items. Several questions were raised in the committee, and, at the request of the Senator from Wisconsin, I will try to single out the more important ones. One grew out of the building known as the Information Building, at the corner of Fifteenth Street and Pennsylvania Avenue. That was settled in this way: The building had already been constructed; the President had a perfect right to have it erected, and there could be no question about that; but the

amount provided by the other House for the Office of Government Reports was reduced by the Senate committee from \$1,475,000 to \$1,075,000.

Mr. McNARY. Mr. President, will the Senator yield?

Mr. McKELLAR. Certainly.

Mr. McNARY. Let me suggest to the very able Senator from Tennessee that, as we reach the items, they be discussed in order

Mr. McKELLAR. I would much prefer

to proceed in that way.

Mr. McNARY. I find it difficult to follow an explanation along general lines.

Mr. LA FOLLETTE. Mr. President, I did not intend to request the Senator from Tennessee to make a general explanation of all the items in the bill on which the committee has taken action, but I wanted him to make an explanation of the committee amendments which have to do with the National Resources Planning Board, since they constitute the first group of amendments on which the Senate will be called upon

Mr. McKELLAR. I will be glad to do that, and I think it is better to let the explanation be made as the items are reached.

For the National Resources Planning Board \$688,845 was provided by the House of Representatives.

Mr. LA FOLLETTE. Does the Senator recall what the Budget Bureau recommended?

Mr. McKELLAR. I can give the Senator the figures in a few moments. The amount provided by the House of Representatives was cut down by \$268,000, so to provide an appropriation of \$400.000.

The National Resources Planning Board wanted to go into the defensehousing business. It will be noted from the amendment at the bottom of page 5, line 21, that the committee thought that the Board ought not to go into the housing business. Congress by legislative act had only recently consolidated the various housing authorities into one, and the President, about the same time or a little later, entered an Executive order consolidating housing activities. So it did not seem to the committee to be necessary that the National Resources Planning Board should go into that particular business; and so that provision was stricken out.

Mr. LA FOLLETTE. Mr. President, will the Senator yield?

Mr. McKELLAR. I yield.

Mr. LA FOLLETTE. I must confess, Mr. President, that I have been so completely absorbed in the hearings of the Patents Committee, in which I was so kindly invited to participate by the chairman of that committee, although I am not a member of it, that it has been utterly impossible for me to read all the testimony in connection with the pending appropriation bill, although I have to admit that I had ample notice that it was coming up. The Senator, however, knows the circumstances under which we labor. We become tied up and absorbed in one matter and cannot do more than one thing at a time-at least, I cannot do so. I make that explanation because I have skimmed over the testimony this morning and do not find anything in it indicating that there has been any desire on the part of the National Resources Planning Board to go into the housing business in the District of Columbia, or elsewhere.
Mr. McKELLAR. I will read to the

Senator what the House bill provided:

National defense activities: For expenses necessary for the planning activities of the National Resources Planning Board in the interest of national defense, including personal services in the District of Columbia and elsewhere; contract stenographic reporting services; purchase of books of reference and periodicals; expenses of attendance at meetings concerned with development, conservation, and use of the resources of the Nation; traveling expenses; transfer of household goods and effects as provided by the act of October 10, 1940, and regulations promulgated thereunder; payment of actual transportation expenses and not to exceed \$10 per diem in lieu of subsistence and other expenses of persons serving, while away from their homes without other compensation from the United States, in an advisory capacity to the Board; purchase of office equipment and supplies without regard to section 3709 of the Revised Statutes when the amount involved in any case does not exceed \$50, and not to exceed \$100,000 for temporary employment of persons or organizations by contract or otherwise without regard to said section 3709, or classification laws, \$400,000, of which not to exceed \$40,000 shall be available for printing and binding.

The committee thought that that was entirely outside the province of the Resources Planning Board, and, for that reason, the provision was eliminated by the committee.

Mr. LA FOLLETTE. The Senator stated that the committee struck it out because the Board was going into the housing business.

Mr. McKELLAR. Yes.

Mr. LA FOLLETTE. There is nothing here that provides that the Board shall

go into housing activities.

Mr. McKELLAR. The Senator can see from the item I have just read what they were going to do. They could do almost anything under heaven. I think they claimed at the time, if I remember the testimony aright, that they were going to plan for conditions after the war: they were preparing plans for building which might be undertaken after the war.

Mr. LA FOLLETTE. I understand

Mr. McKELLAR. And it seemed to the committee that such a program might well be postponed for the present.

Mr. LA FOLLETTE. I rose to interrupt the Senator's explanatory statement because he stated that the reason for the elimination of this appropriation was that this organization was going into the housing business, and I could find nothing in the record and nothing in the text of the bill upon which to justify such a statement. I do not wish to interrupt

the Senator further.
Mr. McKELLAR. I think the Senator from Tennessee, inadvertently, made the statement about housing when he meant national defense.

Mr. LA FOLLETTE. Yes; that is what I thought, and I merely wanted the record to be cleared up.

Mr. McKELLAR. There is another item in connection with this subject, a proviso-

That no part of the funds appropriated under this item shall be used for the performance of any functions or duties other than the functions heretofore authorized by law to be performed by the Federal Employment Stabilization Board.

That is a provision which has been in the law heretofore, and the committee thought it should be in the act now proposed.

Mr. LA FOLLETTE. What is the

effect of the proviso?

Mr. McKELLAR. To be perfectly frank about it, we could not say at this time how the enlarged and increased activities of the National Resources Planning Board could be of any great value to the war effort, and our principal desire has been to eliminate, wherever it was possible to do so, expenses in connection with the activities which were not primarily for war purposes.

Mr. LA FOLLETTE. Mr. President, I realize that it is probably very difficult in the present temper of the Senate, to resist successfully an amendment by the committee which proposes to make such a substantial reduction in an appropriation bill. I also know that there has been much derision of planning in general, and many derogatory statements have been made about it; but the function of the Resources Planning Board prior to our entry into the war was, insofar as possible, to gather, assimilate, digest, and present in usable form, all the scientific and statistical information essential to the foundation of a sound national policy for the utilization, conservation, and replenishment of the natural resources of this Nation

When this country was discovered, and prior to the time when the white man began to utilize its natural resources, it represented one of the greatest examples. if not the greatest example, of the beneficence of the Creator in providing the essential materials for the building of a civilization.

In the development of our natural resources without planning, and because largely of the rapid increase in population, we were profligate. Thomas Jefferson was one of the first men to observe that the farming practices in vogue in his day were leading to soil erosion. He recognized, as have most others since that time, I think, that soil is one of the most precious assets a nation can have.

Under virgin conditions it takes Nature about 400 years to create 1 inch of topsoil. It has been some time since I have looked into this matter, but the most recent figures I have available indicate that a conservative estimate is that in this country wind and water erosion are resulting in the loss of about 3.000 .-000,000 tons of topsoil annually. Once the topsoil is gone, the land becomes uninhabitable, and we have only to go into some of the older agricultural sections of this Nation to find the gaping holes which are already evident along the hillsides. In the South there is one county which is practically entirely eroded.

It was with an appreciation of the fact that we had been profligate in the

exploitation and utilization of our natural resources that the National Resources Planning Board was created. The State from which I come, and which I have the honor, in part, to represent in the United States Senate, was once one of the greatest timber States in the Union. Once it had enormous wealth in the form of lands which were in the public domain, school lands, land-grant areas, Indian lands; but the policy of both the State and the Federal Governments at that time was to permit the development of the lands regardless of the effect upon the resources, and the record shows that unfortunately both State and Federal Governments often closed their eyes while millions of board feet of timber were stolen from the public domain.

One Indian reservation in my State once had a magnificent stand of virgin timber. In years gone by a certain company obtained a contract to take the dead and down timber from that reservation; but when they got through there was not a stick of merchantable timber standing on stumpage in the reservation. I digress too greatly, but I cite this as an evidence of what may happen because of the wastage of natural resources. The company which I have in mind not only stripped the timber, but burned the dead and down timber and the slashings, and in large measure made the soil untillable for years to come. Once they had stripped this great natural resource from the land in the northern part of my State, they moved on to more virgin fields and left behind for the overburdened taxpayers of the communities affected the problem of caring for their citizens, who once had had economic opportunity as a result of the timber exploitation, but who found when the land had been denuded that their economic foundations had been swept away. Literally thousands upon thousands of dollars, if not hundreds of thousands. have been poured down the W. P. A. sluice into those counties in order to maintain and to keep from actual malnutrition and undernourishment some of their residents.

Mr. President, I confess at the outset that I have not had the time to prepare for an adequate discussion of this matter today, but, if my memory serves me correctly, the best estimate of the ultimate effect of the manner in which our timber resources have been utilized and exploited is to the effect that, up to the time when we embarked on defense effort, despite all the money that has been invested by the present administration in forestation and reforestation, at the then existing level of the con-sumption of timber, within 125 years there would not be a stick of merchantable timber standing within the confines of continental United States. Other Senators are likewise familiar with our utilization of other resources.

Therefore, Mr. President, to draw an analogy between Government and private business, I say that our natural resources are the great assets of the corporation of the United States of America; and upon them the civilization in which

we live and the standard of living for this and for oncoming generations depend.

It is true that new fields are being opened up by research which indicate that we may be able, with the progress of science and invention and the advance in chemical methods, to find suitable and practical substitutes for some of our natural resources, but I think it is safe to say that until such substitutes are adequately developed, the assets of the United States of America are chiefly represented in its great people and in the natural resources upon which the people must depend for the maintenance of their standard of life.

Mr. President, in the light of the situation which I have briefly depicted, the President of the United States created an organization to study the problems involved in the preservation of our natural rescurces and to make recommendations to the Executive and to the Congress looking to their conservation and restoration.

Other Senators may not like the kind of work this board has been doing and is now doing, but, in my opinion, for the Appropriations Committee to abolish the only agency we have that is making any effort, so far as we know, looking to a comprehensive and continuous study of the utilization, conservation, and restoration of our natural resources, is a tragic mistake.

Furthermore, as I understand, the President of the United States has designated this board-insofar as the executive branch of the Government is concerned-to coordinate, arrange for, and actually to execute planning for the postwar period. Perhaps the Congress, if it should take this matter up de novo, might decide upon some other kind of organization but the fact remains that so far as the executive branch of the Government is concerned, this is the agency designated by the President to carry on what I regard with the exception of winning the war, as perhaps the most important subject we have to consider, for, Mr. President, I state the man is blind indeed who does not appreciate that once this war comes to an end the Nation will face the most critical, the most complex, the most difficult problems that have ever confronted a civilized people in the written history of the world.

When we can see ahead to a day when it will be no longer an exaggeration to say that we may have a national debt which will be equal to the total wealth of the United States, I think it must be realized that we are out on an uncharted financial sea. So far as this Government is concerned, to stretch the analogy until it breaks, we are in the situation of financial and fiscal navigators who have lost all their charts and sextants and who find that the stars in the heavens have all slipped out of place.

We are going to build up in this country the most gigantic industrial plant the world has ever seen. It will make the so-called surplus of industrial capacity which in part produced the economic problems with which we have been wrestling since the last World War and be-

fore we became involved in the present war, pale into insignificance.

Mr. President, we are proposing, to all intents and purposes—and I have no doubt that in the end somehow we will reach that goal-to underwrite the entire productive machinery of this war. We are in the business now of building plant capacity to bring about that result. The huge concentration of war contracts is producing what may now be called a defense migration. In order that these huge plants may be properly manned, men and women are moving great distances from their present habitations. They are filling and congesting various areas to such an extent that we have spent astronomical figures for so-called defense housing. Have Senators any idea, can they grasp the nature of the problems which will confront us when that machine begins to slow down after this war shall have been ended?

We are expanding agriculture again in connection with the food-for-victory program. It was the expansion of agriculture, in order to meet the comparatively limited demands of the last war, which helped to create the dark days through which agriculture, generally speaking, has passed since 1920. We have been studying the agricultural problem ever since the able senior Senator from Oregon [Mr. McNary] first introduced the McNary-Haugen bill. I do not think any student of the agricultural problem would undertake to say that as yet we have found any solution for it. Nevertheless, the war must necessarily intensify that problem, because now our exports are no longer confined to the basic commodities. They embrace fats and oils and the concentrated forms of dairy products, so that the entire agricultural industry is bound to be bulged out of shape just as manufacturing industry will be bulged out of shape. When the time comes when no more lend-lease money is being spent, when the time comes when the abnormal demands of the Army and the Navy cease, cannot Senators envisage the nature of the problem which will confront us? Can they not see something of its form and shape and magnitude, even though they cannot see it in all its terrible aspects?

The proposal of the Appropriations Committee is to put an end to any planning for the post-war period so far as the executive branch of the Government is concerned.

Mr. TAFT. Mr. President-

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. Hughes in the chair). Does the Senator from Wisconsin yield to the Senator from Ohio?

Mr. LA FOLLETTE. I yield.

Mr. TAFT. As I understand, the amount is cut down to \$400,000. It is not eliminated.

Mr. LA FOLLETTE. The appropriation with respect to the national defense activities is entirely eliminated.

Mr. TAFT. But there is still an appropriation of \$400,000 provided for the post-war program.

Mr. LA FOLLETTE. That is the more accurate statement, and I appreciate

what the Senator from Ohio has said in correcting me. I refer to page 39 of the committee hearings, to what is evidently a prepared statement which Dr. Merriam put in the record, from which I read, as follows:

AMOUNT OF HOUSE REDUCTION IN APPROPRIATION
FOR NATIONAL DEFENSE ACTIVITIES OF BOARD

1. The House reduced the defense appropriation for our work next year from \$700,-705, recommended in the President's Budget estimates, to \$400,000. We do not know what part of our defense activities the House committee thought should be abandoned or curtailed. We believe that all of the war and post-war planning activities on which we are now engaged should be continued and expanded.

DEFENSE FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR FISCAL YEAR 1942

2. The defense funds available to the Board for the current fiscal year total \$775,-000, and the Budget request for next year was \$700,705. Our current funds are made up of \$400,000 appropriated in last year's independent offices bill plus an allocation of \$375,000 from the President's emergency fund. It may be that the House committee prefers to have the Board rely on further allocations from the President's emergency funds. We would prefer to have our work financed through the customary appropriation procedures of the Congress.

The fact is that the Budget Bureau recommended \$775,000 for national defense activities. That amount was reduced by the other House to \$400,000, and the Senate committee has eliminated that altogether. Some \$700,000, as I understand from the statement made by the Senator from Tennessee [Mr. Mc-Kellarl, was recommended by the President for the current and post-war activities of the Board. The House reduced that amount to \$668,845, and the Senate committee has reduced it to \$400,000. So certainly one of two things is bound to happen: Either this work will not be well done in any field, or it will be curtailed, and the Board will not be able to carry on adequately its normal activities, so far as natural resources and post-war planning are concerned.

Mr. President, no other Senator is more anxious than am I to do whatever is humanly possible in wartime to prevent the decline of the legislative arm of the Government. I think the survival of democracy is involved in our preventing the shriveling and wasting away of the legislative arm of the Government.

Mr. TYDINGS. Mr. President, will the Senator yield?

Mr. LA FOLLETTE. I yield.

Mr. TYDINGS. It seems to me that the subject of post-war planning, as the Senator has envisaged its need when the war is over, is a very large and appropriate subject for consideration by the whole Nation, but it is striking that the legislative branch of the Government is doing little of the post-war planning. It is being done by the executive branch.

Mr. LA FOLLETTE. I was just coming to that, if the Senator will permit me.

Mr. TYDINGS. Very well.

Mr. LA FOLLETTE. I just stated that I am as anxious as is any other Senator to, insofar as possible, prevent the decline of the legislative arm. I know it cannot be done entirely because the legis-

lative branch in time of war, unfortunately, has to delegate vast power. That is the situation which confronts us; we have to delegate power, and it has been done in every war in which we have ever been engaged. In that direction, however, I do not want anything done which does not have to be done.

So far as the Congress is concerned, it has no agency; it has no staff; it has no way in which it can make such a study. I think it should have. I should be glad to support legislation to create a joint economic staff for the House and Senate to cover the entire field, just as we have a Joint Committee on Internal Revenue Taxation to assist the Finance Committee and the Ways and Means Committee in handling one phase of our fiscal problem, namely, taxation. However, we do not have such a staff in the economic field, and 'I see little prospect of getting it. Certainly the Appropriations Committee is not recommending it.

My only point is that if we accept these amendments we paralyze, or at least drastically impair, the activity of the only organization in the Government which is making a study of the situation which will confront us when the war is over. If we ask Army and Navy representatives they tell us frankly that they are not concerned with that subject. It is not in their field. So it is with other branches of the Government. They are busy with their own problems, just as we are. If we had a committee, let us say, on natural resources planning, post-war planning, or whatever one may choose to call it, every morning the members of that committee would probably find themselves, as I am now, confronted with the choice of three or four important committees, all meeting simultaneously, and would have to decide which committee to attend. That is not the kind of atmosphere under which contemplative intellectual effort can be continuously carried on. If we had a staff we could direct it, and the staff could probably carry on such a study for us; but we do not have it.

I think it would be a tragic mistake for a country confronted with what everybody acknowledges to be the greatest problems that any country has ever faced, on the basis of so little testimony and so little consideration, to take a meat ax and chop down or badly slash activities which are studying some kind of a program with which to meet the terrible problems of the post-war period. It does not follow that Congress must adopt such a program, but somebody will be charged with the responsibility of formulating such a plan; and some kind of a plan should be available on which those who may be here when this war is over may start to gnaw.

Mr. BARKLEY. Mr. President, I wish briefly and in a general way to endorse the sentiments expressed by the Senator from Wisconsin. Nobody has spoken to me about this appropriation.

Mr. LA FOLLETTE. Mr. President, will the Senator yield?

Mr. BARKLEY. I yield.

Mr. LA FOLLETTE. Inasmuch as the Senator has raised that point, let me say

that no one has spoken to me. I did not know anything about it. I confess that I did not even know that the amendment was in the bill until I arrived here at 12 o'clock.

Mr. BARKLEY. I am sure of that. I myself did not know until I arrived on the floor of the Senate today that the committee had recommended a reduction in the appropriation for the National Resources Planning Board. Nobody has spoken to me about it, and in the very brief remarks which I shall make I shall not be speaking for anyone

except myself.

Mr. President, we all realize that the immediate task ahead of us is to win the war; and I think that, without regard to party, religious differences, color, or condition, we are all enlisted for the duration in the effort to win the war. Nevertheless, we cannot make ourselves oblivious to the fact that when this war is over some kind of a world will result. There will be economic, political, social, religious, spiritual, financial, and perhaps military problems. We all know that when this war is over and the United Nations have won, as we all expect that they will, the task which will confront humanity will be almost beyond the ken of men who now think and live in the midst of the effort to win it.

We cannot afford to wait until we shall have won the victory to decide what we are to do in regard to the readjustments which will be necessary in order to preserve what we are now fighting for in

this great war.

Nobody has said anything to me about this appropriation. I have not been asked by any member of the National Resources Planning Board to say anything about it or to vote against the amendment of the committee reducing the appropriation; but I have certain general convictions on the subject which lead me to the conclusion that we cannot afford to ignore the problems which will face this country and the world when we shall have ceased our military and naval operations and the treaty of peace shall have been written and ratified, and we again come to a period of reconstruction, as we did following the last war, when we failed to meet the situation which has been so eloquently described by the Senator from Wisconsin, and which I think all of us realize we must

Various resolutions have been introduced in the Senate and in the other House providing for the creation of committees of one kind or another to make an investigation of post-war conditions. None of those resolutions has been adopted. Some of them provide for a Senate committee to look into the subject, some for a House committee, and some for a joint committee.

The President has set up a sort of interdepartmental organization to look into post-war conditions and make suggestions as to remedies, possibly in the way of legislation dealing with the subject. When this war is over and we shall have won our victory—and it will not be over until we shall have won our victory—perhaps eight, ten, or twelve mil-

lion men will come back out of the Army and Navy to resume their positions in the civil life of our country. That is a suggestion which applies only to the United States. Out of all the armies of the world perhaps thirty or forty million men will come back. Nobody can tell now. During this war our economic, social, and political structure is undergoing readjustments which twist and warp the normal life of our Nation and of the world. Somebody must be thinking in advance. Somebody must be planning ahead—not necessarily planning in a way which we must follow, or with suggestions to which we must adhere; but, unless we are utterly foolish, somebody must be looking ahead to plan what kind of a world we are to have when this war ends, and what must be the social, political, financial, industrial, and economic solutions of the problems which we shall face.

Personally I have great respect for the National Resources Planning Board. do not know anybody on it except Mr. Delano. So far as I now recall, I have no personal acquaintance with anybody else on that Board or employed by the Board; but I do know that Mr. Delano was brought to Washington by Woodrow Wilson, who was President during World War No. 1. I know in a general way that he and those who are associated with him have no axes to grind, no selfish motives to promote. They are not interested in a job for themselves or for anyone else. They are interested in the future of this country. I have confidence in their disinterested outlook and approach to the problems which face this Nation and the world.

I do not know whether the proposed reduction in the Budget estimate is justified. No one has said anything to me about it. No one has called me on the telephone about it. I am not a member of the Appropriations Committee; and, of course, with my physical, temporal. and intellectual limitations, I cannot keep current on the hearings and testimony before every committee in the Senate with reference to legislation and appropriations.

I make no criticism whatever of the Committee on Appropriations. I am sure that as a whole and individually the committee did what it thought was its duty to do. I realize the pressure on Members of the Senate, on Members of the House, and on Congress as a whole to reduce what are called nondefense appropriations. Nevertheless, I realize the difficulty of differentiating between defense and nondefense appropriations. We cannot abandon the ordinary processes of Government because we are at war; we must keep them going. I think that when the Bureau of the Budget estimates a certain amount as either necessary or desirable for an agency of this sort, which is projecting itself into the future to some extent, trying to visualize what we shall be up against when this war is over, trying to devise remedies which it will submit only for our consideration when the war is over, we are at least justified in inquiring specifically as to the necessity and reason for the proposed reduction in a very modest appropriation of this kind.

I have not as yet made up my mind how I am going to vote on this amendment of the committee. Perhaps it is justified. I am always anxious to support the action of the committee, and I realize that the members of the committee are just as patriotic and as sincere as I am; but I cannot help expressing some disturbance to my mental operations because of the reduction of an amount recommended by the Bureau of the Budget for what I regard as an essential activity in trying to forecast what will finally be the solution of the problems which will face this country when we have devoted ourselves financially, economically, industrially, socially, and religiously, to say nothing of politically, to the first consideration that confronts all of us, namely, the winning of a great victory for the forces of democracy, and when that has been done the preservation of that democracy and the handing down to our descendants the liberty and a liberalism which will justify the enormous sacrifices we are to make in winning that victory.

Mr. TYDINGS and Mr. McKELLAR addressed the Chair.

Mr. TYDINGS. Mr. President, I was simply going to ask the Senator from Kentucky and the Senator from Tennessee to take note of one or two facts.

Mr. McKELLAR. Mr. President, I should like to reply to the Senator from Kentucky.

Mr. TYDINGS. I want the Senator from Tennessee to reply to the Senator from Kentucky; but if he will yield to me for a moment, I should like to call to his attention and to the attention of the Senator from Kentucky, without particularly taking issue with his observations, one or two facts.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Does the Senator from Tennessee yield to the Senator from Maryland?

Mr. McKELLAR. Yes; I yield. Mr. TYDINGS. I shall take only a minute or two.

The National Resources Planning Board is one of the agencies being studied by the committee of which I happen to be chairman, and of which the Senator from Nevada [Mr. McCarran] and the Senator from Oregon [Mr. HOLMAN] are the other members. The committee was created by the Senate to look into overlapping and duplication and other matters of that kind, to see how we could make the Federal Government machine a little more definite and direct and less wasteful. Of course, our studies are not yet completed, but they are nearing a stage of completion. We have heard from every department of the Government, and I think we can make some suggestions in our report-some of them not very sensational, but worth while, and which I think will save a good many million dollars.

Coming down to the National Resources Planning Board, the functions of that Board, as the Board states them to the committee and as the law sets them forth, are as follows: They are to study housing, transportation, health, welfare, employment, and the consumption process. All these functions are already being performed by the National Housing Agency, the Interstate Commerce Commission, the Office of Defense Transportation, the Board of Investigation and Research of the Transportation Agency. Likewise, the Office of Defense Health and Welfare is making a study, the Public Welfare Agency is making a study, the Works Progress Administration is making a study, the Social Security Board is making a study, the Railroad Retirement Board is making a study, the Department of Labor is making a study, the Federal Trade Commission is making a study, the Department of Agriculture is making a study, and the Office of Price Administration is making a study. Each of them is making a study of the post-war effect and what we should do about it.

Superimposed on those 13 different, individual studies is the study being made the National Resources Planning Board. The point I want to make is that the problems which this Board is supposed to study are already being studied by other departments and agencies of the Government.

Mr. BARKLEY. Mr. President, will the Senator yield there?

Mr. TYDINGS. I yield to the Senator from Kentucky.

Mr. BARKLEY. As to the studies made by the other boards and agencies mentioned by the Senator, some of which are particularly confined to the war situation and not to the long-time future prognostication of remedies and situa-tions of that kind, let me ask to what extent they are differentiated from the National Resources Planning Board, which is more or less of a permanent organization looking far ahead to planning for situations which may exist long after the war.

Mr. TYDINGS. I understand the Senator. I should say that the boards I have named are studying the problems which will be presented not only during the war but after the war, and what should be done about them. For instance, take the railroad problem: The Interstate Commerce Commission is considering the problem of keeping transportation in being during the war and the problem of what will be the effect on transportation after the war, so that they will have specific recommendations to make with regard to the railroads. Let me say to the Senator from Kentucky that, in my humble judgment, there is not a group of men in America who, unless they would give the subject their full time, to the exclusion of everything else except meals and sleep, could even faintly in one board develop the rehabilitation program which will be necessary for this country when the war is over.

The subject of transportation alone would require examination and investigation for months in order to meet the problems which will arise, such as reequipping the railroad manufacturing plants, so that, after making shells, they may return to making boosters for locomotives, and all manner of similar things.

My brief examination into these matters convinces me that we must not be carried away by the titles of the boards or by the caliber of the men who head the boards. Mr. Delano is one of the best, in my judgment, and what I am saying is no reflection on him. The fact is that the boards are largely names. They will not and cannot, in the nature of things, put together a plan to handle a subject that has so many diverse ramifications. These studies are already being made.

The functions of the Science Committee of the National Resources Planning Board, which is one of its branches, appear to be a complete duplication of those performed by the National Defense Research Committee of the Office of Scientific Research and Development of the Office of Emergency Management. That is an actual committee. Think of it! In the Office of Emergency Management is a committee called the National Defense Research Committee of the Office of Scientific Research and Development of the Office of Emergency Management.

The function of planning and developing the National Roster, recently transferred from the Civil Service Commission to the newly created Manpower Commission, is likewise alleged to be a function of the Office of Scientific Research and Development, through its National Defense Research Committee.

I am in no position to say, and I should not want to take the responsibility now of saying, that the entire appropriation ought to be stricken out; but in another 30 days I hope to be able to show that there are any number of Government agencies that are duplicating the work of other agencies, with a resultant tremendous waste of money, at a time when our debt is going to sky-high proportions, and when every dollar will be needed sooner or later in order to carry the load of credit which the impact of this war will put upon the Nation.

Therefore, I am hopeful that the Senate will agree to the committee amendment, and that it will be taken to conference, where there can be further examination into the matter. It may be only a couple of hundred thousand dollars here and there, or \$5,000, which are drops in the bucket; but, in my judgment, the nation which has the most drops left in the bucket will be the nation which will have the reserve punch to hit the other fellow, and to achieve victory in this war. We cannot afford to begin wasting our drops now. This is an allout war. It is going to take everything we have.

I simply wanted to bring this duplication picture to the attention of the Senate, because I think it illustrates how we can reduce appropriations without at all hampering the proper performance of functions.

Mr. McKELLAR. I thank the Sena-

Mr. BARKLEY. Mr. President, if the Senator will yield further for a moment, let me say that I appreciate what the Senator from Maryland has said. I have no criticism of him and, of course, no criticism of the Board, which is composed, I believe, of very excellent gentlemen. I have no information from them. . I realize that, no doubt, there is duplication in

various agencies of the Government looking toward future determination, consideration and solution of problems which we shall face when the war is over.

What I said awhile ago was the expression of my general attitude toward the things that we must confront in the days which are to come, but I do not want, in order to save a few dollars, though I am subject, of course, to information and conviction on that subject, to cripple or handicap any important agency that is dealing with this subject in undertaking to serve the American people. I am still open-minded, I will say to the Senator, on the particular matter we are now discussing.

Mr. McKELLAR. I am glad to hear the Senator from Kentucky say that, because I agree very strongly with him that Mr. Delano, Dr. Merriam, and the other members of the Board are delightful gentlemen; but the Committee on Appropriations felt that it was its duty to cut down appropriations not necessary to the war effort. We thought it was essential to save when we could. This appropriation comes directly under the rule which was applied to all the other reductions made in this bill. We have nothing in the world against the Board. As I have said, the members of the Board are delightful gentlemen; but we came to the conclusion that this particular work could well be postponed for this year, and perhaps next year, until we know something more about what is going to be the result of the war. I desire to quote from Dr. Merriam, who is vice chairman of the Board.

Mr. BARKLEY. Mr. President, will the Senator yield?

Mr. McKELLAR. Yes. Mr. BARKLEY. In my remarks a while ago I stated that I did not personally know the members of the Board other than Mr. Delano. I do know Dr. Merriam; I have collaborated with him in many labors which I hope may some time result in good to the country. I have a very high regard for him, and I did not mean by my remarks to indicate that I did not know Dr. Merriam. It may be that I am better acquainted with the other members of the Board than I realize.

Mr. REED. Mr. President-The PRESIDING OFFICER. Does the Senator from Tennessee yield to the Senator from Kansas?

Mr. McKELLAR. I yield.

Mr. REED. I am very much interested in what has been said by the distinguished majority leader and other Senators. Here is a recognized duplication of effort. When in the world are we going to begin to remove these duplications? When we reach a place where we may begin, are we going to have some assistance from the administrative bodies, or is the Congress of the United States going to be required, by main strength and awkwardness, if I may put it that way, to go ahead without any assistance or cooperation and do the work itself?

Mr. McKELLAR. Mr. President, I wish to say that this is typical of the reductions made in the bill, and if this reduction fails-and it may be necessary to ask for a yea-and-nay vote on it—we might just as well say, "Come in, gentlemen, take what you want," for it will be useless to do otherwise.

I said this particular work could be postponed. Let us see if the Senate thinks that it could be postponed. They are making a research. I quote from the testimony given by Dr. Merriam, who came before the committee. Research is being made by the Board, for instance,

1. The right to work, usefully and creatively, through the productive years.

The appropriation was asked for further investigation and report on the research work these gentlemen are doing. The committee thought that further research into the right to work, usefully and creatively, through the productive years, might be postponed for a year or two until the war is over.

2. The right to fair pay, adequate to com-mand the necessities and amenities of life in exchange for work, ideas, thrift, and other socially valuable service.

That is the second line of research work they are to undertake and to report on. We thought that might be postponed until the emergency is over.

Mr. TYDINGS. Mr. President, will the Senator yield?

Mr. McKELLAR. Yes, I yield. Mr. TYDINGS. And particularly now, when the same functions are already being performed by two or three other agencies.

Mr. McKELLAR. Precisely. Mr. REED and Mr. VANDENBERG addressed the Chair.

Mr. McKELLAR. Just a moment. Let me make the statement myself. Precisely; they might be postponed when other agencies are doing exactly the same kind of work and making the same kind of reports.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Does the Senator from Tennessee yield, and, if so, to whom?

Mr. McKELLAR. I yield first to the Senator from Kansas, who, I think, rose first, and then I will yield to other Sena-

Mr. REED. Mr. President, I wish to add to what the Senator from Tennessee has said, that somebody, for example, the Senator from Maryland, who is a member of the special committee making inquiries of the activities of the various governmental administrative agencies. might tell us how many agencies are doing the same work.

Mr. TYDINGS. Right point-

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Does the Senator from Tennessee yield to the Senator from Maryland?

Mr. McKELLAR. I yield. Mr. TYDINGS. I should like to point out that there are many agencies engaged in such work. For example, the Department of Labor is constantly comparing wage scales in different sections of the country in different activities, such as carpenters and bricklayers, with the cost of living; the Office of Price Administration is doing the same thing; the Bureau of Agricultural Economics is comparing the cost of 50 or 150 or 200 basic food commodities with wages. So, all through the Government, various agencies are doing the same thing, although one could do the whole job and transmit its findings to the others.

Mr. BARKLEY. Let me ask a question

right there.

Mr. McKELLAR. I yield to the Sen-

ator from Kentucky.
Mr. BARKLEY. I think it is true there are duplications, and that there are many agencies that are overlapping in some of the work which is being performed, but I should like the Senator from Maryland or the Senator from Tennessee to tell me why this particular agency was picked out for a reduction in its appropriations instead of some other?

Mr. McKELLAR. This was the first one before us: we did not have the others before us in this bill. I wish to say that, so far as I am concerned, I am undertaking to reduce all unnecessary expend-

itures.

Mr. VANDENBERG and Mr. TOBEY

addressed the Chair.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Does the Senator from Tennessee yield; and, if so, to whom?

Mr. McKELLAR. I yield first to the

Senator from Michigan.
Mr. VANDENBERG. I understood the
Senator from Tennessee to read that one of the objectives is to study thrift.

Mr. McKELLAR. Yes.

Mr. VANDENBERG. My understanding is that the Senator from Tennessee prefers a little more thrift now and a little less study of it.

Mr. McKELLAR. It seems to me that would be wise. I now yield to the Sen-

ator from New Hampshire.

Mr. TOBEY. I should like to point out to the distinguished Senator from Tennessee that the Scriptures back him up in his efforts, for therein is the injunction, "Avoid vain repetition."

Mr. McKELLAR. I thank the Sena-

tor from New Hampshire.

Mr. BARKLEY. It is fine to quote the Scriptures in this case, but if they were quoted more frequently in the Senate probably the country would be better off.

Mr. McKELLAR. I agree with the

Senator about that.

Mr. REED. Mr. President— Mr. McKELLAR. I yield to the Sena-

tor from Kansas.

Mr. REED. I should like to add to what the Senator from Maryland has said that last night I examined a statement filed for the Inland Steel Co. in connection with the application of their organized labor for an increase in wages, and there I found a most complete statement of wages in every line of industry in this

country.
Mr. TYDINGS. By whom was it made? Was it made by the Labor De-

partment?

Mr. REED. It was made by a professor of economics in the University of Chicago, and introduced on behalf of the Inland Steel Co., but it was a very complete statement based upon the research of the Bureau of Labor and the National Industrial Conference Board. Studies of that kind are being made all the time. Why in the world should this figurehead of a body that has performed no useful service that I have ever heard of. go ahead wasting their time and the taxpayers' money as has been pointed out by the Senator from Tennessee?

Mr. McKELLAR. Already they have made a report which is contained in a large volume which must have cost a

great deal of money.

I quote further from Dr. Merriam. The Board would also investigate-

The right to adequate food, clothing, shelter, and medical care.

Each one is a duplication of research work that is now being made.

4. The right to security, with freedom from fear of old age, want, dependency, sickness, unemployment, and accident.

I take it there cannot be any doubt that we have reports from various departments on each one of those items.

6. The right to come and go, to speak or to be silent, free from the spyings of secret political police.

At a time such as this, when we need money so badly to defend ourselves against the two most warlike nations that ever existed upon the face of the earth, I do not think we ought to be spending the Government's money for such purposes as to conduct research into "the right to come and go, to speak or to be silent." We ourselves ought to be the judges of

7. The right to equality before the law, with equal access to justice in fact.

That might be postponed for a little while. I am sure we should not appropriate the people's money for such a purpose at this time.

Mr. TYDINGS. Will the Senator yield? Mr. McKELLAR. In just a moment.

The next item is:

8. The right to education, for work, for citizenship, and for personal growth and happiness.

O Mr. President, how far are we to go in this research? Why should we spend the people's money on such research?

At first I thought it would be better to strike out the whole appropriation as unnecessary, but out of abundance of caution, so as not to do anything contrary to the best interests of the country, we reduced the appropriation, and I think what we have recommended should remain. I hope the Senate will agree to the amendment. I yield to the Senator from Maryland.

Mr. TYDINGS. The Senator has anticipated what I was about to suggest. I think it would be very wholesome if a motion were made now to strike out the whole appropriation. Certainly a strong case has not been made for the appropriation. The activity is full of duplications and, after all, half a million dollars is not to be sneezed at.

Mr. McKELLAR. We cut the appropriation down to \$400,000.

Mr. REED. Mr. President, I entertain precisely the same thought the Senator from Maryland has expressed. Unless the chairman of the subcommittee, having the bill in charge, feels it unwise, I shall make the motion to strike out the appropriation.

Mr. McKELLAR. I hope the Senator will not do that. Whatever may be said of our committee and of any of its members, we have tried to do our conscientious, patriotic duty in eliminating expenditures which we regarded as un-I am sure there is not a necessary. man within the sound of my voice who has heard the debate today, who would not say that at least the reduction we have recommended should be agreed to. I hope the Senator from Kansas will go along with the committee, because we have tried to be conservative, we have tried to be reasonable, and we desire to do the right thing.

Mr. GLASS. Mr. President, let us vote on the amendment, and stop talking about it.

Mr. McKELLAR. I thank the Senator. and I shall be glad to have a vote, if the Senate is willing.

Mr. REED. I wish to say to the Senator from Tennessee that, of course, I shall go along with the committee.

Mr. McKELLAR. I hope the Senator

Mr. REED. I usually do. However, unless the committee thinks it unwise, I stand ready to make a motion to strike out the whole appropriation.

Mr. McKELLAR. I can understand the Senator's view about the matter, but I hope he will go along with the com-

mittee. I ask for a vote.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. Lucas in the chair). The question is on agreeing to the first amendment of the committee, on page 5, line 4, which will be stated

The CHIEF CLERK. It is proposed on page 5, line 4, after the word "expenses," to insert "not to exceed \$50,000."

Mr. AIKEN. I suggest the absence of a quorum.

PRESIDING OFFICER. The The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk called the roll, and the following Senators answered to their names:

Aiken Gillette O'Mahoney Andrews Pepper Radcliffe Austin Green Bailey Ball Gurney Hill Reed Reynolds Bankhead Holman Rosier Russell Barbour Hughes Johnson, Calif. Schwartz Shipstead Barkley Johnson, Colo. Brown Kilgore Smathers La Follette Bulow Smith Bunker Lee Spencer Lucas McCarran McFarland Burton Stewart Taft Butler Byrd Capper Thomas, Idaho Tobey Tunnell McKellar Caraway Maloney Maybank Mead Millikin Tydings Vandenberg Chandler Chavez Danaher Van Nuvs Wallgren Wiley Willis Davis Murdock Doxev Murray Ellender Norris George O'Daniel

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Seventythree Senators having answered to their names, a quorum is present. The question is on agreeing to the first amendment of the committee, on page 5, line 4.

The amendment was agreed to.

1.XXXVIII ___ 941

The next amendment of the Committee on Appropriations was, on page 5, line 14, after the word "laws", to strike out "\$668,845" and insert "\$400,000", a colon and the following proviso: "Provided, That no part of the funds appropriated under this item shall be used for the performance of any functions or duties other than the functions heretofore authorized by law to be performed by the Federal Employment Stabilization Board."

Mr. LA FOLLETTE. On this amendment I ask for the yeas and nays.

Mr. McKELLAR. Let us have the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered, and the legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. GLASS (when his name was called). I have a general pair with the Senator from Massachusetts [Mr. Lodgel. I transfer that pair to the Senator from Texas [Mr. Connally], and will vote. I vote "yea."

Mr. MURDOCK (when the name of Mr. Thomas of Utah was called). It is with very deep and sincere regret that I announce the absence from the Senate today, of my colleague, the senior Senate from Utah [Mr. Thomas], because of the unexpected and sudden death of Mrs. Thomas last evening. He has a general pair with the Senator from New Hampshire [Mr. BRIDGES].

The roll call was concluded.

Mr. HILL. I announce that the Senator from New Mexico [Mr. HATCH] and the Senator from Louisiana [Mr. Overton] are absent from the Senate because of illness.

The Senator from Washington [Mr. Bone] is detained in a meeting of the

Committee on Patents.

The Senator from Idaho [Mr. CLARK], the Senator from Missouri [Mr. CLARK], the Senator from California [Mr. Downey], and the Senator from Oklahoma [Mr. Thomas] are detained in Government department.

ernment departments.

The Senator from Texas [Mr. Con-NALLY], the Senator from Pennsylvania [Mr. Guffey], the Senator from Iowa [Mr. Herring], the Senator from New York [Mr. Wagner], the Senator from Missouri [Mr. Truman], and the Senator from Montana [Mr. Wheeler] are necessarily absent.

The Senator from Arizona [Mr. Hay-DEN] and the Senator from Massachusetts [Mr. Walsh] are detained in committee meetings. I am advised that if present and voting, the Senator from Massachusetts would vote "yea."

Mr. AUSTIN. The Senator from Oregon [Mr. McNary] is detained on business of the Senate. He is paired with the Senator from Pennsylvania [Mr. Guffey] on this question. If present, the Senator from Oregon would vote "yea," and the Senator from Pennsylvania would vote "nav."

The Senator from New Hampshire [Mr. BRIDGES] is absent as a result of an injury and illness. He has a general pair with the Senator from Utah [Mr. Thomas].

The Senator from North Dakota [Mr. Langer] and the Senator from Massachusetts [Mr. Lodge] are necessarily absent.

The result was announced—yeas 53, nays 20, not voting 23, as follows:

YEAS-53

Andrews	George .	Radcliffe
Austin	Gerry	Reed
Bailey	Gillette	Reynolds
Ball	Glass	Russell
Bankhead	Gurney	Shipstead
Barbour	Holman	Smathers
Brown	Johnson, Calif.	Smith
Bulow	Johnson, Colo.	Spencer
Bunker	Kilgore	Stewart
Burton	Lucas	Taft
Butler	McCarran	Thomas, Idaho
Byrd	McKellar	Tobey
Capper	Maloney	Tunnell
Chandler	Maybank	Tydings
Chavez	Mead	Vandenberg
Davis	Millikin	Van Nuys
Doxey	O'Daniel	Willis
Ellender	O'Mahoney	

NAYS-20

Aiken	Hughes	Nye		
Barkley	La Follette	Pepper		
Bilbo	Lee	Rosier		
Caraway	McFarland	Schwartz		
Danaher	Murdock	Wallgren		
Green	Murray	Wiley		
Hill	Norris			

NOT VOTING-23

Bone	Guffey	Thomas, Okla.
Brewster	Hatch	Thomas, Utah
Bridges	Hayden	Truman
Brooks	Herring	Wagner
Clark, Idaho	Langer	Walsh
Clark, Mo.	Lodge	Wheeler
Connally	McNary	White
Downey	Overton	THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF TH

So the amendment of the committee was agreed to.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will state the next amendment of the Committee on Appropriations.

The next amendment was, on page 5, after line 20, to strike out:

National-defense activities: For expenses necessary for the planning activities of the National Resources Planning Board in the interest of national defense, including personal services in the District of Columbia and elsewhere; contract stenographic reporting services; purchase of books of reference and periodicals; expenses of attendance at meetings concerned with development, conservation, and use of the resources of the Nation; traveling expenses; transfer of household goods and effects as provided by the act of October 10, 1940, and regulations promulgated thereunder; payment of actual transportation expenses and not to exceed \$10 per diem in lieu of subsistence and other expenses of persons serving, while away from their homes without other compensation from the United States, in an advisory capacity to the Board; purchase of office equipment and supplies without regard to section 3709 of the Revised Statutes when the amount involved in any case does not exceed \$50, and not to exceed \$100,000 for temporary employment of persons or organizations by contract or otherwise without regard to said section 3709, or classification laws, \$400,000, of which not to exceed \$40,000 shall be available for printing and binding.

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, under the subhead "Office of Government Reports," on page 7, line 3, after the word "automobiles", to strike out "\$1,475,000" and insert "\$1,075,000."

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, on page 7, line 8, after the word "binding", to strike out "\$25,000" and insert "\$18,730."

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, on page 7, after line 8, to insert:

The appropriations herein made for the Office of Government Reports shall not be

supplemented by funds from any source aggregating in excess of \$600,000 during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1943.

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, on page 7, at the end of line 13, to reduce the total appropriation for the Executive Office of the President, from \$5,135,325 to \$4,060,210.

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, under the heading "Civil Service Commission," on page 11, line 13, after the word "exceed", to strike out "\$2,500" and insert "\$7,500"; in line 16, after the word "examinations" and the semicolon, to insert "not to exceed \$130,356 for"; in line 18, after the word "Commission", to insert a comma and "and including actual transportation expenses and not to exceed \$10 per diem in lieu of subsistence and other expenses of members of the Board of Legal Examiners serving as such while away from their homes, without other compensation from the United States"; on page 12, line 17, after the word "stamps" and the semicolon, to strike out "\$5,861,527" and insert "\$5,000,000", and on page 13, line 14, after the word "force", to strike out the colon and the following additional proviso: "Provided further, That no part of any appropriation in this act shall be available for the salaries and expenses of the Board of Legal Examiners created in the Civil Service Commission by Executive Order No. 8743 of April 23, 1941."

The amendment was agreed to.
The next amendment was, on page 14, line 14, after the name "District of Columbia", to insert "traveling expenses

Columbia", to insert "traveling expenses not to exceed \$412,020;", and in line 17, after the word "binding", to strike out "\$7,491,908" and insert "\$7,446,128."

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, on page 15, at the end of line 17, to reduce the total appropriation for the Civil Service Commission from \$120,195,935 to \$119,288,628.

The amendment was agreed to.
The next amendment was, on page 15,
after line 18, to strike out:

THE ALLEY DWELLING AUTHORITY

The unexpended balance on June 30, 1942, of the "Conversion of inhabited alleys funds," established pursuant to the provisions of the District of Columbia Alley Dwelling Act, together with all accretions during the fiscal year 1943 to said fund under the provisions of said act and of the United States Housing Act of 1937, shall be available until June 30, 1943, for the purpose of carrying out the provisions of said District of Columbia Alley Dwelling Act.

Mr. O'MAHONEY. Mr. President, I should like to have the Senator from Tennessee make some explanation of the committee amendment beginning in line 19, on page 15, and ending in line 2, on page 16.

Mr. McKELLAR. I shall be very glad to do so.

Mr. O'MAHONEY. I may say, Mr. President, that I have a letter from the president of the Washington Housing Association expressing regret that this amendment was reported by the committee. Not having been present at the time the matter was discussed, I should be happy to have the Senator explain it.

Mr. McKELLAR. I shall be very glad to give the facts about this matter. The

Senator from Wyoming will remember that the Alley Dwelling Authority was created several years ago, and has built a great many houses in alleys-about 85 in all, as I remember. I may be mistaken as to the number. Those buildings cost the Government \$865,000—not quite \$1,000,000. The Alley Dwelling Authority has completed its job, insofar as the alley dwellings are concerned. They are not building any more houses. They are not building any more houses. collecting the rents and making repairs. After the committee had struck out this item, officers of the Alley Dwelling Authority came to the committee and made the statement that they would collect this year in the neighborhood of \$50,000 rent, and they had on hand about \$90,000. One of the arguments they made was that this work did not cost the Government anything at all. They said they did not know why the Congress should be interested in the matter because it did not cost the Government anything.

I asked one of the officers what he thought about the Government's interest in the \$865,000 it had invested. He said he had not considered that. It is simply the case of the Authority having run away with the idea that it should have control of this matter, and that there is

no use to interfere with it.

Mr. President, it developed, however, that there was a real necessity for the retention of three persons, I believe, one with a salary of about \$2,500, and two others with salaries of \$1,500 each. The Authority has one man actually in control of one apartment house, to whom they pay \$1,500, and he ought to be retained. They have another apartment house in which a part-time man is kept. After discussing the matter with the officers of the Authority, it was thought that three men should be retained. I cannot say that the representatives of the Authority agreed, because they wanted to retain the whole business. They wanted to use \$90,000 to collect, say, \$60,000 in rents, but, in the opinion of the committee, that was not necessary. I think, however, that the committee probably should not have stricken out the whole provision but should have allowed for the three clerks, whose salaries will amount to about \$5,500, and then the balance, amounting to \$12,000 in all, for improvement, repairs, or matters of that kind. I am quite sure that would be satisfactory to everybody. While I am not authorized by the committee to offer this amendment, on my own behalf I should like to offer a substitute so that the collection of the rents may be carried on and they can be paid into the Treasury of the United States as general receipts.

Mr. O'MAHONEY. That seems to me to be quite satisfactory.

Mr. SCHWARTZ. Mr. President, will the Senator yield?

Mr. McKELLAR. I yield. Mr. SCHWARTZ. Did the representatives of the agency give any specific reasons why they thought they ought to have the unexpended balance, aside from the argument that the Government was not interested? Did they give any rea-

Mr. McKELLAR. Their reason was that they had been doing some defensehousing work locally-surveying and other things-and they wanted to continue it. I do not know whether they can continue it, or whether they have the right to do so. However, if the amendment which I have suggested is agreed to, the rents can be collected and paid into the Treasury of the United States as general receipts. That ought to be done. With the explanation I have made, I take the liberty of offering an amendment to take the place of the matter proposed to be stricken out.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The guestion is on agreeing to the committee amendment on page 15, after line 18.

The amendment was agreed to.

Mr. McKELLAR. I now offer the amendment, which I send to the desk and ask to have stated.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. amendment offered by the Senator from Tennessee will be stated.

The CHIEF CLERK. On page 16, after line 2, it is proposed to insert the following:

THE ALLEY DWELLING AUTHORITY

For the maintenance and operation of properties under title I of the District of Columbia Alley Dwelling Authority Act, \$12,000: Provided, That all receipts derived from sales, leases, or other sources after July 1, 1942, shall be covered into the Treasury of the United States monthly: Provided further, That any unexpended balance on June 30, 1942, of the "Conversion of Inhabited Alleys Fund," established pursuant to such act, shall also be covered into the Treasury.

The amendment was agreed to.

Mr. BURTON. Mr. President, I should like to ask a question of the Senator from Tennessee. Do I correctly understand that the amendment just agreed to relates to the collection of rents on the buildings erected with the first \$865,000?

Mr. McKELLAR. Yes.

Mr. BURTON. I understand that the Alley Dwelling Authority has a great many operations not relating to that particular project and that it will require considerably more than \$12,000.

Mr. McKELLAR. The other activities will not be affected.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will state the next committee amendment.

The next amendment was, under the heading "Federal Communications Commission", on page 16, line 17, after the word "services", to insert a comma and "traveling expenses not to exceed \$52,-110"; on page 17, line 12, after the word "thereunder", to strike out "\$2,300,000" and insert "\$2,000,000", and in line 13, after the word "exceed", to strike out "\$1,401,500" and insert "\$1,218,260."

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, on page 17, line 20, after the word "expenses" , to insert a comma and "including not to exceed \$112,140 for traveling expenses," and on page 18, line 10, after the word "binding", to strike out "\$2,667,619."

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, on page 18, at the end of line 13, to reduce the total

appropriation for the Federal Communications Commission from \$4,991,219 to \$4,678,759.

The amendment was agreed to.

MILLIKIN. Mr. President, I Mr. should like to ask the distinguished Senator from Tennessee who is the Goodwin Watson who is mentioned specifically on page 18?

Mr. McKELLAR. We are now considering committee amendments. The subject of the Senator's inquiry will not come up until later, if any Senator should care to bring it up. As I understand, the House inserted the provision referred to because of evidence that Mr. Watson was a Communist, and that the House had tried in other ways to get rid of him, but could not do so. It, therefore, inserted this provision.

Mr. MILLIKIN. I do not wish to go

into the question out of order.

Mr. McKELLAR. We can consider it later. I have the record before me.
The PRESIDING OFFICER. The

next committee amendment will be stated.

The next amendment was, under the heading "Federal Loan Agency-Office of the Administrator", on page 18, line 21, after the numerals "1939", to strike out \$250,000" and insert "\$241,575"; on page 19, line 1, after the word "periodicals" and the semicolon, to strike out "newspapers (not exceeding \$500); not exceeding \$1,500 for expenses of attendance at meetings or conventions of societies or associations concerned with the furtherance of the work of the Agency, when specifically authorized by the Administrator;", and in line 10, after the word "exceed", to strike out "\$15,000" and insert "\$10,000."

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, under the subhead, "Electric Home and Farm Au-thority," on page 20, line 5, after the word "exceed", to strike out "\$200,000" and insert "\$150,000."

Mr. HILL. Mr. President, if I may have the attention of the distinguished chairman of the subcommittee, as I understand, the Electric Home and Farm Authority is in process of liquidation.

Mr. McKELLAR. That is true.

Mr. HILL. It has been in process of liquidation for 6 or 8 months, has it not? Mr. McKELLAR. That is true. Mr. Jones, the head of this activity, has an amendment which I had intended to suggest if the committee amendment should be agreed to. The suggested amendment reads as follows:

Provided, That necessary expenses in collecting monthly installments on contracts and repossessing appliances in case of default, not to exceed \$2.50 per year per contract, shall not be considered as administrative expenses.

Mr. HILL. That is the very matter about which I wished to ask the Senator.

Mr. McKELLAR. I expect to offer that amendment when the matter comes up. Mr. HILL. This agency has a surplus

in its funds. It is not taking any money out of the Treasury.
Mr. McKELLAR. It is just another

one of those cases-

Mr. HILL. Is the statement as to a

surplus true or not?

Mr. Mckellar. It is just another one of those cases in which the manager of an activity which is making money says, "We are paying our expenses out of our income. The Congress ought not to be bothered about that." In times like these we have to bother about such things in order to keep the Government running properly.

Mr. HILL. I agree with the Senator. I think the Senator's suggested amendment would make it possible for this agency to collect the money which it is now collecting in a more businesslike and efficient way than without the amend-

ment.

Mr. McKELLAR. Mr. Jones prepared it, and I believe he is correct, because he is a very able businessman. That is the reason I intend to offer the amendment when we get to it.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is on agreeing to the committee

amendment on page 20, line 5.

The amendment was agreed to.
The next amendment was, on page 20, after line 23, to strike out:

EXPORT-IMPORT BANK OF WASHINGTON

Export-Import Bank of Washington, administrative expenses. Not to exceed \$270,535 of the funds of the Export-Import Bank of Washington, established as an agency of the Government by Executive Order No. 6581 of February 2, 1934, and continued as such agency until January 22, 1947, by the act approved January 31, 1935, as amended by the act of September 26, 1940 (Public, No. 792), shall be available during the fiscal year 1943 for all administrative expenses of the bank, including personal services in the District of Columbia and elsewhere: travel expenses, in accordance with the Standardized Government Travel Regulations and the act of June 3, 1926, as amended (5 U. S. C. 821-833); printing and binding, lawbooks and books of reference; not to exceed \$500 for periodicals, newspapers, and maps; procurement of supplies, equipment, and services; typewriters, adding machines, and other labor-saving devices, including their repair and exchange; not to exceed \$25,000 for the temporary employment of persons or organizations special services by contract or otherwise, without regard to section 3709 of the Revised Statutes; transfer of household goods and effects, as provided by the act of October 10, 1940, and regulations promulgated thereunder; rent in the District of Columbia: Provided, That all necessary expenses (including special services performed on a contract or fee basis, but not including other personal services) in connection with the acquisition, operation, maintenance, improvement, or disposition of any real or personal property belonging to the bank or in which it has an interest, including expenses of collections of pledged collateral, shall be considered as nonadministrative expenses for the purposes

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, under the subhead "Federal Home Loan Bank Board", on page 22, line 11, before the word "travel", to insert "not to exceed \$189,000 for"; on page 23, line 5, after the word "expenses", to strike out "\$1,500,938" and insert "\$1,250,000"; and in line 15, after the word "hereof" and the colon, to strike out "Provided further, That except for the limitations in amounts hereinbefore specified, and the restrictions in respect to travel expenses,

the administrative expenses and other obligations of the Board shall be incurred, allowed, and paid in accordance with the provisions of said act of July 22, 1932, as amended (12 U. S. C. 1421–1449)" and insert "Provided further, That such sum shall be so apportioned and distributed by the Board over the fiscal year 1943, and shall be so administered during such fiscal year, as to (1) constitute the total amount that will be required for such expenses during such fiscal year and (2) prevent expenditures which will necessitate making additional sums available for such expenses during such year; and a failure to comply with the requirements of this proviso shall be deemed to be a violation by each member of the Board, and by any other person responsible for such failure. of section 3679 of the Revised Statutes, as amended (31 U.S. C. 665).

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, under the subhead "Federal Housing Administration", on page 24, line 8, after the word "exceed", to strike out "\$15,041,343" and insert "\$14,621,499"; and in line 19, after the word "elsewhere", to insert "not to exceed \$759,376 for."

Mr. BARKLEY. Mr. President, I should like to invite the attention of the Senator from Tennessee to the amendment on page 24, line 8, which reduces the total amount of money appropriated for the Federal Housing Administration by some \$400.000.

Mr. McKELLAR. I shall be glad to

explain it.

Mr. BARKLEY. I should like to talk to the Senator about that amendment, in connection with the amendment in line 19 on the same page, and also the amendment on page 26, line 14.

I have no desire to oppose the amendment in lines 8 and 9 on page 24, where the total amount of the appropriation has been reduced; but I think that the reduction has been made in the wrong place, according to the information which I have received from the Federal Housing Administration.

The Senator will observe that in line 19 on page 24 there is a provision that not to exceed \$759,376 for traveling expenses shall be appropriated out of the \$14,000,000.

Mr. McKELLAR. That is correct. We made a 20-percent reduction.

Mr. BARKLEY. Yes. The Federal Housing Administration advises me that while it would be greatly handicapped if a 20-percent reduction were made, a 10-percent reduction would not seriously interfere with its activities, for this reason: The Senator realizes that Congress has by law increased the per diem allowance for subsistence from \$5 to \$6. Also the Interstate Commerce Commission has authorized a 10-percent increase in railroad fares, which must be paid by those who travel for this agency as well as by others.

The Housing Administration feels that it can save enough in other places in its appropriation to avoid asking for the restoration of the House figure, but that the attempt of the committee to save out of traveling expenses would handicap it because of the increase in the per diem

subsistence allowance made by Congress and because of the increase in railroad fares under the authority of the Interstate Commerce Commission.

I was about to suggest to the Senator that, instead of the \$759,376, which would represent a 20-percent reduction, he agree to a figure of \$854,296, which would represent a 10-percent reduction. It would not result in an increase of the total appropriation, but it would take into account the increase in the per diem allowance and also the increase in the railroad rates necessarily paid by those who travel. I think that would be a fair adjustment of the matter. I hope the Senator from Tennessee may accept the suggestion.

Mr. McKELLAR. Mr. President, let me call the Senator's attention to the fact that we have consolidated 8 or 10

housing agencies.

Mr. TYDINGS. Sixteen of them.

Mr. McKellar. There were 8 or 10 large ones, and some smaller ones. Undoubtedly they ought to be able to get along with less travel pay and less expenses of all kinds. That consolidation took place after the bill was under consideration. We could let the matter go to conference and have the head of the department come before us. If there is a real reason for not making the proposed reduction, perhaps some other arrangement can be made; but rather than have this item put in jeopardy in conference, it would be better to let it go in as it is.

There is another reason: The Senator understands that every department and agency of the Government is objecting to any reduction of its travel pay. They are objecting to any reduction in what the House has allowed them, or what they have heretofore been receiving. So we want to treat all alike; and I do not think we should undertake to make distinctions.

Mr. BARKLEY. I should like to call the Senator's attention to the fact that the House has passed a bill, purely for war purposes, increasing from \$300,000,000 to \$800,000,000 the authority of the Federal Housing Administration to insure loans. That has been done in order to induce the utilization of private capital for the building of houses in defense areas, in order that the Government itself will not have to build such houses.

I have before me a letter from the city of Henderson, Ky., where a defense plant is located. The situation there is only typical of the situation elsewhere. Nearly 200 families will be brought into Henderson because of the defense plant located there. There is not a vacant house in Henderson to house any of the 200 families. Someone has to build the needed houses. We do not want the Government to do that if it can be avoided. The House has passed a bill—and I think tomorrow the Senate Committee on Banking and Currency will report it to the Senate-increasing by \$500,000,000 the authority of the Federal Housing Administration—purely for war purposes; we should keep that in mind. That will not mean taking any money out of the Treasury. As a matter of fact, the Federal Housing Administration has been one of the agencies which have made

money. It has turned back and is turning back money into the Treasury. It does not pay out any money from the Treasury. It does not build houses; it insures.

Mr. McKELLAR. I do not think it has paid any money back into the Treasury.

Mr. BARKLEY. I am not sure about the bookkeeping. At any rate, the Federal Housing Administration is making a profit from its operations. It insures the loans. It does not invest any money in building houses.

In order to accommodate the influx of population in defense areas, so declared by the Government of the United States. it is desirable to induce the investment of private capital in the construction of houses in scores of communities throughout the country in order to take care of defense housing problems. The House has passed a bill, which I think will be reported tomorrow by the Committee on Banking and Currency, increasing by \$500,000,000 the authority of the Federal Housing Administration—not the United States Housing Authority, but the F. H. A., with which all of us are familiar. In other words, the bill makes provision for it to insure the construction of houses, for war purposes only, to the extent of \$500,000,000 more than it now has the authority to insure.

Of course, I do not know what the Senate will do with that bill, but I presume the Senate will pass it. The bill was passed practically unanimously by the House, and I think it will be reported to the Senate tomorrow.

If the bill is passed, it will mean that the Federal Housing Administration will have to increase by about 160 percent its operations in the insurance of housing facilities in the country. Of course, that will mean that it will have to widen its activities. I realize that a lump sum of nine-hundred-thousand-odd dollars, which is the amount which the Bureau of the Budget recommended in this bill for travel expenses, sounds like a very large amount; and yet the Federal Housing Administration must bring information to the residents of communities and cities, as well as to private capital which we are seeking to induce to enter upon the construction of houses in the defense areas so that we shall not have to provide money out of the Treasury for the construction of such houses.

In view of the increase we have made in the allowance for per diem subsistence-an increase from \$5 a day to \$6 a day, which is a 20-percent increasein view of the increased cost of transportation throughout the country, it seems to me that a compromise of a 10-percent reduction is fair. Either that must be done or the agencies must make an unusual curtailment in the traveling expenses of their agents and representatives. Such men must go to the various communities and take information to the people interested in housing projects. Among all the agencies which have been set up by the Government, I think the Federal Housing Administration has been one of the most useful and successful. It is not now costing the Government anything out-of-pocket. It is paying its own way. The proposed reduction would really amount to a reduction in the amount which the Federal Housing Administration might spend out of its own revenue, and not out of the Treasury of the United States.

Mr. McKELLAR. Mr. President, the Senator has fallen into the same error into which all the bureaus have fallen. They say that if they are in charge of an activity which is making money there is no cost to the Government. They contend that they are making money and that they should be free to spend what they please out of it. I cannot agree to that argument.

Mr. BARKLEY. I do no accept that suggestion.

I know how easy it is to condemn someone by calling him a bureaucrat. I do not think the officials of the Federal Housing Administration can be brought within that category. I am now talking only about the item for traveling expenses. I know that in my own State—and I presume every Senator knows this to be true as to his own State-from the beginning until now it has been necessary for the Federal Housing Administration to bring information to the attention of those who are interested in the construction of houses. In the first place, information had to be brought to those who desired to build houses. They had to be told how they could proceed-borrow the money from a bank or from some lending institution which in turn would be insured by the Federal Housing Administration against losses of certain types. Operating under that cooperative plan of the F. H. A., the banks, and the individuals, they got into the business of construction of houses.

All of that requires education; it requires personal contacts; it requires a description of the plan-not only or necessarily by pamphlets and advertisements in newspapers but by little group meetings which are being held and were held and may again be held in communities, especially communities in the defense areas; I know of scores of communities in which are being built plants for the construction and manufacture of tanks, airplanes, powder, synthetic rubber, and other commodities necessary for winning this war-communities in which, in order to induce the utilization of private capital for the building of such houses, it is necessary to advise the persons interested in undertaking such construction of the procedure, of their rights, and of the results of their operations. Otherwise, the Government will have to pay for the building of such houses, and we shall be called upon for an appropriation for that purpose.

I think it is extremely desirable that private capital be induced to enter that construction field; and that is what the item under discussion is intended to facilitate

Mr. SCHWARTZ. Mr. President, will the Senator yield?

Mr. BARKLEY. I yield to the Senator from Wyoming.

Mr. SCHWARTZ. The Senator has overlooked another necessity for travel expenses; and that is that when houses are built the loans have to be insured by the Government, and before they are built an inspector must go out to see that the site selected is in a proper part of town, so that the risk will be a safe one. Also inspectors are required to ascertain that the houses have been built. So a very large part of the expense is directly incurred in protection of the Government in its capacity as an insurer of loans in connection with the building of such houses.

Mr. BARKLEY. Undoubtedly that is true. Let me point out an example. A few moments ago I mentioned Henderson, Ky., where a year ago the Government located an ammonia plant to be built by the Allied Chemical & Dye Co., and to be paid for out of the funds of the United States Government, under a contract. As I say, the plant will be operated by the Allied Chemical & Dye Co. Henderson is a city of approximately 20,-000 persons. The plant was located there because Henderson is close to the coal fields. The coal is carried in barges down the Ohio River and unloaded on the banks of the river. The site selected was chosen because of the easy access to the plant itself. The ammonia is made from a certain type of coal, which is obtained in eastern Kentucky and in western West Virginia.

It was located there because of its proximity to the raw materials and because of the cheap transportation; but that community was not prepared to house the extra employees to be brought there in order to operate this institution.

Under the housing bill which is now pending before the Committee on Banking and Currency, which is to meet to-morrow on that bill, if they report it, \$500,000,000 could be added to the insurance authority of the Federal Housing Administration. Obviously, its employees will have to do more traveling in order to inspect these housing facilities and in order to carry them on.

Mr. TYDINGS. Mr. President, will the Senator yield?

Mr. BARKLEY. I shall yield in a moment. In order to provide the insurance that they have been providing heretofore, and in view of the increased possibility of activity on the part of the F. H. A., it seems to me that a 10-percent reduction is not an unreasonable figure on the side either of the committee or of the F. H. A. I now yield to the Senator from Maryland.

Mr. TYDINGS. I think if the Senator's premise were correct, his reasoning would be unanswerable, but I do not believe the Senator has the true picture of the increased activities of the Federal Housing Authority.

The Federal Government has stopped all private building. The priorities situation has interfered with the building of new homes. One has to obtain priorities before he can secure material with which to build a new home.

Mr. BARKLEY. That does not apply to any of these defense areas, though.

Mr. TYDINGS. As a matter of fact, the evidence shows that there is less building going on in the United States today, notwithstanding defense home building, with the private building of

homes being curtailed, than there was with the building of private homes going at full blast a year or two ago, and there was no defense housing activity in the picture.

So I do not believe the Senator's conception of the facts is an accurate one. If what he said were true, and presented a true picture, naturally there would be greater need for travel allowance, but the fact is that when individual or a group of small individuals' homes were insured in this or that locality, it took more inspection, because there was no uniformity to the operation, whereas when the Government enters on a housing proposition there is a certain amount of uniformity about it, and when one house is insured on an approved plan they are all insured, because they are more or less all the same, whether as to facade, chimney, windows or what not. So that private building per se, the building of homes for civilian populations in New York or Buffalo or Baltimore or Washington or wherever it may be, is practically at a standstill; but there is a great deal of building of homes in defense areas where they are needed. Therefore, as there are fewer homes being built than under the former dispensation, it stands to reason that there is less money needed for travel mileage.

Mr. BARKLEY. Of course, it is true. as the Senator has said, that the activities of the War Production Board have curtailed the building of private houses by private individuals, as a rule, but that very situation has been brought about because of the necessity of building more houses in some communities in order to shelter persons who are engaged in national defense work.

Mr. TYDINGS. Mr. President. will the Senator yield?

Mr. BARKLEY. Yes.

Mr. TYDINGS. I think it might be more in keeping with the facts to say that a part of it has been caused by the building of defense homes. The real reason for the shortage in the building of private homes is that wiring, certain forms of steel, heating plants, and many other items that go into private homes, are no longer available to the purchasing public, but are only available in defense activities. That is the reason the building of private homes has been curtailed.

Mr. BARKLEY. I may have been too all-inclusive in my suggestion that the reason why we have curtailed the building of houses for private individuals is to concentrate upon the building of houses in defense areas, and I accept the suggestion that at least it is in part due to that situation.

Mr. SMITH. Mr. President-

The FRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. BUNKER in the chair). Does the Senator from Kentucky yield to the Senator from South Carolina?

Mr. BARKLEY. I yield.

Mr. SMITH. Mr. President, with reference to the suggestion of the Senator from Maryland, I should like to state that in certain communities one cannot get nails with which to build ordinary necessary structures even on the farm.

Mr. BARKLEY. I do not dispute that at all; there is no controversy upon that point, but there is one thing I do not think any of us can deny and that is that in the areas where plants have been constructed or are now under construction for the production of war materials, where the local housing facilities are not sufficient to accommodate the people who will be brought there to manufacture indispensable war materials, either private capital must build the houses or the Government of the United States must build them.

We cannot establish in a particular location a \$30,000,000 plant for the manufacture of TNT or powder or aluminum or tanks or anything else and put people out on the streets; they have got to have housing accommodations. If such accommodations do not exist in the community, they must be built by someone, and there are only two sources from which the money can be derived to build such housing accommodations: One is the Government of the United Statesand we want to avoid that, if it is possible—and the other is private capital.

While it is true that building has been curtailed and almost completely eliminated for ordinary purposes throughout the country, I think we are bound to admit that building facilities must be accelerated in the communities where there is a new defense activity movingwhere a factory is to be established. I have in mind several places-

Mr. TYDINGS. Mr. President, will the Senator yield?

Mr. BARKLEY. I shall yield in a moment. I have in mind several places-one of two in the State of Tennessee, one or two in the State of Kentucky, and one or two in the State of Mississippi-where because of the geographical location, transportation, and other considerations there have been established very extensive plants for the manufacture of certain products necessary to the war. Such plants are being erected in communities where there are absolutely no housing facilities whatever; some of them in communities in rural sections where, in the nearest town proximate to the location, there must be additional housing facilities provided. We must either build them by the Government or offer some inducement to private capital to construct them. This is one of the ways. While the housing agencies have been consolidated, construction of houses by private capital in these defense areas is yet under the jurisdiction of the Federal Housing Administration, in order to avoid expenditure out of the Treasury, so that their activities have not only not been curtailed but will be increased. That is why the Congress is, in the next few days, going to pass a bill increasing their authority to insure housing loans by about \$500;-000.000. It is obviously necessary that the representatives of the Federal Housing Administration should go into those communities to contact personally those who will be interested in putting their own money into such housing. fore, I do not think that a 20-percent decrease in the traveling expenses of these employees is justified, but I do think that a 10-percent reduction would be fair.

Mr. TYDINGS. Mr. President, will the Senator yield?

Mr. BARKLEY. I yield. Mr. TYDINGS. I should like to state two things to the Senator. First, under the priorities now being enforced by the War Production Board, even the building of a high school has been prohibited for the time being because of the lack of steel and other commodities which the Government needs more vitally for the war effort. I know that to be true. The second thing I should like to say to the Senator is that if we adopt his amendment and go to conference the margin which the conferees can consider will be between no reduction and a 10-percent reduction; but if we take the committee amendment and go to conference, the margin before the conferees will be between the committee's 20 percent and nothing.

I wish to say to the Senator that, as one of the conferees, if the Federal housing bill shall come before us, as I assume it will, and if more mileage money is needed than the committee amendment provides, I shall be glad to support an increase; but with private building stopped pretty much over the country because of the shortage of furnaces, of electric wiring, nails, and other materials, I do not believe, in the total picture, as much building will be done for the whole Nation as was done before we had the priority system, which made building material not available. I will be glad to carry out that agreement in good faith.

Mr. BARKLEY. Mr. President, I realize, of course, that there is a wider margin in conference where there is a 20-percent reduction than where there is a 10-percent reduction, but I wish the Senator would keep in mind that this suggestion in no way changes the reduction in the total amount. The Federal Housing Administration feel that while they can undergo a saving of four-hundred-odd thousand dollars, it has been provided in the wrong place.

The Senate committee has recommended a reduction of the appropriation of \$15,041,343 provided by the House to \$14.621.499, and out of this item has provided that not to exceed \$759,376 may be paid for traveling expenses; and another item, on page 26, involving \$180,000, has been stricken out. The officials of the Housing Authority say they can make saving enough somewhere else to take care of the reduction without a total increase in the appropriation that has been provided by the committee.

I wish the Senator from Tennessee and other members of the committee would accept the suggestion and take it to conference. It does not involve any total increase; it merely involves a shift of the saving in the two items in the bill to some other activity in which they can make up enough in savings to take care of the increase I have suggested.

Mr. McKELLAR. Mr. Ferguson, the head of this activity came to see me about the matter and told me frankly what the Senator has stated here today. By the way, I wish to say for Mr. Ferguson that he is one of the most pleasant gentlemen I ever met.

Mr. BARKLEY. And a very competent administrator.

Mr. McKELLAR. I imagine he is. I should judge so from the way he talked and the way he acted. He seemed to be a very conservative man and a very reasonable man. I was impressed with him.

The best way to handle this matter is to let it go to conference, and let us work it out there; and I told Mr. Ferguson that if it came to a vote in the Senate and the Senate voted against it, the conferees' hands would be tied. If it goes to conference as it is, their hands will not be tied, but we can work out a reasonable proposal between the two Houses. I wish to ask the Senator from Kentucky, as the majority leader, to let the provision go as it is, and let us work out a reasonable proposal.

I was very much impressed with the attitude, with the demeanor, with the ability, and with the sincerity of purpose of Mr. Ferguson. I am rather inclined to think that there was something in what he had to say, but I think the matter should go to conference, and I wish the Senator would let it take that

course: Mr. RADCLIFFE. Mr. President, will the Senator from Kentucky yield?

Mr. BARKLEY, I yield.

Mr. RADCLIFFE. The Senator from Kentucky made the statement a moment ago that a bill with regard to housing would soon be presented before the Senate. He had reference, of course, to the F. H. A. bill about to be reported from the Committee on Banking and Currency. Mr. BARKLEY. That is correct.

Mr. RADCLIFFE. The hearings on that bill have just been completed. The committee will meet tomorrow morning, and every reasonable effort will be made to expedite the passage of the bill.

The members of the committee have become convinced from the hearings that the necessity for the building of houses is imperative. It is useless to employ workers if there are not suitable and convenient homes in which they can live with adequate transportation facilities. Some of the large industrial plants now being built, like the one in Michigan, for instance, are built on the prairies, and it is absolutely essential that houses for that plant should be erected and should be erected quickly, preferably by private, not public, funds. I think it would be a pity for the Senate to impose any unnecessary restrictions. I am satisfied that Mr. Blandford, Mr. Ferguson, and their associates are going to be careful and efficient in these matters, and I certainly would not at this time impose any restrictions which are not obviously necessary.

Whether the Housing Authority will have to spend a large amount or a small amount for traveling, I do not know, and no one else can know at this moment, but we must realize that the Housing Administration has a big problem to work out. Their problem is a vital one, and they must get to work on it as quickly and effectively as possible.

Mr. President, for the reasons I have stated, I hope the amendment will not prevail.

Mr. BARKLEY. I appreciate the remarks of the Senator from Maryland. who is a member of the Committee on Banking and Currency, and who is practically in charge of the proposed legislation.

Mr. RADCLIFFE. I am in charge of the bill to which I have referred.

Mr. BARKLEY. I thank the Senator for his remarks, because he confirms what I suggested a moment ago, that in all probability that bill will be reported tomorrow by the committee, and I think it will pass the Senate. It will increase the authority of the Federal Housing Administration to insure loans by about \$500 000 000

Mr. RADCLIFFE. That is correct.

Mr. BARKLEY. I appreciate the sincerity of the Senator from Tennessee and of the Senator from Maryland, I always like to support the action of a committee when I can. I feel that that is my duty here, so far as I can do it: but when I am brought face to face with a situation such as that now confronting us, I realize that no person has the last word, that no one is infallible, and that no committee is infallible on this subject.

If the Senator from Tennessee will accept the amendment-I am in a compromising mood-while I personally should prefer the \$854,000 instead of \$759,000, because it involves no increase, that representing a 10-percent decrease instead of the 20 percent carried by the committee, without taking time to figure out the exact amount in dollars, would the Senator accept a 15-percent reduction, instead of 20 percent?

Mr. McKELLAR. Personally, I should have no objection to that. Is the chairman of the committee agreeable to the suggestion?

Mr. GLASS. We are spending the difference in talk. [Laughter.]

Mr. BARKLEY. Reserving the right to insert the exact figure, which we can supply a moment later, I suggest the amendment.

Would the Senator be willing to agree to an amendment on page 26, where the committee has eliminated altogether \$180,000 where I had suggested that \$100,000 be substituted instead?

Mr. McKELLAR. That is for propaganda purposes; it is for advertising. I do not know why this instrumentality of the Government should engage in that activity. We will take it to conference.

Mr. BARKLEY. Eighty thousand dollars of it would go to conference.

Mr. McKELLAR. When we get a little further on in the bill we will find what "public relations" really means. "Public relations" means a propaganda service for certain officials. I hope the Senator will not make a request for that. I am willing to accept the 15 percent.

Mr. BARKLEY. The committee has made a hundred percent reduction in this item.

Mr. McKELLAR. It should be that: the activity never should have been permitted. The idea of the Housing Authority having to spend \$180,000 for advertising what it is doing. It is a wasteful extravagance, and it should not be

Mr. BARKLEY. The committee has eliminated in the amendment on page 26 \$50,000 which has been allocated by law to the Bureau of Standards.

Mr. McKELLAR. It has not been allocated by law: the money has to be appropriated, and our committee did not agree

to appropriate it.

Mr. BARKLEY. Fifty thousand dollars has been carried in the appropriations to enable the Bureau of Standards to make investigations and standardize projects under the Federal Housing Administration, upon the request of the Administrator. So that would still leave only \$50,000 available, instead of \$180,000. for carrying on educational work in the District of Columbia by the F. H. A.

Mr. McKELLAR. Educational work. It is nothing in the world but propaganda and advertising.

Mr. BARKLEY. "Propaganda" is a broad term.

Mr. McKELLAR. Perhaps "advertising" is not so broad.

Mr. BARKLEY. The Senator and I indulge in a good deal of propaganda when we go out into the country and make speeches for something in which we believe.

Mr. McKELLAR. We are indulging in

Mr. BARKLEY. The difference is that the Senator's propaganda and my propaganda are always wise and legitimate and proper, whereas propaganda on the part of others may be questioned.

Mr. TYDINGS. Mr. President, will the Senator from Kentucky yield?

Mr. BARKLEY. I yield. Mr. TYDINGS. I was about to point out to the Senator from Kentucky that this matter should go to conference, for the reason, as he himself has stated-and I think he is well within the facts-that the principal part of the building that is to be done hereafter is to be defense building, in connection with defense plants. It is really a governmental activity. The need for propaganda and the need for advertising, which existed before the war, when private individuals were building homes, and we wanted to encourage home building, has disappeared. So that \$180,000 is not needed to tell the people about it today, because they cannot get the materials with which to build, even if we should tell them.

Mr. BARKLEY. The Senator from Tennessee and the Senator from Maryland having agreed to the 15-percent proposition in the other amendment, if this amendment shall go to conference with the \$180,000 for information and educational purposes, will Mr. Ferguson and other representatives of the F. H. A. be permitted to present the matter to the conference?

Mr. McKELLAR. I should be very glad to have them do so.

Mr. BARKLEY. I, myself, might desire to go before the committee in an informal way.

Mr. McKELLAR. I should be glad to

have the matter handled in that way.

Mr. BARKLEY. With that understanding, I shall not press the amendMr. MEAD. Mr. President, will the Senator from Kentucky yield?

Mr. BARKLEY. I yield.

Mr. MEAD. I think it is a good idea that the conferees get together on these items, because I am sure, from what I have learned of the situation, that our conception of education and propaganda is entirely erroneous. The educational work the Housing Administration is doing is very essential, and directly connected with the national defense, because of priority orders and limitations and restrictions on construction of homes. The Housing Administration is educating the people on the construction of homes by the use of substitute materials and equipment not within the banned categories. I believe they are doing an excellent job, and, in view of the fact that they turned over a surplus of \$13,000,000 as a result of their educational work, I believe they really should be encouraged.

Mr. BARKLEY. I think the Federal Housing Administration has done a good job in the matter of educating the people, and coming in contact with them. It is not propaganda in the disagreeable and offensive sense in which we use that term. We know that the average person, even the average bank, the average lending agency in this country, does not know all the intricacies and the finesse of cooperating in the making of these loans. Many of them do now, because of experience in the operation of the F. H. A. It is not an offensive effort; the Federal Housing Administration is not going out with a lot of ballyhoo in an attempt to sell insurance on loans. It is merely educating people as to their rights and banks as to their obligations and the way in which they can cooperate to facilitate these housing activities.

In this connection, Mr. President, I desire to have printed in the RECORD at this point in my remarks a brief two-page résumé of the activities of the Federal Housing Administration on these two points, as well as the reasons advanced for retaining at least a part of the appropriation proposed by the committee to be stricken out.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

There being no objection, the matter was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

A competent information service is essential to the effective functioning of the Federal Housing Administration, now engaged chiefly in providing housing for workers in war industries.

This is especially true at this time, when the Federal Housing Administration program is expected to provide the bulk of the 200,000 houses recently allocated by the War Production Board for construction by private industry with private capital.

At this very moment the Senate is about to begin consideration of the bill, already passed unanimously by the House, to enable the Federal Housing Administration to put this war housing program into effect through title VI of the National Housing Act.

This bill is designed to encourage the production of thousands of houses for war work-ers by private industry and private capital operating under the Federal Housing Administration program without further burdening the Treasury at this time.

Yet, if the action of the Senate Appropriations Committee is sustained, the Federal Housing Administration would be unable to spend, out of its own revenue, one nickel inform the country how to make use of this vitally important measure. Nor would such action save any money for the Government's war expenditure, since the funds involved would not revert to the Treasury but would simply be tied up in the Mutual Mortgage Insurance Fund, of which the Government, through the Federal Housing Administration Commissioner, is only the custodian.

It should be borne in mind that the National Housing Act is not self-operating. has no compulsory features. The Federal Housing Administration cannot compel anyone to operate within the provisions of the successful operation depends upon the wholly voluntary cooperation of all elements in the home-construction industry, in home financing, and by the public generally. It would be difficult, if not impossible, to obtain this cooperation without continuing information and educational work so that those who use the law may be kept informed of action by Congress on amendments and of changes in rules, regulations, and policy, especially under the rapidly fluctuating conditions of the war.

The Public Relations and Educational Divisions were set up as the most effective and economical means of carrying on this neces-They are expending their energies, not in broadcasting high-pressure selling ballyhoo but entirely in the preparation and dissemination of information deemed necessary to the successful administration of the acts of Congress

These two Divisions save money for the Administration for two principal reasons:

1. They concentrate the preparation and dissemination of information in the hands of trained experts and thus relieve other officials who, because of their lack of experiprobably would do it less competently.

2. By placing proper information before interested groups, particularly builders and home-financing institutions, they eliminate, at the source, much unnecessary work, especially in the submission of faulty applica-(Rejected tions which have to be rejected. applications are always costly to the Administration.)

The Federal Housing Administration is an organization with 4,500 employees and some \$5,000,000,000 of insurance on its books. An agency of such dimensions within the Government, doing business in every part of the United States, cannot hermetically seal itself against public inquiry. Even if all further expansion were suspended for the duration of the war, questions about it would be asked constantly, and the best facility for answering such questions is a trained informational service of the kind maintained by the Federal Housing Administration, which costs less than 1 percent of the Federal Housing Administration's budget.

Mr. MEAD. I feel sure that the appropriations will be retained, in some part, at least, if the agreement suggested by the majority leader shall be carried out.

Mr. BARKLEY. Mr. President, on page 24, line 19, I submit an amendment to the committee amendment, to strike out "\$759,376" and to insert in lieu thereof "\$806,837."

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Chair will say to the Senator from Kentucky that the committee amendment on page 24, in lines 8 and 9, has not as yet been disposed of. When that shall have been agreed to, the Senator's amendment to the committee amendment will be in order.

The question is on agreeing to the committee amendment on page 24, lines 8 and 9

The amendment was agreed to.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. clerk will state the amendment submitted by the Senator from Kentucky to the committee amendment on page 24. line 19

The CHIEF CLERK. In the committee amendment it is proposed to strike out "\$759,376" and insert in lieu thereof "\$806,837."

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is on agreeing to the amendment offered by the Senator from Kentucky to the committee amendment.

The amendment to the amendment was agreed to.

The amendment, as amended, was agreed to.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will state the next amendment of the Committee on Appropriations.

The next amendment was, on page 26, line 14, after "(12 U. S. C. 1701", to strike out the colon and "Provided further, That not exceeding \$180,000 of the sum herein authorized shall be expended in the District of Columbia for purposes of the Public Relations and Education Division: Provided further, That not to exceed \$50,000 of the amount made available hereby for administrative expenses may be transferred to the National Bureau of Standards to carry out specific projects of the Administration, upon the request of the Administrator, for studies of the properties and suitability of building materials with particular reference to their use in low-cost and low-rent housing, including the construction of such experimental structures as may be necessary therefor, and for printing, binding, and disseminating the results of such studies" and insert ": Provided further, That no part of the sum herein authorized shall be expended in the District of Columbia for the purposes of the Public Relations and Education Division."

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, under the subhead "Federal Savings & Loan Insurance Corporation", on page 27, line 19, after the word "exceed", to strike out \$450.443" and insert "\$400.000."

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, under the subhead "Home Owners' Loan Corporation", on page 29, line 2, after the word "exceed", to strike out "\$15,153,712" and insert "\$12,000,000"; in line 9, after the word "thereunder", to insert "not to exceed \$580,000 for."

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, on page 30, line 6, after the word "hereof" and the colon, to strike out "Provided further, That not to exceed \$50,000 of the amount made available hereby for administrative expenses may be transferred to the National Bureau of Standards to carry out specific projects of the Corporation, upon its request, for studies of the properties and suitability of building materials, with particular reference to their use in low-cost and low-rent housing, including the construction of such experimental structures as may be necessary therefor.

and for printing, binding, and disseminating the results of such studies: Provided further, That except for the limitations in amounts hereinbefore specified, and the restrictions in respect to travel expenses, the administrative expenses and other obligations of the Corporation shall be incurred, allowed, and paid in accordance with the provisions of said Home Owners' Loan Act of 1933, as amended (12 U.S. C. 1461-1468)" and insert "Provided further, That such sum shall be so apportioned and distributed by the Board of Directors of the Corporation over the fiscal year 1943, and shall be so administered during such fiscal year, as to (1) constitute the total amount that will be required for such expenses during such fiscal year and (2) prevent expenditures which will necessitate making additional sums available for such expenses during such year; and a failure to comply with the requirements of this proviso shall be deemed to be a violation by each member of the Board, and by any other person responsible for such failure, of section 3679 of the Revised Statutes, as amended (31 U.S. C. 665): Provided further, That no part of the amount made available hereby for administrative expenses may be used for the maintenance of regional offices."

The amendment was agreed to.
Mr. DANAHER. Mr. President, as I understood, with reference to the amendment on page 30, the Chief Clerk was reciting the proposed committee amendment to strike out beginning in line 6 and ending in line 21. Is my understanding correct?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator's understanding is correct.

Mr. DANAHER. Then, Mr. President, the next question would be on agreeing to the committee amendment commencing in line 21, on page 30, down to and including line 10 on page 31, would it not?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator is correct.

Mr. DANAHER. Am I correct in understanding that we have not yet acted on the language proposed to be inserted in the proposed amendment?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Action has been taken on that language.

Mr. DANAHER. I ask unanimous consent that the vote by which the committee amendment on page 30 beginning in line 9 and ending in line 10 on page 31 was agreed to, be reconsidered.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there

objection to the request of the Senator from Connecticut? The Chair hears none, and it is so ordered.

Mr. DANAHER. A parliamentary inquiry.

PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator will state it.

Mr. DANAHER. As I read that proposed matter to be inserted, commencing in line 21, on page 30, down to and including the word "year", in line 3, page 31, it is a portion which may be divisible properly from the remaining portion, commencing in line 3, page 31, with the words "and a failure", down to and including line 10, on page 31. Is the language proposed to be inserted divisible?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Chair is informed that the amendment is subject to amendment, and any portion of that language can be stricken out.

Mr. DANAHER. I then make a point of order against so much of the language as appears on page 31, beginning in line 3, and which reads-

and a failure to comply with the requirements of this proviso shall be deemed to be a violation by each member of the board, and by any other person responsible for such failure, of section 3679 of the Revised Statutes, as amended (31 U.S. C. 665)-

on the ground that it comprises legislation on an appropriation bill.

Mr. President, that is the point of order I make. I respectfully ask that the Chair not rule on the point of order at this time, but that action by the Chair be deferred until we shall have concluded the other committee amendments in the bill.

Mr. McKELLAR. Mr. President, I have no objection to that part of the language which was read by the Senator from Connecticut being stricken out.

Mr. DANAHER. Mr. President, I respectfully ask that the Chair not rule, if it may appropriately withhold a ruling, until the Senate shall have acted on the other committee amendments.

Mr. McKELLAR. So far as I am concerned, I am willing that the language read by the Senator be stricken out.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. If that is the point the Senator from Connecticut makes

Mr. DANAHER. That is the point.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. And if there is no objection by the Senator from Tennessee to that language being stricken out, the language will be stricken

Mr. DANAHER. If the Chair prefers to act at this time, very well; but I was simply asking that the Chair defer making the ruling at this time, until the remaining committee amendments shall have been acted upon. I wanted to have the point of order noted.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, the committee amendment is amended by striking out the language read by the Senator from Connecticut [Mr. Danaher], and, without objection, the committee amendment, as amended is agreed to.

Mr. DANAHER. I thank the Chair. The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will state the next amendment of the Committee on Appropriations.

The next amendment was, under the subhead "Reconstruction Finance Corporation," on page 31, line 12, after the word "exceed", to strike out "\$10,335,292" and insert "\$10,310,792"; in line 20, after "(5 U. S. C. 821-833)" to insert "not to exceed \$207,000"; and in line 22, after the word "reference", to strike out the comma and "and not to exceed \$1,500 for periodicals and newspapers."

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, under the heading "Federal Power Commission-Salaries and Expenses," on page 33, line 1, after the word "including", to insert "not to exceed \$166,500 for"; in line 15, after the word "periodicals", to strike out "\$2,303,125" and insert "\$2,000,000" and in line 16, before the words "shall be", to strike out "\$1,217,500" and insert "\$1,000,000."

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, on page 34. line 10, after the name "Federal Power Commission", to strike out "\$2,506,265" and insert "\$2,203,140."

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, on page 35, at the end of line 4, to reduce the total appropriation for the Federal Power Commission from \$3,067,520 to \$2,764,-

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, under the heading "Federal Trade Commission", on page 35, line 13, after the word "rentals", to insert "not to exceed \$124,380 for."

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, on page 35, line 19, after the word "Act", to strike out "\$2,252,224" and insert "\$2,000,000."

The amendment was agreed to. The next amendment was, on page 36, at the end of line 3, to reduce the total appropriation for the Federal Trade Commission from \$2,302,474 to \$2,050,250.

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, under the heading "Federal Works Agency-Public Buildings Administration", on page 38, line 10, after the word "services", to insert "not to exceed \$225,000 for"; in line 11, after the words "traveling expenses", to strike out "including expenses (not exceeding \$1,000) of employees directed by the Federal Works Administrator to attend meetings of technical and professional societies and educational exhibits in connection with subjects related to the work of the Public Buildings Administration"; on page 39, line 2, after the word "dissolution", to strike out "\$1,226,540" and insert "\$1,-000,000", and in line 3, after the word "exceed", to strike out "\$707,090" and insert "\$500,000."

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, on page 41, line 7, after the word "vehicles", to strike out "\$17,656,500" and insert "\$19,656,500."

The amendment was agreed to. The next amendment was, under the subhead "Elimination of grade crossings", on page 48, line 3, after the word "crossings", to strike out "\$22,000,000" and insert "\$16,700,000."

The amendment was agreed to. The next amendment was, on page 48, after line 7, to strike out:

PUBLIC-LANDS HIGHWAYS

For the survey, construction, reconstruc-tion, and maintenance of main roads through unappropriated or unreserved public lands, nontaxable Indian lands, or other Federal reservations other than the forest reservations, under the provisions of the act of June 24, 1930 (23 U. S. C. 3), \$500,000, to be immediately available and to remain available until expended, which sum is a part of the sum authorized for the fiscal year 1943 by section 7 of the act of September 5, 1940 (54 Stat. 869).

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, on page 49. at the end of line 3, to reduce the total appropriation for the Public Roads Administration, from \$88,500,000 to \$82,-

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, under the subhead "United States Housing Authority", on page 49, line 24, after the word "exceed", to strike out "\$4,526,930" and insert "\$4,277,132"; on page 50, line 6, after the word "elsewhere" and the semicolon, to insert "traveling expenses not to exceed \$243,993"; in line 6, before the word "transfer", to strike out "purchase of two passenger-carrying auto-mobiles"; in line 11, after the word "ap-pliances", to strike out the semicolon and 'not to exceed \$5,000 for the purchase and exchange of lawbooks and other books of reference, periodicals, and newspapers; not to exceed \$2,500 for expenses of attendance, when specifically authorized by the Administrator, at meetings or conventions concerned with the work of the Authority; not to exceed \$15,000 for the preparation, mounting, shipping, and installation of exhibits; not to exceed \$25,000 for employing persons or organizations, by contract or otherwise, for special reporting, engineering, technical, legal, and other services determined necessary by the Administrator, without regard to section 3709 of the Revised Statutes, and the Classification Act of 1923, as amended"; and in line 24, after the word "paragraph", to strike out "(a) the amount used by the Authority in connection with its informational service functions, including press and related activities, photographic displays, exhibits, and other educational or descriptive pamphlets or materials, printing, binding, and reproduction of materials involving informational service functions, shall not exceed \$90,000, and (b)."

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, on page 51, line 7, after the figures "\$120,000", to insert a colon and the following addi-tional proviso: "Provided further, That no part of the funds made available under this paragraph shall be used for informational service functions."

Mr. ELLENDER. Mr. President, I rise to oppose the committee amendment on page 51, beginning in line 7, and reading as follows:

Provided further, That no part of the funds made available under this paragraph shall be used for informational service functions.

It will be noted from the committee amendment on page 50, beginning with line 24 and ending on line 5 on page 51, to which the Senate has just agreed, that the House had appropriated \$90,000 for the purpose of informational services. In addition to disposing, as it were, of the funds for this informational service, the amendment which is now under discussion further provides that no funds herein appropriated can be used to give any informational service. I am just wondering why it is that the committee saw fit to select the United States Housing Authority for such treatment, and did not put in a like provision similarly affecting the T. V. A., the R. F. C., the H. O. L. C., the S. E. C., and other like organizations?

Mr. McKELLAR. Mr. President, will the Senator yield?

Mr. ELLENDER. I yield.

Mr. McKELLAR. The Senator will notice that in line 4, page 51, there was no limitation at all put on the \$90,000, and the whole provision was stricken out.

Mr. ELLENDER. I understand that, but that \$90,000 was to be used to maintain the informational service now in existence. Now you have dispensed with that service, and I am not quarreling

Mr McKELLAR. There is no limitation on it. So we will have to put some limitation on it beyond a shadow of a doubt

Mr. ELLENDER. But you are not putting any limitation on it. You have prevented it altogether by eliminating the appropriation.

Mr. McKELLAR. That is exactly cor-

Mr. ELLENDER. As I understand the amendment, if any other department of Government desires information from the United States Housing Authority, that information cannot be furnished by the Housing Authority if it is to be publicized at all. It will simply mean that any department which desires information from the United States Authority will be obliged to send its own forces to get the information.

Mr. McKELLAR. Oh, no.
Mr. ELLENDER. I do not see why
such a limitation should be imposed, especially in view of the fact that the \$90,000 that was utilized in the past for those informational services has been entirely stricken from the bill. I do hope that the Senate will not agree to the amendment.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. question is on agreeing to the committee amendment on page 51, beginning in line 7.

Mr. McKELLAR. I wish to say a word or two about the amendment. With respect to the \$90,000 which was stricken out, the United States Housing Authority could have used any amount it pleased, or it could have used all of it for information service. Information service does not mean information. It means publicity. The Congress some time ago provided a limitation on publicity. Up to that time every activity or agency of the Government was setting up a publicity division. They all had publicity divisions. I think without exception one of the first things an agency did was to establish a publicity division. That proceeding became very unpopular, and they changed the name to "informational division." It is precisely the same thing.

Mr. TYDINGS. Mr. President, will the Senator yield?

Mr. McKELLAR. I yield. Mr. TYDINGS. I think the Senator unwittingly made a statement which the language in the bill does not altogether support. The Senator said that there was no limitation on the amount of money herein appropriated which might be spent for informational services. As a matter

of fact, if he will read, commencing in line 7, the proviso says:

Provided further, That no part of the funds made available under this paragraph shall be used for informational service functions.

That means that none of it may be used for that purpose.

Mr. McKELLAR. That is exactly cor-

Mr. ELLENDER. That is just the point I was making. Suppose some other department of Government should desire to obtain information from the United States Housing Authority, and that the United States Housing Authority should use its own force to obtain the information. As I understand the amendment, that information could not be publicized by the department desiring it.

Mr. McKELLAR. Of course, it could. If the Senator has an amendment which will make it absolutely certain beyond peradventure that the Housing Authority may give information when called upon to do so, that is all right but there should be no publicity.

Mr. ELLENDER. As the Senator from Maryland has just pointed out, the language is as plain as it could be:

Provided further, That no part of the funds made available under this paragraph shall be used for informational service functions.

Mr. TYDINGS. Mr. President, will the Senator yield?

Mr. ELLENDER. If the Senator from Tennessee will permit, I yield.

Mr. TYDINGS. As the Senator knows, the 16 housing agencies, of which the U. S. H. A. is one, have been consolidated. They have not been completely transferred, but there is a movement on foot to transfer them. The President has issued an order, and we are hoping that some time the actual transfer will take place.

Mr. ELLENDER. As I understand, the transfers have virtually been made.

Mr. TYDINGS. That is not correct, Mr. ELLENDER. As the Senator knows, United States Housing activities are under the National Housing Authority at present, and the United States Housing Authority is one of the few agencies which will be retained in order to carry out the functions of the National Housing Authority

Mr. TYDINGS. What I am attempting to tell the Senator is that the actual transfer has not as yet taken place. The committee of which I happen to be chairman was appointed by the Senate to make inquiry into housing, outside the Executive order. The work of transferring the agencies cannot be done by the stroke of a pen. It requires a great deal of relocation of functions and reallocation of work, floor space, and so forth. I am not saying that in a critical way, but one of the agencies which has temporarily, at least, stopped taking on new work is the United States Housing Authority. Is not that correct?

Mr. ELLENDER. That is correct. That is, it has stopped taking on new work under the original act, because of lack of funds.

Mr. TYDINGS. That is correct.

Mr. ELLENDER. The agency itself is now being used by the National Housing Authority for the purpose of carrying on defense housing throughout the country.

Mr. TYDINGS. I cannot quite agree with that statement. Of course, what it has already done must be administered. Payments to the municipalities over a period of 60 years must be made, and collections from the municipalities must come back. The point I am making is that its field for new work is temporarily at a standstill.

Mr. ELLENDER. The United States Housing Authority is now completing 85 .-000 units which are in process of con-

struction.

Mr. TYDINGS. That is correct.

Mr. ELLENDER. In addition, as I have just pointed out, the agency is being used by the National Housing Authority to build defense housing throughout the country.

Mr. TYDINGS. What I am trying to say to the Senator is that it has been interested in providing defense housing rather than slum clearance housing, which was its original function. It has been utilized, along with the Farm Security Administration and every other housing activity, to provide houses quickly in communities which need them: but its normal functions are now at a standstill. Therefore, the need to go out and sell this idea to the communities of the United States during this emergency does not exist. It would be a waste of money to appropriate such a sum.

Mr. ELLENDER. Why was it necessary, then, for the committee to strike from the bill on page 51 the \$90,000 which was set aside for the purpose of establishing the informational service?

That is what was done.

Mr. TYDINGS. Certainly. We want-

ed to save the \$90,000.

Mr. ELLENDER. In addition, it is sought to prevent the agency from using any of the remaining appropriation which we are now making to give out information which may be publicized.

Mr. TYDINGS. That is correct.
Mr. ELLENDER. That is the object.
Mr. TYDINGS. That is correct.

Mr. ELLENDER. In other words, if Mr. Mellett desires to obtain information on the United States Housing for publicity purposes, as I understand the amendment, that information cannot be furnished by the office force of the Hous-

ing Authority.

Mr. TYDINGS. No; let me explain. When this agency was engaged in its normal activities it became necessary to publicize its plan, and to explain, in pamphlets, over the radio, through motion pictures, and otherwise, how it proposed to work. Temporarily all its original function has been arrested. It has been devoted primarily to defense housing, and not to slum clearance per se, as was envisioned in the beginning. There-fore it is not necessary to tell Baltimore, Detroit, or New Orleans about the old plan for the time being; and it not being necessary, the committee wants to save

the \$90,000. That is all that is involved.
Mr. ELLENDER. Are we not saving it when we delete it from the bill?

Mr. TYDINGS. No.

Mr. ELLENDER. That is strange. Whenever an appropriation bill came up in the past for the maintenance of this agency, has not the committee set aside a specific sum for informational service?

Mr. TYDINGS. We have done so in the past.

Mr. ELLENDER. The House did so in this case. The House set aside \$90,000 for that purpose. The Senate has been asked to delete it. We have deleted it and thereby reduced the appropriation in the sum of \$90,000. In addition, what you are now suggesting under the amendment which I am challenging, as I read it, is that "not one single dime of the rest of the money herein appropriated shall be used for the purpose of giving information which will be later used by the newspapers, or for other publicity purposes."

Mr. TYDINGS. No. The Senator is not well informed on the subject. The intention, as is clearly shown by the testimony, is that the head of the U.S. H. A. may furnish Mr. Mellett with any statistics which he wants; but this money may not be used by the head of the U. S. H. A. to print his own pamphlets and disseminate them to the public.

Mr. ELLENDER. Suppose he should desire to give an interview to a newspaper which wanted to obtain some information and-

Mr. TYDINGS. He could give an interview. That would not cost anything.

Mr. ELLENDER. Suppose it should become necessary for the employees of U.S. H. A. to obtain facts which the newspaper desired. Could any funds be utilized to give such information?

Mr. TYDINGS. Certainly. Mr. McKELLAR. Of course.

Let me say to the Senator that this amendment was reported more than a week ago. Has the Senator received any complaint? Every other agency has complained when its appropriation has been reduced. This is one of the very few which has not complained. In the case of other agencies whose appropriations have been reduced 25 cents, their representatives have been around to see why the reduction was made. So far as I know, there has not been any complaint with respect to this item.

Mr. ELLENDER. No; there has been no complaint with respect to the slashing of the \$90,000, and I am not com-

plaining about it now.

Mr. McKELLAR. There has been no complaint about the language used. I do not recall the slightest complaint. I am wondering why there should be any.

Mr. ELLENDER. The language may have been inserted in the bill after the hearings, when there was no opportunity for complaint.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is on agreeing to the committee amendment on page 51, in lines 7 to 9.

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, on page 51, line 23, after the numerals "1944", to strike out the colon and the following additional proviso: "Provided further, That not to exceed \$50,000 of the amount made available for administrative expenses may be transferred to the National Bureau of Standards to carry out

specific projects of the Authority, upon the request of the Administrator, for studies of the properties and suitability of building materials, with particular reference to their use in low-cost and low-rent housing, including the construction of such experimental structures as may be necessary therefor, and for printing, binding, and disseminating the results of such studies."

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, on page 52, line 11, after "(42 U. S C. 1410)", to strike out "\$15,000,000" and insert "\$13,-000.000."

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, under the heading "General Accounting Office", on page 54, line 10, after the word "ex-penses", to insert "not to exceed \$145,-845": in line 12, after the word "books", to strike out "lawbooks, books of reference, and periodicals" and insert "and lawbooks", and in line 16, after the words "miscellaneous items", to strike out "\$498,000" and insert "\$481,795, of which amount not to exceed \$100,000 shall be immediately available."

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, on page 54, line 22, after the figures "\$112,000", to insert a comma and "of which amount not to exceed \$12,000 shall be immediately available."

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, on page 54, at the end of line 24, to reduce the total appropriation for the General Accounting Office, from \$16,936,490 to \$16,920,285.

The amendment was agreed to. The next amendment was, under the heading "Interstate Commerce Commission-Salaries and Expenses", on page 55. line 8, after the word "expenses", to insert "not to exceed \$85,358", and in line 9, after the word "services", to strike out "\$2,908,012" and insert "\$2,898,528,"

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, on page 55, line 21, after the word "and", to insert "not to exceed \$133,249 for", and in line 22, after the word "expenses", to strike out "\$850,052" and insert "\$835,247."

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, on page 56, line 13, after the word "and", to insert "not to exceed \$122,315", and in line 14, after the word "expenses", to strike out "\$510,955" and insert "\$497,365."

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, on page 57, line 3, after the word "and", to insert "not to exceed \$29,457", and in line 4, after the word "expenses", to strike out '\$133,780" and insert "\$130,507."

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, on page 57, line 25, after the word "and", to insert "not to exceed \$99,588", and in the same line, after the word "expenses", to strike out "\$475,000" and insert "\$463,935."

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, on page 58, line 15, after the word "and", to insert "not to exceed \$22,302 for" and in the same line, after the word "expenses", to strike out "\$652.405" and insert "\$649,-927.

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, on page 58, line 25, after the word "expenses", to insert "not to exceed \$189,000", and on page 59, line 8, after the word "Act", to strike out "\$3,586,240" and insert "\$3,565,240."

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was on page 59. line 20, after the name "Interstate Commerce Commission", to strike out "\$9,-116,444" and insert "\$9,040,749."

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, on page 60, line 4, after the word "expenses," to insert "including not to exceed \$52,650 for traveling expenses," and in line 10, before the words "of which", to strike out "\$238,-165" and insert "\$232,315."

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, on page 60, at the end of line 12, to reduce the total appropriation for the Interstate Commerce Commission from \$9,557,809 to \$9,476,264.

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, under the heading "National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics," on page 60, line 20, after the word "reports", to insert "not to exceed \$59,328 for", and on page 61, line 12, after the words "in all", to strike out "\$8,993,328" and insert "\$8,986,736."

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, on page 61, line 23, after the name "Cleveland, Ohio", to strike out "\$3,000,000," and insert "\$7,-071,000, to be immediately available, and" and in line 25, after the word "expended" to strike out the colon and the following proviso: "Provided, That the limitation of \$13,300,000 upon the total cost of construction and equipment of said aircraft engine research laboratory is hereby increased to \$13,971,000."

Mr. GILLETTE. Mr. President, will the Senator from Tennessee give us the factual information upon which the proposed increase in the appropriation was

based?

Mr. McKELLAR. Mr. President, a giant aircraft engine research laboratory is located at Cleveland, and a supplemental Budget estimate for this amount was sent to Congress by the President and by the Director of the Budget. The following explanation is made by the Director of the Budget:

There is presently available to the National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics toward the construction of the Aircraft Engine Research Laboratory, at Cleveland, Ohio, an unexpended balance of approximately \$3,500,-000. The amount required to meet obligato June 30, 1942, is approximately This necessitates an additional \$7,000,000. appropriation for the fiscal year 1947 of

The supplemental estimate for 1942 also provides for increasing the authorized limitation of cost for the construction and equipment of the above-mentioned research laboratory from \$13,300,000 to \$18,171,000. This increase in limitation of cost is made necessary because of (a) a general increase in construction and equipment costs due to war conditions; (b) the construction of the project on a cost-plus-fixed-fee basis rather than a lump-sum basis as originally contemthe necessity for acceleration in the completion of the project made necessary by the existence of a state of war; and (d) the fact that previous estimates necessity based upon incomplete designs.

The increase in the Budget estimate for 1943 from \$3,000,000 to \$7,071,000 is to provide the funds necessary to complete the construction and equipment of the Aircraft Engine Research Laboratory in that fiscal year under the increase in limit of cost mentioned above.

The foregoing supplemental estimates of appropriation are made necessary by con-tingencies which have arisen since the transmission of the Budget for the fiscal years 1942 and 1943.

This is a war measure, of course. The research laboratory, especially as to aircraft engines, is a matter of prime importance, as it was represented to us. The committee thought so, and reported the amendment, and I hope it will be agreed to.

Mr. GILLETTE. Mr. President, I thank the Senator from Tennessee for the explanation. My inquiry prompted because of a certain reason. Let me preface the statement of the reason by stating my personal willingness to increase this appropriation to five times the amount proposed for this research laboratory or for any other research laboratory. However, I wish to state to the Senate that within the past 2 weeks four different designs for airplane engines have gone through my office, and I have put the respective persons concerned in contact with the proper agencies of the Government. Within the last hour and a half I took to the appropriate naval authorities some gentlemen who have designed a new type of aircraft engine.

All these research laboratories-and we have many of them—are performing a proper and necessary function. However, if they are merely reaching up into the blue, and are accomplishing nothing, a question properly arises in the mind of every one of us as to whether they are performing the necessary duties and functions for which they were created. In view of the fact that from outside these laboratories scores of proposals are coming to the service agencies for their use, a doubt arose in my mind as to whether the research laboratories were absolutely and properly performing the functions for which they were designed. It was that thought which prompted my inquiry as to whether the proposed increase is based on a factual situation that warrants the increase.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is on agreeing to the committee amendment on page 61, lines 23 and 25.

The amendment was agreed to. The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will state the next amendment of the committee.

The next amendment was, on page 62, line 4, to increase the total appropriation for the National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics from \$15,018,328 to \$19.082,736.

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, under the heading "National Archives", on page 62, line 25, after the word "public" semicolon, to insert "not to exceed \$4,400 for"; in the same line, after the word "expenses", to strike out the comma and "including not to exceed \$1,000 for the expenses of attendance at meetings concerned with the furtherance of the purposes of the said acts"; and on page 63, line 6, after the words "motor vehicle", "\$1.134,325" and insert to strike out **\$1,032,725.**"

Mr. BAILEY. Mr. President, with reference to the proposed reduction in the appropriation for The National Archives, I wish to make a brief statement.

Mr. McKELLAR. The Senator from North Carolina spoke to me about that.

Mr. BAILEY. Let me say that I am in full sympathy with the work of the committee, and I wish to commend it for its efforts to bring about a reduction of expenditures. I am fully committed to that policy; but in this particular matter it seems to me that we are likely to make a mistake. It is proposed to reduce the appropriation for The National Archives by \$102,000, reducing it to \$1,032,000about 10 percent or a little less-without giving any reason.

Mr. McKELLAR. Mr. President, let me say to the Senator that the subcommittee did not pass on this item, but when it came to the full committee a member of the committee moved that the item be reduced by \$100,000; and a motion to that effect was carried, I think,

unanimously.

The National Archives is a splendid institution, and I have no doubt that it is doing good work; but it was thought that \$100,000 could be saved. It was felt that much of the work represented by the item could be postponed for the duration of the war. That is why the reduction was recommended by the committee.

Has the Senator in mind an amendment relating to the item, or what is his

suggestion about it?

Mr. BAILEY. I am hoping that the Senate will reject the committee amendment. I can make a suggestion, but I wish to place the facts before the Senate. I thank the Senator from Tennessee for his statement; he has stated the case. The amendment came before the full committee, after the subcommittee had failed to suggest any such amendment.

Mr. McKELLAR. The subcommittee proposed the amendment at the bottom of page 62, in line 25, and at the top of page 63, in lines 1, 2, and 3; but the proposed reduction in the appropriation for The National Archives was discussed in the full committee, and it was believed that The National Archives could get along very well with \$1,032,725.

Mr. BAILEY. That is the point to which I wish to address my remarks.

Mr. McKELLAR. I will state to the Senator in a moment the amount of the appropriation last year for The National Archives

Mr. BAILEY. We are told that in the committee it was said, "Here is an appropriation of a little more than a million dollars, and we can cut off more than a hundred thousand dollars."

Mr. McKELLAR. Let me call the Senator's attention to the fact that the appropriation last year was \$1,065,822. So the Senator can see that the difference between the amount appropriated last year and the amount of the present proposal is about \$30,000.

I suggest to the Senator, if he is particularly interested in the item; that I am perfectly willing, so far as I am concerned, as one member of the committee, to have the amount made what it was last year—that is to say, \$1,065,000.

Mr. BAILEY. That would be some help, but I wish to place the facts before

the Senate.

Mr. McKELLAR. Very well.

Mr. BAILEY. I am not disputing the Senator from Tennessee. What happened is now very evident to all of us. A motion was made in the full committee, notwithstanding the subcommittee and the House could see no necessity or reason for a reduction of \$102,000. So far as it appears to the Senate, the motion was made on general principles, without having in mind anything in particular except the thought, "Here is a chance to save \$100,000."

Mr. McKELLAR. No; the Senator does the committee an injustice, and he

does me an injustice.

Mr. BAILEY. I do not want to do

that.

Mr. McKELLAR. I wish to say that I agreed to the amendment, and it was recommended because it was pointed out that last year The National Archives had received an appropriation of \$1,065,000, and it was not believed that that amount should be increased while the Nation was in the war. I simply wish to say to the Senator that I hope he will be willing to compromise, just as the Senator from Kentucky did a little while ago in a very admirable way, and let this item remain at the same figure it was last year. I hope very much the Senator will do that.

Mr. BAILEY. I am quite agreeable to all suggestions, but I am trying to state the reasons for my position, in order to get the facts before the Senate. I am not disputing any matters of fact with anyone. The Senator from Tennessee has aided me very greatly in his statement that the committee thought that, on account of the war, we might reduce

the appropriation.

Mr. McKELLAR. No; not reduce the appropriation, but simply appropriate the same amount which was provided

last year.

Mr. BAILEY. I understand now that the thought was, "On account of the war they might as well have the same as they had last year." It happens that last year, on account of the war, we increased the appropriation for the National Archives by \$75,000, in round numbers.

The effect of this amendment is to wipe out that increase. So we are saying today that The National Archives is not entitled to this money on account of the war, but last year we said on account of the war it was entitled to it. It may be a singular thing that The Archives need an extra appropriation on account of the war; but the Congress found it to be a fact last year; the Director of the Budget found it to be a fact; the President of the United States found it to be a fact; and it is the fact.

Now, let us see about that. The National Archives is a comparatively new institution. In the first instance, it is a great space-saving institution. It has now 300,000 cubic feet of space for records which are in other departments and which are causing to that extent crowded conditions in those departments. If The

Archives shall be given a chance, it will take these records from the Navy, the War, the State, and other Departments, and put them where they belong, in The Archives Building, and there will be a saving of 300,000 cubic feet of office space. I think that would be a saving to the Government of over \$100,000. That would justify leaving the appropriation provided by the House.

There is another factor about which I wish the Senate to know. The Archives is not a defense activity, but the Federal Government could not operate without its past records. It is a most singular fact to me, and I think it is official—at any rate I can vouch for it—that 20 percent of the Reserves recruited in the Navy during the last 6 months were recruited from records in The Archives.

Furthermore, the maps of the cities of the world which unfortunately our country may be under the necessity of attacking are in The Archives. They have left the State Department. The State Department seems not to have known it, but The National Archives found them and has supplied that source of information.

I have before me a letter from the Navy Department. I shall not read the entire letter, but I shall ask that it be printed in the Record in full. It was addressed to The Archivist under date of April 27, and I quote from it as follows:

As you probably are aware, during the quarter, January-March 1942, the Bureau of Navigation alone made 35,000 requests for services on The National Archives. These services for the most part were indispensable calls for records of former service men who have been reenlisting in the Navy since December 7, 1941.

Since last October approximately 17,000 square feet of Navy Department space, most of it A-1 office areas, have been cleared for vital activities by the transfer of Navy records to The National Archives. The rapidly expanding naval program makes it essential that this outlet, transfers of records to The National Archives, continue to be available and to a greater extent than in the last 6 months.

I now ask that the letter in full be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the letter was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

NAVY DEPARTMENT, April 27, 1942.

THE ARCHIVIST OF THE UNITED STATES,

The National Archives, Washington, D. C. Dear Sir: Recently it has become apparent that facilities provided by The National Archives will be curtailed if the proposed reduction of funds therefor during the next fiscal year is effected. Meanwhile this office has formulated plans for a continued and increased use by the Navy Department of National Archives' facilities during the coming year.

As you probably are aware, during the quarter, January-March 1942, the Bureau of Navigation alone made 35,000 requests for services on The National Archives. These services for the most part were indispensable calls for records of former service men who have been reenlisting in the Navy since December 7, 1941.

Since last October approximately 17,000 square feet of Navy Department space, most of it A-1 office areas, have been cleared for vital activities by the transfer of Navy records to The National Archives. The rapidly expanding naval program makes it essen-

tial that this outlet, transfers of records to The National Archives, continue to be available and to a greater extent than in the last 6 months.

Assumption of the storage, maintenance, and service on these records by National Archives' personnel is a substantial relief to the hard-pressed personnel in the Naval Establishment. That part of the Navy Department personnel which was assigned to The National Archives after December 7, 1941, to assist in essential and large-scale arrangement and service on Navy records should be relieved by National Archives' personnel not later than July 1, 1942.

Little headway has been made in relieving the records congestion in navy yards and other shore establishments, including records of ships deposited therein. It is earnestly desired that The National Archives will be enabled to provide several field representatives to assist in the prompt elimination of valueless records as provided by law and the provision of secure storage and ready availability of valuable records at these points.

These matters are brought to your attention to emphasize the fact that those divisions and facilities in The National Archives which the Navy Department uses daily are in effect extensions of the Department itself. This office has a very real concern in the continuance and, in certain important aspects such as assistance in the field, the extension of those facilities.

Very truly yours,

EMMETT J. LEAHY,

Director of Records Coordination.

Mr. BAILEY. So I am saying it is a matter of economy to leave this appropriation as provided by the House bill. If we reduce it, The Archives will not be able to make available 300,000 cubic feet of space for the Navy and War Departments. Every Senator knows the value of that space, and how pressing the need for it is.

Furthermore, The Archives will not be able to meet the inquiries made upon it. The demands for information upon The Archives since the war began in December have increased from 40,000 a month to 90,000 a month. Those departments are not asking idle questions. They are going to The Archives for information because that is where the information is kept; but if there is not a sufficient force provided to answer the questions, there might as well be no Archives.

The whole argument seems to me one of necessity and economy. I think we will save money rather than lose it by letting this appropriation remain as provided by the other House and not reducing it. If the officials of The Archives had had an opportunity to come before the subcommittee, they would have explained this item. They went before the House committee, but they had no opportunity before the Senate committee. I am not complaining about that, and they are not complaining, but, if they have a sound economic cause, if they will really save money for the Government, then, it is not economy merely to knock out \$100,000 because \$100,000 is here.

I have other letters. Here is one from the Tennessee Valley Authority expressing appreciation and interest.

Here is another from the Federal Trade Commission from which I will quote:

In compliance with the directions given by the President, the Federal Trade Commission has had to solve the problem of making available in its building more than 50,000 square feet of space for the accommodation of agencies directly concerned with the war effort.

Partial solution of the problem rested on the emergency removal from this building of nearly 6,500 cubic feet of records.

The records were transferred to The Archives.

If we are going to stop that process, we will leave these records jammed up in the various departments, crowd more departments out of Washington, and crowd more departments wherever they may be. We have a place in The Archives, but we propose to lose that space or not to make it available.

Here is a letter from the Office of Price Administration under date of November 15 expressing appreciation for material and information furnished in connection with wood and similar products. There was missing a pamphlet which they considered of the utmost importance. They had failed to obtain it elsewhere, but they got it from The Archives.

Here is the testimony from the Department of Justice.

Here is a letter from the National Mediation Board; and here is another from the Federal Trade Commission, to which I referred a moment ago.

I have a letter here from the Interoffice Communication, signed by Mr. Almon R. Wright, Acting Chief of the Division of State Department Archives. He says:

In the past week members of the staff of the Division of State Department Archives have succeeded in uncovering a group of consular inspection reports to which are attached a number of maps of cities throughout the world in which consular posts have existed. Since representatives of the Board of Economic Warfare have made frequent requests and have displayed much interest in materials of this type, we communicated our "find" to them. Yesterday, the 24th, representatives of the intelligence unit of the Army Air Corps and of the Board of Economic Warfare came to view the maps in question. Great interest was displayed, and was informed by Mr. Elias J. Drexler, extension 2940, Commerce Department, that this group of records and maps was one which the intelligence people of the Army, of the Board of Economic Warfare, and of the air force had been seeking for 4 months. Apparently, the Department of State had been unable to afford these agencies any information about this collection.

To cite an illustration of the use of these maps, the following may be of interest:

Dr. W. B. Poland, of the Board of Economic Warfare, said to have been adviser on railroads to the Chinese Government, told us of his recollection of a vital point in the main railroad line from Manchuria to a Korean port. He was unable to tell just where this point was, but wished to see any maps which might be of some value in his inquiry. A large map of the region of Korea and Manchuria was produced. From this he gathered that the vital point was located at a certain city.

And so on.

So, Mr. President, with regard to the whole economic effort, and our war effort, we are necessarily related to our past experience. We have the experience accumulated in The Archives but men are needed there to make it available to us and to the Government departments.

We created The National Archives for the purpose of clearing out the space which had been occupied by the great accumulation of records during nearly 160 years of our existence, and if we can get those records out of the various departments and put them where they belong, in The Archives, we will save 300,000 square feet of space.

Mr. TYDINGS. Mr. President, will the

Senator yield?

Mr. BAILEY. One word, and then I shall yield.

How large a space is 300,000 cubic feet? Yesterday I was tendering to Mr Reynolds, who is in charge of the matter of moving governmental activities from Washington, a building in the city of Asheville, a magnificent city hall building 10 stories high. I have been in that building, but I will not undertake to describe it beyond saying it is a magnificent building. I would have said it contained 300,000 square feet of space, but I was informed that it contained only 80,000 square feet. Three hundred thousand cubic feet, of course, is nearly four times that large. By putting these records in the keeping of The Archives, we can save for the activities of the Government in Washington the space of nearly 4 buildings as large as the magnificent city building at Asheville, which the Government is thinking of taking over because it cannot carry on all its activities here.

Mr. President, I have made my argument, and I hope that the Senate will let this \$102,000 remain in the bill as the President recommended, as the Congress itself approved, as the House approved, and as the subcommittee approved. All the evidence is that it was stricken out without a hearing, and mainly because all of us are bent upon saving money. But if we are to save money in that way, I can take every appropriation and say, "Well, it is a million dollars, let us cut off \$100,000." That is not economy, and that is not the way to go about getting economy.

Mr. TYDINGS. Mr. President, will the Senator yield?

Mr. BAILEY. I yield to the Senator from Maryland.

Mr. TYDINGS. I have the figures in the committee room, but I do not have them here. I was wondering whether the Senator had figures as to the number of employees already in The National Archives.

Mr. BAILEY. No, I have not; but I do not think there is an excessive number. I have never heard anything to that effect.

Mr. TYDINGS. I have not the figures, but I was just making a calculation, that if we took this appropriation as reported by the Senate committee, and allowed every employee \$2,000 a year, it would provide for over a thousand employees.

Mr. BAILEY. I think the Senator is under a misapprehension.

Mr. TYDINGS. No; I do not think I am.

Mr. BAILEY. It is not a matter of employees. If the Senator will go to that building, as I take it he has done, he will find it is a magnificently equipped structure. There is a tremendous amount of activity and machinery there for the preservation of records. It is not altogether a matter of employees, clerks, and assistants.

I am not prepared to say what proportion of the appropriation is for clerks, but I do say this money is needed in order to have people there who will take the documents out of the departments and make room, and who will be prepared to furnish the departments proper information when called upon.

Mr. TYDINGS. I did not desire to infer that all this money is spent for employees; but a considerable amount of it is. A few days ago the Archivist was in my office. He came there for the purpose of saving records which the committee investigating overlapping and duplicating agencies of the Government had obtained and which we are now going over very carefully in order to ascertain whether we can make some constructive suggestions. He wanted to save all those records, and he pointed out that he had the records of the Taft committee, which made the last governmental survey.

As I understand, most of the appropriation will go to employees, and when the files are moved from a department to The Archives Building, they are moved by the Navy Department, or by the War Department, or by some other department, and all The Archives employees have to do is to show them where to locate the material.

Mr. BAILEY. I should like to correct the distinguished Senator. That is not what the officials and employees of the Archives do. It is not a matter of bringing a bundle of records from somewhere and saying "stick them in this pigeonhole." That is not the work of The Archives. Every record has to be carefully examined with a view to its value, whether permanent or temporary. If it is of permanent value, it must be so treated that it can be preserved. It is a proceeding requiring great care and study of the documents, paper by paper. That is of the utmost importance, and it must be done by specialists. Let us not get the idea that The Archives is merely a dumping place.

Mr. TYDINGS. The Senator did not allow me to finish; he interrupted me. Everyone knows, of course, that the purpose of The Archives is to preserve the records of the Government; but let me point out to the Senator that the very records on which he bolstered his case, namely, the records of the sailors and soldiers of the last war, are not, per se, permanent records. When one of those records wears out, a new card is made. and it does not follow that the cards are treated with a certain kind of shellac. The files moved from the War Department, the Navy Department, or the Veterans' Bureau are taken to The Archives Building and stacked up there in place of in the department where they had been located. That is all there is to it. They are all alphabetized, are all broken down into certain groups, and the key goes with The Archives.

The Senator pointed out that in one case—just think of it—6,500 cubic feet of records had been taken from one department and brought to the Archives Building. I am no mathematician, but I took the cube root of 6,500 cubic feet, and it is a space about 18 feet long, 18 feet wide, and 18 feet high. If we are to

bolster the case by citing such a small space as that in The Archives Building, we had better stop.

Mr. BAILEY. Mr. President-

Mr. TYDINGS. Let me finish, and then I shall yield. I waited for the Senator to finish.

BAILEY. I think the Senator would like to appear to be fair. I should say he would like to be fair, but when he states that I bolstered my case on the basis of 6,500 cubic feet, he was certainly unmindful of the fact that I predicated the case upon 300,000 cubic feet, and simply used the 6.500 as a small piece of further evidence in a letter from the Navy Department. He would not misrepresent the facts, I know, but if I did not correct his statement, it would stand from the testimony of the distinguished Senator from Maryland that this case rested upon a little matter of 6,500 cubic feet, and he, himself, will state that it does not.

Mr. TYDINGS. Even if we take the 300,000 cubic feet, we would not have a very large area of floor space; and we erected the building in order to have a place where we could store records. I do not think that is any argument at all for an increase. It was assumed when we built the building we would take the records there and place them in the building, and that the personnel we would employ to do that would look after the records, and put them in the right

place after they got there.

The keeping of The Archives essentially divides itself into two categories-keeping those records which will be used, those which are current, and keeping those which are antiquated. When records are antiquated, they are taken away, and sealed, and put in a place by themselves. The files to which the Senator refers as coming from the Navy are not antiquated. In my judgment, it would have been almost wise to send the Navy files back, for if they had 35,000 inquiries, they could have dispensed with any number of clerks in The Archives Building, if the files had been retained in the Navy Department. It seems to me that not much of a case has been made out for

To conclude, I would not labor the point. The National Archives is a fine institution, but it is not possible to find a department of the Government as to which an intelligent man cannot make out a splendid case for not cutting a single penny from its appropriation.

Mark you, we are only 5 months in the war, that is all. It may well last 5 years. Like every one else, I hope it will be over tomorrow, or next week at the latest; but we may have a long war on our hands, and only a month ago we passed a war powers bill, properly giving the administration the right to go into the Federal Reserve banks and put its note down and take back five, ten, fifteen, twenty, fifty, or a hundred billion dollars of printed money, not bonds we will sell to the public, not bonds which the banks will buy, not bonds which the insurance companies will buy. The Government may not have time to wait, it must have the money to keep functioning. So we inserted a pro-vision permitting the Treasury of the United States to borrow from the Federal

Reserve banks on its I O U any amount of money, without limit, it might require.

I voted to put some ceiling on the amount when the Senator from Ohio offered an amendment to that effect; but the men who asked for that power were looking ahead to the day when it might be pretty hard to find purchasers of Government securities who would furnish an amount sufficient, with money raised by taxation, to pay the cost of the war and of the Government.

A new tax bill will soon be coming along. Think of it, a man who makes \$800 a year will have to go down in his pocket and give the Government \$8. A married man with an income of \$1,600 likewise will have to pay to the Federal Government a direct tax, in addition to all his other taxes; and this is only the start.

Next year we shall have another tax bill, mark my word, which will be even more drastic than the forthcoming one.

Mr. President, I should like to accede to The Archivist's request. He is interested in his little activity, as we are all interested in our activities, but I am here to say that unless Congress begins to save money right now we shall rue it before this international situation is over. We cannot continue running the Government as we did in times of peace and at the same time not only finance our own war effort but the war effort in part of Russia, Great Britain, China, Australia, the Dutch East Indies, the free Belgians, and the free French, the Czechoslovakians, and all the others we are financing in whole or in part. If we are to embrace that sort of a world war effort we must look around and find places where reductions can be made. I hope the chairman of the subcommittee will not accept any increase in the appropriation for The Archives, because I think \$1,000,000 a year now for The Archives is a great deal of money in the midst of a war in which our interests are at stake in every particular.

Mr. SHIPSTEAD. Mr. President, on behalf of the Senator from Connecticut [Mr. Danater] and myself I submit an amendment to House bill 6430, the pending bill, which I ask may be printed and lie on the table.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The amendment submitted by the Senator from Minnesota will be printed and lie on the table.

The amendment is as follows:

On page 74, line 9, after the word "statutes", to insert a colon and the following proviso: "Provided, That the sum of \$20,000,000 from the said construction fund shall be available for the construction of towboats and barges adapted for use in the transportation of oil, gasoline, and other fuels over the inland or coastal waters of the United States."

Mr. McKELLAR. Mr. President, I wish to call the attention of the Senator from North Carolina [Mr. Balley] and the Senate's attention to the manner in which appropriations for The Archives have been increased. In 1936 the amount appropriated for that institution was \$475,000; in 1937 it was \$615,000; in 1938, \$717,000; in 1939, \$789,000; in 1940, \$864,000; in 1941, \$932,860; and in 1942, \$993,340.

The present bill appropriates over \$1,-000,000 for The Archives. Surely they should not complain at the treatment they have received. In a period of 7 years the appropriation for The Archives has been increased more than 100 percent. Under those circumstances, it seems to me the committee amendment ought to be agreed to, and I hope it will be.

Mr. BAILEY. Mr. President, I wish to conclude simply with a correction of two statements. With respect to a statement made by the distinguished senior Senator from Maryland [Mr. Tydings], I will say that I have a note which I am satisfied is from Mr. Page, the administrative secretary of The National Archives, which says:

We pay all expenses for moving records to The National Archives.

I think we can take that as a matter of fact.

Mr. TYDINGS. May I ask the Senator some questions about that statement? What does that statement, "We pay" mean? Do employees of The Archives go to the Navy Department and gather up the records there?

Mr. BAILEY. They pay all the expenses of moving. The Senator is a good lawyer. I know he knows it does not mean that they gather up the records, but

they move them.

Mr. TYDINGS. Where are the records located when they are moved? Are they placed on the ground floor so The Archives can send a truck and get them? To move the records referred to would not involve more than a couple of thousand dollars a year.

Mr. BAILEY. If I were to try to interpret it I would say that "moving to" means getting the records to the back door. If I move to Washington and get to Washington, that is all that can be said about it. That does not include go-

ing to a hotel.

Now, with respect to another matter of fact. My distinguished friend the Senator from Tennessee called attention quite solemnly to the increases in the appropriations for The Archives that have been brought about in the last 6 or 7 years. The Archives have not appropriated any money to themselves. All these increases came by way of acts of Congress, and came from the Committee on Appropriations, and with the approval of the distinguished Senator from Tennessee, I take it.

Mr. McKELLAR. Not only that, but I introduced the bill and did everything in my power to secure the passage of the bill establishing The National Archives, and finally it was passed by the Senate and by the House. How could anyone be more friendly toward the institution than I am? I did my best to create it, and with the help of my fellow Senators and Representatives it was created. I am thoroughly responsible for it. It is a great institution. We ought to uphold it wherever it is possible, but that does not mean to give it carte blanche to come before the committees and be given whatever they request in the way of appropriations. I think they could well go a little slow in these days when the Government is in such straits for money.

Mr. President, I wish to say here and now in this public place that the Secretary of the Treasury is doing a wonderful job; I think he is doing as efficient a job as anyone in connection with the Government of the United States. He has sold something like \$5,000,000,000 of Defense Bonds in the last 11 months. I honor him and respect him for doing that; but this is no reason why he should use money in his Department extravagantly, and if he came here and asked for an extravagant appropriation—an appropriation that is not necessary-I would be against it. I feel it my duty to take such a position. Heaven knows, I do not want to make myself unpopular with people in the various bureaus and departments. Why should I do that? What does it mean to me more than to anyone else to take such a stand? My only reason for taking the stand I am taking today is a patriotic desire to help my country. I do not want to have men in Washington criticizing me whenever they see me simply because I am not willing to give whatever they desire for their particular departments, for their particular activities. It is no personal pleasure for me to have differences with officials in the various departments. But we have got to win the war. That ought to come before everything else. ought to be our first idea. We ought to make everything subservient to that one principal effort at this time-to win the war. To that end we must use all our sinews of war, all our money, so far as possible. As the President said the other night, we should save all money possible to put into War bonds. At the same time we should not permit lavish and extravagant expenditures by various depart-ments and activities of Government.

I wish to say in conclusion that the item in question is a very small one, and if we were not at war I would not have a word to say against an increase of this sort. I did not do so until after the war began. However, with the war before us, with the necessity for money that is confronting us, there is but one position for me to take as a member of the Appropriations Committee, and that is to seek to cut down all nondefense appropriations so far as it can be reasonably and fairly and justly done. That was the sentiment which animated every member of the Committee on Appropriations. Its members did not have any other view. They did not want to get into trouble with the various officials of the departments, we do not want anything of that sort, but we have a duty to perform. It is our duty to cut down useless and extravagant appropriations. The departments and other governmental activities ought to join us in that effort. In the last few days I have been appealing to members of the various departments to join us in trying to save, and I want to say that for the most part they feel that way. They are doing the best they can to bring about economies, and I think we ought to do our duty in that respect.

Mr. BAILEY. Mr. President, when I yielded to my distinguished friend, little did I suspect that my feeble remarks

would be capable of provoking such flights of oratory, such protests to heaven. I wonder now what I could have said, I wonder what secret chord I touched that aroused the muse, that called us forth to battle, to the blowing of bugles, the prayer to God—

Mr. McKELLAR. Mr. President, will the Senator yield?

Mr. BAILEY. I yield gladly, but I hope I do not move the Senator to oratory again.

Mr. McKellar. Mr. President, I will say that the Senator's marvelous personality, his great genius for argument, and for discussion on the floor, made me doubt whether I ought to oppose him at all. Indeed, I tried to compromise with him to keep from opposing him, but the Senator would not accept a compromise. He has argued his point, and has argued it strongly, and it was for that reason that I replied. I hope the Senator will forgive me.

Mr. BAILEY. I am very grateful to the Senator, and I forgive him, but I still cannot explain what it was I said that provoked these flights of rhetoric.

Mr. McKELLAR. I thought we might as well speak plainly.

Mr. BAILEY. The matter is a serious one. I appreciate the Senator's offer of a compromise. I would rather take a vote on the merits of this question by a show of hands. I think the case has been fully stated on both sides. We have the matter fully before us.

If Senators think we should dispense with the appropriation which we made because of the war, it is all right with me. I simply read the record, obtained the facts, and was persuaded that this effort at economy was uneconomic. I leave it to the Senate, and ask for a show of hands, Mr. President.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is on agreeing to the committee amendment on page 62, beginning in line 25, and on page 63, in line 6. On this question a division has been demanded.

On a division, the amendment was agreed to.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will state the next committee amendment.

The next amendment was, on page 63, at the end of line 9, to reduce the total appropriation for The National Archives from \$1,146,725 to \$1,045,125.

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, under the heading "National Capital Park and Planning Commission", on page 64, line 4, after the word "use", to strike out "\$900,000" and insert "\$200,000"; in line 5, after the word "expended", to strike out "\$200,000 of said sum" and insert "and"; and in line 7, after the word "Act", to strike out "and \$700,000 to be available for carrying out the provisions of section 4 of said act."

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, under the heading "Securities and Exchange Commission", on page 64, line 18, after the word "newspapers" and the semicolon, to insert "not to exceed \$270,000 for"; and on page 65, line 3, after the word "ex-

penses", to strike out "\$5,380,000" and insert "\$4,850,000."

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, on page 65, at the end of line 7, to reduce the total appropriation for the Securities and Exchange Commission from \$5,440,000 to \$4,910,000.

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, under the heading "Tariff Commission", on page 70, line 1, after the word "elsewhere", to insert "not to exceed \$16,200 for traveling expenses", and in line 9, before the words "of which", to strike out "\$810,000" and insert "\$808,200."

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, on page 70, at the end of line 25, to reduce the total appropriation for the Tariff Commission, from \$825,000 to \$823,200.

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, under the heading "Tennessee Valley Authority" on page 71, line 14, after the words "steam plant" and the semicolon, to insert "the construction of a system of public-use navigation terminals on the Tennessee River"; in line 22, after the word "reference", to strike out "newspapers" in line 23, after the word "periodicals", to strike out "purchase"; in line 25, after the word "elsewhere", to insert "traveling expenses not to exceed \$615,236"; on page 72, line 4, after the word "field", to strike out "\$136,100,000" and insert "\$172,220,112"; and in line 5, after the word "That", to strike out "this appropriation and any unexpended balance on June 30, 1942, in the 'Tennessee Valley Authority fund 1942,' and the receipts of the Tennessee Valley Authority from all sources during the fiscal year 1943 (subject to the provisions of sec. 26 of the Tennessee Valley Authority Act of 1933, as amended), shall be covered into and accounted for as one fund to be known as the Tennessee Valley Authority fund, 1943, to remain available until June 30, 1943, and to be available for the payment of obligations chargeable against the 'Tennessee Valley Authority fund, 1942': Provided further, That."
Mr. BARKLEY. Mr. President, it is

Mr. BARKLEY. Mr. President, it is understood that the Tennessee Valley Authority amendments will not be taken up this afternoon. I suggest that the other amendments be disposed of and that the Tennessee Valley Authority amendments go over until tomorrow.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, the Tennessee Valley Authority amendments will go over.

.The clerk will state the next committee amendment.

The next amendment was, under the heading "United States Maritime Commission—State Marine School Act of March 4, 1911", on page 74, line 20, after the figures "\$50,000", to insert "the State of Maine, \$60,416.66, of which amount \$10,416.66 shall be available immediately;", and on page 75, line 6, after the words "in all", to strike out "\$300,000" and insert "\$360,416.66."

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, on page 75, at the end of line 8, to increase the total

appropriation for the United States Maritime Commission from \$980,380,000 to \$980,440,416.66.

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, under the heading "Veterans' Administration", on page 81, line 20, after the name "United States", to insert "This section shall not apply to citizens of the Commonwealth of the Philippines."

The amendment was agreed to.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. That completes the committee amendments, with the exception of those passed over.

Mr. McKELLAR. Mr. President, I offer an amendment, which I send to the desk and ask to have stated.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The amendment offered by the Senator from

Tennessee will be stated.

The CHIEF CLERK. On page 20, line 23, before the period, it is proposed to insert the following: "Provided, That all necessary expenses not exceeding \$150,000 in the aggregate (including legal and special services performed on a contract or fee basis, but not including other personal services) in connection with the acquisition, care, repair, and disposition of any security or collateral now held or acquired on or before June 30, 1943, by the Authority shall be considered as nonadministrative expenses for the purposes hereof: Provided further, That necessary expenses in collecting monthly installments on contracts and repossessing appliances in case of default, not to exceed \$2.50 per year per contract, shall not be considered as administrative expenses.

The amendment was agreed to.
Mr. TYDINGS. Mr. President, a few days ago, I discussed with the Senator in charge of the bill a circumstance which I think can be corrected by the amendment which I shall offer, which I think will meet with the approval of all Senators.

In this bill travel pay is defined in most cases as "not exceeding \$16,000," "not exceeding \$4,000," or "not exceeding" some other sum. However, there are half a dozen cases in the bill in which travel pay is allowed and no provision for the amount of it is fixed. Theoretically, the whole appropriation could be used for travel pay. I have prepared an amendment to bring the whole bill into line. I send the amendment to the desk and ask that it be stated.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The amendment offered by the Senator from Maryland will be stated.

The CHIEF CLERK. At the proper place in the bill it is proposed to insert the following:

Sec. 5. Where in this act appropriations have been authorized to be expended for traveling expenses and no specific limitation has been placed thereon, the expenditures for travel expenses may not exceed the amount set forth therefor in the Budget estimates submitted for the appropriations.

Mr. TYDINGS. What the Budget Bureau approved for travel pay in the break-down of the appropriation would be the maximum which any agency could receive, when there is no limitation. I think the Senator from Tennessee has stated that he is agreeable to the amendment.

Mr. McKELLAR. Mr. President, I have no objection to the amendment. I think it is in line with what we have already done, and I hope it will be adopted.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is on agreeing to the amendment offered by the Senator from Maryland

The amendment was agreed to.

Mr. McKELLAR. Mr. President, I send to the desk an amendment which I ask unanimous consent to offer. The reason why I ask unanimous consent is that I have doubt as to whether it is in order. The amendment is, on page 4, line 3, before the period, to insert a colon and the following: "Provided, That the salary of the Director of the Bureau of the Budget shall be at the rate of \$12,000 per annum effective on the date of the enactment of this act."

The reason for offering this amendment is that Mr. Smith, the present director, now receives \$10,000. Those in comparative positions receive \$12,000, and this request has been made in order to conform to the general principle which has been established. The request comes from the White House, and I think it is perfectly proper and just. I hope there will be no objection to the amendment.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection to the request of the Senator from Tennessee? The Chair hears none. Without objection, the amendment is agreed to.

The bill is still before the Senate and open to further amendment.

Mr. TYDINGS. Mr. President, I should like to have the attention of the Senator from Tennessee for a moment. In considering the legislative appropriation bill which is now on the calendar, I find that appropriation has been made for practically all standard periodicals, such as trade magazines, as well as for newspapers. The daily newspapers of the country and most of the leading weekly newspapers, are bought by the Congressional Library.

In times of peace it has been the practice to make an appropriation available for every agency of the National Government for periodicals and newspapers. All those periodicals, with very few exceptions, are in the Library of Congress, bought by the Congress for the very purpose of being available to those agencies.

Tomorrow at an appropriate time I hope to offer an amendment to strike from these appropriations the authority to buy magazines, periodicals, and newspapers. All an agency has to do is to obtain the magazine, periodical, or newspaper from the Congressional Library. The present practice is for every agency to buy magazines and newspapers; and, while it is only a small thing, it is a waste, It is not good business administration. We ought to save \$30,000 or \$40,000, which we can save, in my judgment, by

adopting the amendment which I shall offer.

I shall therefore move that the words "for the purchase of newspapers and periodicals" wherever they appear in this bill be eliminated.

Mr. BARKLEY. Mr. President, I had not thought anything about that suggestion. Of course, the same rule might be applied to the Senate and the House. We could all go over to the Library of Congress and read the newspapers which come to our reading room, the Marble Room; but it would be a waste of considerable time if all of us had to go over to the Library of Congress to read magazines and newspapers. There might be a considerable waste of time if the agencies downtown had to hotfoot it over to the Library of Congress. I do not know to what extent they read newspapers, but I presume some of them try to keep informed about what is going on in the world. It may be part of their duty to read certain periodicals and to keep themselves informed.

At first blush it strikes me that probably more time would be wasted than could be compensated for by the money which would be saved if we should require everybody in the various agencies of the Government who has occasion to read a newspaper or a magazine to go to the Library of Congress. If they all availed themselves of that privilege, the Library would require increased appropriations for that purpose, unless the prospective readers stood in line waiting to find a newspaper or periodical available. There may be something in the Senator's suggestion: but at first blush it strikes me as likely to cause more trouble than would be compensated for by the money which might be saved.

Mr. TYDINGS. If the amendment is adopted, it will be in conference.

I see no reason in the world why the Congress should furnish the department heads with daily newspapers. There may be an occasional case in which some legal notice must be printed, in which event the agency might want a copy; but everybody knows that when legal notices are inserted in newspapers it is part of the trade practice to give the one inserting the notice a free copy of the newspaper, or perhaps half a dozen copies. Neither can I see why the Government should buy periodicals for heads of departments. I think it is a vicious practice

It may be a small thing, but that is not the point. The point is that small things become important. In any well-regulated government every kind of waste ought to be eliminated, for little bits of waste here and there make up total expenditures which often are so heavy for

the people to bear.

Mr. BARKLEY. Mr. President, if the Senator will yield, let me say that I do not know to what extent the Government buys newspapers for its departments. I do not suppose that is done. If an agency has a research department or a reading room for the assembly of employees, or for research purposes, I imagine that

only in such instances are periodicals and magazines purchased for the benefit of the department. Certainly the Government is not buying newspapers for a Cabinet officer to read, or a magazine for a Cabinet officer to read, or for the Administrator of the Social Security Board or the Director of the Bureau of the Budget to read; but it might be a hardship if those whose duty requires them to keep informed, especially on technical matters, were required to go to the Library of Congress every time they wanted to read a magazine.

Mr. TYDINGS. In the amendment I shall not propose to strike out the words "books of reference," but I shall propose that there be stricken from the appro-priations the words "periodicals and newspapers." Why the Bureau of the Budget wants periodicals and newspapers I do not know.

Mr. BARKLEY. I do not, either; but perhaps they could shed some light on the matter if they were asked about it.

Mr. TYDINGS. Why the National Resources Planning Board wants newspapers and periodicals I do not know. The Office of Government Reports needs them, and we have given them plenty of money with which to buy them.

It is their duty to provide the agencies of the Government with pertinent news matters, and I know that from time to time they sent Senators and Representatives clippings in which their names appear; but it seems to me I could get all such clippings myself without empowering the expenditure of hundreds of thousands of dollars that I would prefer to have spent for relief, or for rural rehabilitation, or for slum clearance, or for something that would add to the sum total of human happiness. I would rather have the money spent for such purposes than to be provided with a room-full of newspaper clippings.

What does the American Battle Monuments Commission need periodicals and newspapers for? It also says it has to have newspapers and periodicals. The Bituminous Coal Consumers' Counsel says it has to have them. The Board of Tax Appeals says it has to have newspapers and periodicals. The Civil Service Commission says it has to have newspapers and periodicals. The Federal Communications Commission says it has to have newspapers and periodicals. The Eleceral Loan Agency says it has to have newspapers and periodicals. The Electric Home and Farm Authority says it has to have periodicals and newspapers, and so forth. The Federal Home Loan Bank Board says it has to have newspapers and periodicals, but in that connection they cannot spend more than \$500 a year for newspapers and periodicals. The Federal Housing Administration cannot spend over \$1,500 a year for magazines and newspapers.

Mr. BARKLEY. It is all right to limit it, but I understand that the Senator's amendment would bar them from having anything at all for that purpose.

Mr. TYDINGS. It seems to me that the Federal Housing Administration could buy a greater number of magazines and newspapers for \$1,500; it could almost keep one magazine going completely with that amount of money.

Not only that but the Federal Savings and Loan Insurance Corporation says it cannot function without having \$250 for periodicals and newspapers. The Home Owners' Loan Corporation says it has to have periodicals and newspapers. The Federal Power Commission says it cannot operate without newspapers and periodicals; the Federal Works Agency says it has to have newspapers and periodicals; the Federal Trade Commission says it has to have newspapers and periodicals. The Public Roads Administration has to have a lot of things; I am satisfied that they need maps, and so on, but they seem to need an enormous amount of periodicals and newspapers.

Mr. BARKLEY. Mr. President, let me ask the Senator-

Mr. TYDINGS. Let me finish reading the list, and then I will yield to the Senator.

Mr. BARKLEY. Assuming that money for such purchases of newspapers and periodicals is contained in the appropriation for every one of the departments and agencies, let me point out that the bill has been pending since the 23d of January.

Mr. TYDINGS. That is correct.

Mr. BARKLEY. Did the members of the committee seek to inquire from the various agencies as to justification for such expenses? It might be that if they were called on to explain the matter they could justify it; but, as I understand, they were not called upon, and now we have no explanation as to why the expenditures are advisable or why they are proposed to be made.

Mr. TYDINGS. The Senator makes a strong point there, and I cannot take issue with him, for the reason that I did not discover how widespread is the purchase of newspapers and periodicals in the Government until I happened to scrutinize the reports which our committee has been receiving, and learned of the enormous amount of money, thousands and thousands of dollars, into which all these items pyramid.

Mr. BARKLEY. I am not criticizing the Senator's attitude.

Mr. TYDINGS. I understand. Mr. BARKLEY. But I think v But I think we have to assume that the men who are responsible for the conduct of the various departments of the Government are as reasonable as we are. We hope we are, at least, and we try to be. There may be a justification. I certainly would be sympathetic to any reasonable justification that they can make, because I should not want to bring about even a small saving in money that would handicap a department in keeping in touch with developments, which must be done by means of periodicals and publications of various kinds, and even books. I do not imagine that the head of any department requires the department to buy his personal newspapers which he can read at home at breakfast, or when he goes to lunch, or after he goes to bed at night—as I frequently do; I do not have time to read other newspapers much than the Washington and New York and Kentucky newspapers, much as I would desire to read them all. Time forbids. However, it is not a bad service every now and then for someone to send me a bunch of clippings showing what a number of people in the Middle West or on the Pacific coast think about what we are doing here. I think it is a matter about which we should be careful, so as not to handicap or hobble Government agencies too much.

Mr. TYDINGS. Let me say to the Senator that the one reason I think it important to make the point against such purchases of newspapers and periodicals is that originally only newspapers were purchased, then the Congress inserted in the appropriations the word "peri-odicals." Now the words "photographs and photographic equipment" have been inserted; and provision for all kinds of sound-recording equipment has been inserted. In one department-I will not name it for the time being-there are machines that cost \$3,000 apiece. Nine of them are idle at this very momentnine machines worth \$27,000 are idle at a time when another department of the Government needs such machines badly. The machines were bought with funds from the rather loosely worded appropriations which we have gotten into the habit of passing without any examination into them. I believe we can save many millions of dollars out of things such as those I have mentioned. Indeed, a million dollars that we can save today will be a load off the backs of the people and will be a load off the burden the returning soldiers and sailors will have to bear when they come home from this war.

I think we ought to strive to eliminate every bit of waste we can, on the same principle that when a man saves a few tin cans and turns them in to the administrator who is in charge of tin, that is only a very small contribution, but it is his contribution and the other man's contribution that will give us enough tin to carry on through the war. I think that the Senate would do very well to imitate those savings.

So I should like to see such an amendment agreed to, and taken to conference so that we could find out whether there is extravagance along this line. If the agencies need magazines and newspapers, I, as one of the conferees, would withdraw objection.

Mr. BARKLEY. I did not understand that the Senator wanted action on the amendment this afternoon.

Mr. TYDINGS. No: I only wanted to explain it and have it pending.

Mr. BARKLEY. Very well. I wanted to add to the category of newspapers I read, Maryland newspapers as well as New York and Kentucky newspapers.

Mr. TYDINGS. Let me say that sometime ago we passed a law-I cannot find it right now-that forbids the head of a governmental department or agency to buy newspapers or periodicals with any funds appropriated by Congress. There must have been an abuse some time in the past, so that it became necessary to enact such legislation.

Subsequent to the passage of that law, gradually over a period of years we have

let every one of the agencies return to that practice, and we have specifically authorized them to buy newspapers and periodicals. So, while we have a law on the statute books saying that none of them shall buy newspapers and periodicals, we have, one at a time, given every agency of the Government the right to buy them. That is how we stopped something and then drifted right back again, fully, into the old fault. The amount involved may be small, but I am convinced that if we are going to have economy it will be accomplished by the saving of little sums which will make big sums, rather than big sums themselves.

Mr. McKELLAR. The Senator does not offer the amendment at this time?

Mr. TYDINGS. No; not now.

Mr. McKELLAR. Mr. President, I have received from Admiral Land, Chairman of the Maritime Commission, a memorandum dated April 27, 1942, reading as follows:

Executive Order No. 9112, of March 26, 1942, authorized the War Department, the Navy Department, and the Maritime Commission to make or guarantee loans to finance contractors engaged in business or operations for the prosecution of the war, and thus facilitate the participation of small business enterprises in the war-production effort.

Both the Navy Department and the War Department procured amendments to H. R. 6868 (Sixth Supplemental National Defense Appropriation Act, 1942), making appropriations to the said departments available to carry out the provisions of the said Executive Order No. 9112 (see first paragraph of title I—War Department, and sec. 202 of the enrolled enactment. H. R. 6868).

War Department, and sec. 202 of the enrolled enactment, H. R. 6868).

It appears desirable, therefore, that the Maritime Commission likewise have express authority to expend its funds for the purpose of carrying out the said Executive order. It is suggested that this objective can be secured by an amendment to the bill H. R. 6430 (Independent Offices Appropriation Act, 1942) by an amendment, as follows:

Page 74, line 13, before the word "Provided", insert the following: "Provided further, That the said construction fund shall be available for carrying out the provisions of Executive Order No. 9112, of March 26, 1942:"

E. S. Land, Chairman.

I think that is a perfectly proper amendment and that it should be agreed to. I submit it and ask that it be agreed to.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will state the amendment.

The LEGISLATIVE CLERK. On page 74, in line 13, after "247)", it is proposed to insert a colon and the following additional proviso: "Provided further, That the said construction fund shall be available for carrying out the provisions of Executive Order No. 9112 of March 26, 1942."

The amendment was agreed to.

Mr. GEORGE. Mr. President, there is one matter to which I should like to recur. The amendment on line 9, page 70, was agreed to. The House appropriated for the Tariff Commission \$810,000, which was reduced by the Senate Committee on Appropriations by \$1,800 only. The amendment is entirely agreeable, and there is no question raised about it.

On the floor of the House, the House reduced by a full 10 percent the appro-

priation recommended by the Budget Bureau for the Tariff Commission. The Budget Bureau recommended \$900.000, and the House committee accepted the recommendation. That figure was less than the appropriation last year. The appropriation has been gradually going down for the last 5 or 6 years. On the floor of the House the appropriation was reduced by 10 percent. I have conferred with the Senator in charge of the bill, and have suggested, and now urge, that the vote by which the amendment was agreed to be reconsidered, and that the reduction may be only 5 percent from the Budget Bureau's recommendation rather than 10 percent.

rather than 10 percent.

Mr. TYDINGS. A point of order.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The
Senator will state it.

Mr. TYDINGS. Is the motion I made to strike out the words "newspapers and periodicals" wherever they appear now pending?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The motion goes over until tomorrow.

Mr. TYDINGS. What would be the effect of our considering the amendment offered by the Senator from Georgia?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. It would have no effect on the Senator's motion.

Mr. GEORGE. While, of course, the work of the Tariff Commission has been circumscribed by the war and by international conditions, the Commission is now called on to do some very highly confidential work for the War Production Board, for the Army and Navy Munitions Board, and for the office of the Price Administrator, as well as for the Board of Economic Warfare. The latter organization, which is most important, is not setting up a commodities staff, but is using entirely the Tariff Commission's staff.

I am making this request especially so that the matter may go to conference, not for a restoration of the full amount but for a restoration of half the amount cut out by the House. Unless consent shall be granted and my suggestion prevail, there will be nothing in the conference in regard to this item.

Mr. McKELLAR. Mr. President, my attention was diverted. What is the motion of the Senator?

Mr. GEORGE. I am asking unanimous consent that the vote by which the amendment on page 70, line 9, was agreed to be reconsidered, and that the amount of \$808,200 be increased by \$45,000. That would put in conference the question of cutting the amount asked for only 5 percent, instead of 10 percent. The House made a cut of 10 percent.

Mr. McKELLAR. I have not had time to go into the matter, but if the Senator is willing to permit us to take it to conference and see what we can do, without our making any promises, we can take that course.

Mr. GEORGE. I should appreciate the Senator taking it to conference.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection to the request of the Senator from Georgia that the vote by which the amendment on page 70, line 9, was agreed to be reconsidered? The Chair hears none, and the question is on agreeing to the amendment.

Mr. GEORGE. I now offer an amendment to the amendment, that the amount of \$808,200 be increased by \$45,000, to \$853,200.

The amendment to the amendment was agreed to.

The amendment as amended was agreed to.

Mr. GEORGE. It will be necessary to correct the total in line 25.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, the clerk is authorized to correct the total.

FRANK SHEPPARD

The PRESIDING OFFICER laid before the Senate the bill (H. R. 3767) for the relief of Frank Sheppard, which was read the first time by title and the second time at length, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Treasury be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to pay, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, to Frank Sheppard, of Lewisburg, W. Va., the sum of \$300, in full settlement of all claims against the United States for damages sustained by the said Frank Sheppard, on account of personal injuries suffered by him on August 24, 1939, when, while sitting on the front porch of his home at the town of Lewisburg, W. Va., he was struck by a heavy stone thrown by a blast set of by employees of the Work Projects Administration.

Mr. KILGORE. Mr. President. on February 26 this year the Senate passed Senate bill 2220, for the relief of Frank Sheppard, appropriating the sum of \$300 for injuries received when he was struck by stones from a blast caused by employees of the Work Projects Administration. The bill went to the House and was there tabled, and an identical House bill was passed. Senate bill 2220 had been approved by the Committee on Claims in the Senate, and passed the Senate. The House bill now before the Senate is identical with the Senate bill which was passed. Mr. President, I do not like the practice of the House shelving a Senate bill, which passed first, but this is a bill which merely compensates Frank Sheppard for the damage and injury caused by the accident, and I ask for its immediate consideration.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

There being no objection, the bill (H. R. 3767) for the relief of Frank Sheppard was considered, ordered to a third reading, read the third time, and passed.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

Mr. BARKLEY. I move that the Senate proceed to the consideration of executive business.

The motion was agreed to; and the Senate proceeded to the consideration of executive business.

EXECUTIVE MESSAGE REFERRED

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. Bunker in the chair) laid before the Senate a message from the President of the United States submitting sundry nominations in the Army, which were referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

(For nominations this day received, see the end of Senate proceedings.)

EXECUTIVE REPORTS OF THE COMMIT-TEE ON FOREIGN RELATIONS

Mr. PEPPER from the Committee on Foreign Relations, submitted the following favorable reports of nominations:

Carlton J. H. Hayes, of New York, to be Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary to Spain.

Cornelius Van H. Engert, of California, now a Foreign Service officer of class 1 and consul general at Beirut, Lebanon, to be Envoy Extraordinary and Minister Plenipotentiary to Afghanistan.

Maynard B. Barnes, of Iowa, now a Foreign Service officer of class 2 and a secretary in the Diplomatic Service, to be also a consul general; and

Laurence W. Taylor, of California, now a Foreign Service officer of class 7 and a secretary in the Diplomatic Service, to be also a consul.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. If there be no further reports of committees, the clerk will state the nominations on the calendar.

POSTMASTERS-NOMINATION ADVERSELY REPORTED

The legislative clerk read the nomination of Bernice B. Lyons to be postmaster at Vinton, La., which had been adversely reported from the Committee on Post Offices and Post Roads.

Mr. McKELLAR. At the request of one of the Senators from Louisiana, I ask that the nomination be passed over.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, the nomination will be passed over.

POSTMASTERS-NOMINATIONS FAVOR-ABLY REPORTED

The legislative clerk proceeded to read sundry nominations of postmasters.

Mr. McKELLAR. I ask unanimous consent that the nominations be confirmed en bloc.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, the nominations are confirmed en bloc.

THE NAVY

The legislative clerk read the nomination of Robert L. Ghormley to be vice admiral.

Mr. WALSH. I ask that the nomination be confirmed.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, the nomination is confirmed.

THE MARINE CORPS

The legislative clerk proceeded to read sundry nominations in the Marine Corps. Mr. WALSH. I ask that the nominations be confirmed en bloc.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, the nominations are confirmed en bloc.

Mr. BARKLEY. I ask unanimous consent that the President be immediately notified of all confirmations made today.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, the President will be notified forthwith of all confirmations of today.

RECESS

Mr. BARKLEY. As in legislative session, I move that the Senate take a recess until 12 o'clock noon tomorrow.

The motion was agreed to; and (at 5 o'clock and 8 minutes p. m.) the Senate took a recess until tomorrow, Friday, May 1, 1942, at 12 o'clock meridian.

NOMINATIONS

Executive nominations received by the Senate April 30, 1942:

APPOINTMENTS IN THE REGULAR ARMY

TO BE FIRST LIEUTENANTS, MEDICAL CORPS, WITH RANK FROM DATE OF APPOINTMENT

Capt. William Holmes Crosby, Jr., Medical Corps Reserve.

First Lt. Alex Brown, Medical Corps Re-

First Lt. Charles Mathews Swindler, Medical Corps Reserve.

APPOINTMENTS, BY TRANSFER, IN THE REGULAR ARMY OF THE UNITED STATES

TO QUARTERMASTER CORPS

Capt. Frank Coffin Holbrook, Field Artillery (temporary major, Army of the United States), with rank from June 30, 1936.

TO CORPS OF ENGINEERS

Capt. Everett Clifton Hayden, Quartermaster Corps (temporary lieutenant colonel, Army of the United States), with rank from June 13, 1939.

TO AIR CORPS

First Lt. John Barkley Pattison, Jr., Coast Artillery Corps (temporary captain, Army of the United States), with rank from June 14,

Second Lt. George Major White, Cavalry (temporary first lieutenant, Army of the United States), with rank from July 1, 1940. Second Lt. William Jonreau Williams II,

Corps of Engineers (temporary first lieutenant, Army of the United States), with rank from July 1, 1940.

Second Lt. John Edward Nitsche, Field Artillery (temporary first lieutenant, Army

of the United States), with rank from July 1, 1940.

Second Lt. Alfred George Hayduk, Coast Artillery Corps, with rank from June 11, 1941.

CONFIRMATIONS

Executive nominations confirmed by the Senate April 30, 1942:

POSTMASTERS

ALABAMA

Thomas J. Millican, Brilliant. Willard D. Leake, Jasper. Samuel D. Wren, Red Bay.

FLORIDA

Jefferson Gaines, Bocagrande, Paul E. Mahan, Hobe Sound.

LOUISIANA

Laverna O. Ramsey, Pleasant Hill. MISSISSIPPI

Walter Darracott, Aberdeen. Bonnie H. Curd, Pace.

Thelma Z. Landry, Waveland. NEW JERSEY

Marie H. Pill, Califon. Peter J. Egan, Montclair.

SOUTH CAROLINA

Mary P. Mishoe, Greelyville. Lindsay C. McFadden, Rock Hill.

APPOINTMENTS IN THE NAVY Robert L. Ghormley to be a vice admiral in the Navy, for temporary service.

MARINE CORPS

To be second lieutenants

Herman Hansen, Jr. Joe B. Russell Richard L. Boll Lucien W. Carmichael Walter W. Hitesman, Jr. John L. Hopkins James G. Kelly Charles D. Harris George O. Ludcke, Jr. Donald R. Kennedy

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

THURSDAY, APRIL 30, 1942

The House met at 12 o'clock noon. Father Alcuin Heibel, O. S. B., Mount Angel College, Mount Angel, Oreg., offered the following prayer:

O Heavenly Father, acknowledging ourselves Thy creatures, we ask the continued blessings of your kind providence upon our Nation. We are grateful to be citizens of these United States. Guide our President, enlighten the minds of our legislators in their deliberations so that they may conclude unselfishly and wisely during these critical times in our Nation's history.

Grant, O Lord, that we may always have the decency to revere Thy holy name and to respect the dignity of a human person. May we keep our Government so arranged that it may always benefit and protect all the people, not as classes or antagonistic groups, but as one family in the peace of Christ. Give to all of us, and especially to our chosen leaders, the grace to realize and always remember the essential unit of our American society in the family in which parents are acknowledged as the representatives of God with the natural right and duty to take a guiding part in the education of their children. O Divine Master, in this world cursed by the selfish ambitions of proud individuals, by the international hatreds born of human greed, let us recall Thy lessons in justice, charity, and tolerance. Grant that our American religious, social, and economic life may always be based on the 10-point moral code of God's Commandments, for only thus can our American liberties and responsibilities be preserved in their original purity and intent.
Please, God, help us all to keep Amer-

ica American in its finest aspirations and highest ideals. Amen.

The Journal of the proceedings of yesterday was read and approved.

MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

A message from the Senate, by Mr. Frazier, its legislative clerk, announced that the Senate had passed a bill of the following title, in which the concurrence of the House is requested:

S. 2404. An act to authorize officers and enlisted men of the armed forces of the United States to accept decorations, orders, medals, and emblems tendered them by governments of cobelligerent nations or other American republics and to create the decorations to be known as the Legion of Merit, and the Medal for Merit.

The message also announced that the Vice President had appointed Mr. BARK-. LEY and Mr. BREWSTER members of the joint select committee on the part of the Senate, as provided for in the act of August 5, 1939, entitled "An act to provide for the disposition of certain records of the United States Government," for the disposition of executive papers in the following departments and agency:

- 1. Department of Agriculture.
- 2. Department of Justice.
- 3. Department of the Treasury.
- 4. Department of War.
- 5. Civil Service Commission.

EXTENSION OF REMARKS

Mr. DISNEY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to extend my remarks in the Record and include a statement by Mr. Brown, of the Independent Petroleum Association.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection?

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER. Under previous order of the House, the gentleman from Michigan [Mr. Dingell] is recognized for 1 hour.

CONSTITUTION OF POLAND

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, at this point I ask unanimous consent that the Speaker's remarks be inserted in the RECORD.

The SPEAKER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

There was no objection.

Mr. RAYBURN. Mr. Speaker, today we pause to pay tribute to the people of a great nation, a nation that was born of blood and sacrifice and through the years has kept the lamp of liberty burning despite the efforts of despots to extinguish it—Poland.

It is fitting that we should pay our respects to this brave and patient people, who just now are ground down beneath the heel of Nazi tyranny, because their history is inextricably interwoven with our own, and today we share a common

destiny.

We Americans, of whatever racial background, have a great deal in common with the Poles. They, like ourselves, are lovers of liberty. The history of Poland has been one of constant struggle against oppression, against the efforts of greedy neighbors to divide the land among themselves. Poland has been dismembered in the past—she has been crushed and humiliated—but always she has risen again. And though today she writhes beneath the heel of the Nazi master, Poland will rise again.

In almost every war that has been fought in behalf of freedom, Poles have taken part. There is no American schoolboy who is not familiar with the names of Kosciusko and Pulaski, Polish heroes who fought with such consummate courage in the army of George Washington during our own Revolution. Kosciusko fought throughout the Revolution, then returned to his own native land. Count Pulaski gave his life for America, dying in action at Savannah.

Poles have fought in every war which America has waged since then. Always they have been at the forefront of

battle.

On next Sunday, May 3, the Polish people throughout the world will observe Polish Constitution Day. On this day, which means so much to the Polish Nation, thousands of Poles, fighting in the armies of the Allies, and millions more, held in virtual slavery by their ruthless conquerors, will pledge anew their determination to fight on until the forces of evil have been routed and the world again is free. Poland's battle today is our battle, and our battle is Poland's. In the days of the past, Americans and Poles have stood shoulder to shoulder in the battle for human liberty. Today they are

again brothers in arms in the greatest struggle in history, in which human liberty is on trial and the fate of civilization itself is at stake.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, Sunday, May the 3d, marks the one hundred and fifty-first anniversary of the adoption of the famous Constitution of Poland. I rise on this occasion to pay my respects and to transmit greetings to the democratic, peace-loving, and progressive people in the land of my forefathers. As an American, I am privileged to offer encouragement to the Polish Government in exile and to the valiant Polish soldiers fighting on the principal battlefields of the world for freedom, for democracy, for God, and for country. Battered and bruised, robbed of her God-given freedom, plundered of her last grain and sou, Poland lies helpless and in chains. Fettered as she is by her traditional, treacherous, and undying enemy, Poland, by the will of God, shall rise again, revitalized and strengthened by the martyrdom which she suffered for Christianity, democracy, and civilization.

When the seat of the barbarian shall have tottered and fallen and Berlin shall have been reduced to a shambles, and the vanquished armies of Germany shall have returned to the destruction and havoc which they so fiendishly visited upon other peoples and invited upon themselves, Warsaw and Poland, cleared of the invader, with all of their people shall rejoice as they welcome their triumphant heroic soldier legions and assume their rightful place among the peace-loving nations of the world. The 3d day of May, the Independence Day of Poland, shall once again be celebrated

midst peace and plenty.

Poland, Mr. Speaker, has been referred to by historians as the bulwark of Christendom, henceforth she shall be known too as the citadel which saved civilization. Let me say here and now for the benefit of those who may be uninformed that Poland, throughout the centuries, has always been the haven of the oppressed and the refuge for the unfortunate. While she has never waged a war of aggression, she has, more than once, without a price, raised her sword in the defense of her neighbors. History has never credited Poland with even a fair share of the glory which was her due. Jealous and treacherous neighbors, in their libelous misrepresentation of her glorious and historic exploits which high light the pages of history, robbed her of her just due.

Ungrateful and covetous combinations of her neighbors conspired and executed their treacherous plans of murder, plunder, and enslavement. Poland has repeatedly suffered at the hands of the Germans, who waxed fat upon the booty which they plundered. After World War No. 1, there was scarcely a piece of iron or copper the size of a man's fist, or an excess foot of steel rail, which was not used for German troop transport, remaining in all Poland. Dairy cattle and livestock of all kinds were commandeered and shipped to Deutschland and the plundered people left to starve. Someone said during Poland's recent 20 years of existence, in proof of her youthfulness and virility, that during the first World War the tide of battle swept over an area 13 times the size of Belgium so many times as to make survival among children of tender age and old folks next to impossible. Countless lives in high- and low-age brackets were sacrificed to Mars upon the altar of war—a war not of their making and one in which they had no interest.

As sure as there is a God in the heavens and as certain as is the rise of the sun in the morn, the day of reckoning and of retribution is coming. The guilty will pay this time, even unto the tenth generation. They shall be made to rebuild all they destroyed and to haul back the plunder while shackled to a wheelbarrow. They shall thereafter be made impotent to wage war upon Christianity, freedom, and civilization. Mr. Churchill once said, in a trans-Atlantic radio address:

There are 85,000,000 malignant Huns, some of them doubtlessly curable, all of them killable.

If they do not unconditionally lay down their arms, then, for civilization's sake, they must risk the consequences. Moreover, there must be no negotiated peace. There shall be no wasting of time on treaties which would be scrapped when they have served Germany's purpose of delay and of preparation for the next war, which, if it is to come on schedule, should drench the world again before 1967.

Reestablish the constitution of the 3d of May, reestablish Poland—a strong and invincible Poland—and you will make of her the guardian of peace, and in her keeping will be vested the key to permanent stability.

Mr. YOUNG. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. DINGELL. I yield to my colleague.

Mr. YOUNG. I congratulate the gentleman from Michigan upon his remarkable and eloquent speech regarding the Polish people, a magnificent people, with a great history and noble traditions.

Mr. DINGELL. I thank the gentleman.

Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as he may require to the distinguished majority leader the gentleman from Massachusetts [Mr. McCormack].

Mr. McCORMACK. Mr. Speaker, Sunday next is the one hundred and fifty-first anniversary of the adoption of a democratic constitution by the people of Poland, 2 years after our own Government was formed and started operation under a constitutional form of government.

It is only fitting and proper that in these times this body, the greatest legislative body in the world, should pause to properly honor that great event and to pay tribute to the Government of Poland, which still exists, although the land of that Government and the people of Poland have been overrun and temporarily conquered. When we pay honor to the Government of Poland we honor the brave and liberty and religious loving

people of Poland. Poland has had a great history, going back through the ages. History records that on at least one occasion many generations ago the great men of Poland saved Christianity from the pagan hordes that had overrun Europe. History is replete with the heroism and bravery of the noble people of Poland, and history also records they are imbued with a deep love of liberty and that they are an intensely religiousminded people. I refer to them as an intensely religious people, not having in mind any particular creed.

We know their experiences of the past few years, of the vicious hordes of destruction and paganism under the leadership of a merciless and destructive mind, using a flimsy pretext to invade and conquer that country and its fine people. We know of the great contributions made to the progress of our own country by those of Polish blood and of Polish origin; and of Pulaski and other great Poles of the revolutionary days, who denied liberty on the part of themselves and their own people, came over to the Western Hemisphere to fight for the Colonies of those days in their battle for independence, as a result of which we are citizens of the great country that is ours

America sympathizes with the people of Poland. America goes further. America is determined to do everything within its power to bring about that victory which will mean the reestablishment of Poland as an independent nation, with the right of the people of that country to go forward in an effort to obtain those national aspirations that will be consistent with their own best interests and for a future decent world.

We in America are proud of the Americans of Polish blood. Americans are not a race. The people of America, those who live in America come, or their forebears came from other lands. We of this generation are of racial origins that come from every country under the sun, and we are a people of various religious strains; but we are held together by one love, one common love that all decent men and women stand for, and that those who have never had it strive for, the love of liberty, the possession of the individual rights guaranteed us by the Constitution, the right to possess the dignity and the personality of a human being. That is the common love that holds all Americans together.

The people of Poland, as history clearly shows, are actuated and prompted by the same love of liberty that holds the various racial groups of America together and welds us together, not as a race, but as a people. One of the racial groups that played a prominent part in this welding and in the progress of our great country is the Poles. We honor them, we respect them, we value them. We also honor and respect the great contributions made by those of the Poland of today. The Poland of the past was Poland the battlefield of Europe, up and down, but never defeated.

A people may be conquered, but as long as national spirit remains that people, even though conquered, constitutes

a nation, a potential nation ready to spring forward when the opportunity presents itself. In this conflict the people of Poland are still a nation though temporarily conquered and their sovereignty absorbed by the conqueror; nevertheless, the national spirit exists and the potential nation of Poland is there ready to spring forward when the opportunity presents itself. The people Poland, working underground otherwise, will continue to cooperate with our country and the other nations engaged in this conflict in defeating the common enemies. So I am glad to join with my distinguished friend the gentleman from Michigan [Mr. DINGELL], an outstanding American of Polish descent, but an American first, last, and always, thinking of America first, as all of us should, no matter what our racial origins, but having in our hearts love for the land of our forebears.

I am glad to be invited by the gentleman from Michigan [Mr. DINGELL] to express myself as I have on this occasion and to join with him and others who will follow me in paying tribute first to the brave people of Poland, assuring them that our country, when victory has crowned our efforts, will be dedicated to seeing that Poland is again reestablished a sovereign nation; and, second, to honor those fine persons of Polish blood of this generation who are playing their parts in American life and in this crisis as outstanding Americans; and to the Americans of Polish blood of past generations who have made their great contribution toward the progress of the wonderful country we possess today. This great America is our country by inheritance. This generation of Americans is on trial. Are we going to be the first generation of Americans that failed in its trust? We shall not be.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, I now yield to the distinguished minority leader the gentleman from Massachusetts [Mr. Martin].

Mr. MARTIN of Massachusetts. Mr. Speaker, I am glad to join with my good friend and colleague the gentleman from Michigan [Mr. DINGELL] in the observance of this notable event in the history of Poland, the anniversary of constitution day. We have had occasion to review time and again the glorious part the sons and daughters of Poland have had in the battles, the literature, and the statesmanship of the United States of America. I wish to pay my tribute to Poland because of the unconquerable bravery of her people. I want to offer a word of encouragement in this dark hour. As has been related, the Diet of Poland adopted a constitution on May 3, 1791, 2 years after the Constitution of the United States was adopted. It was not a constitution of a republic but a constitution of freedom. establishing a monarchy under its limitations and provisions. It stood only until 1795, when Poland was again overrun.

The history of the Polish people has been a tragic one in many respects. It has been a noble and inspiring one in many respects. The Polish people have been overrun by superior numbers; they have been overwhelmed by stronger enemies; they have been defeated and rendered helpless, apparently, so many times in their long history that, as we contemplate these series of rises and falls, we discover there is something in the soul of Poland which cannot be conquered. There is a quality, a determination, a love of liberty, a bravery, a capacity to suffer and to endure, a will to progress onward and upward, which no enemy, no matter how physically strong he might be, has ever been able to crush out of the heart of Poland. A people like that may be defeated time after time. They are never conquered. They are not conquered now.

They may seem helpless; millions of them may be confined behind barbed wire in concentration camps; other millions may have been starved by their oppressors, as we are told they have been; even though all of these horrible things be true, no one who knows the history of the Polish people, no one who studies the accomplishments of the Polish people in the various countries to which they have gone, including the United States of America, can doubt that in the soul of the Polish people is an unconquerable spirit which cannot be throttled; which cannot be killed; which cannot be starved into submission, or terrorized into inactivity. In the forces of the Allies in different parts of the world, the sons and daughters of Poland are fighting, with their hearts aflame with the burning determination that the oppressors and despoilers of Poland shall know the righteous wrath of the Polish people. Here in the United States of America. seemingly the last great stronghold of liberty in the world, the sons and daughters of Poland, with hearts aflame, are working for the liberation of their fatherland and their people.

I need not say to the people of Poland that the heartfelt prayers of all libertyloving people in the world are ascending for them today. They know that. We can take our greatest assurance that Poland will rise again to a new strength as a people, and a country, because of Poland's history. It shows that, no matter how many times the Polish people may be cast down, no matter how many times the Polish Nation may be partitioned, the Polish people rise again to liberty and recover their nation and go marching on in the phalanxes of freedom. The freedom-loving people of the world are determined to rebuild Poland as a free nation.

So Poland struggles on. Poland hopes on. Poland dreams on. Poland works on, and Poland will rise again to add more glorious pages to her already glorious history.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, I now yield to the gentleman from Pennsylvania [Mr. Boland].

WHAT CONSTITUTION DAY MEANS

Mr. BOLAND. Mr. Speaker, the 3d of May is to be observed in this country, I am happy to say, with radio programs, with speeches, with group rallies, with music. The 3d of May is Polish Constitution Day—a day which has for our Polish allies in the war the same signifi-

cance that the Fourth of July has for all

patriotic Americans.

The Polish Constitution was adopted on May 3, 1791. It was a democratic constitution, and showed the influence of the American and French Revolutions—the winds of freedom blew through it. For the first time many liberties were guaranteed the common peo-

Constitution Day means more to the Poles than the birthday of a document. It is a symbol of a nation that refuses to die. Four years after the adoption of the Constitution in 1795 Poland ceased to exist as a nation. During the long century and a quarter of Poland's captivity, its territories split among three great, imperialistic powers, the 3d of May represented to patriots the dream that Poland would live again as a nation.

Poland did live again, only to be struck down by Hitler's mad aggression. This year in the United States, where so many descendants of Polish patriots are now valuable and loyal citizens, it is particularly significant that Constitution Day should be observed and that the brave heritage it represents should be cele-

brated.

Poles have been captives before. They are determined and we are determined that they will be freemen again. Gentlemen, I give you Polish Constitution Day, the birthday of a nation.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, I yield to my distinguished friend from Illinois

[Mr. DEWEY].

Mr. DEWEY. Mr. Speaker, it is with considerable emotion that I rise, not only to eulogize the history and traditions of a great country but also to pay my respect to the memory of many friends and comrades who have loyally given their lives in the past months and few years. and to salute those of my former comrades who are still fighting for their

Nominated by the Federal Reserve Board in 1927, I left the United States Treasury for Warsaw, Poland, and there with my family spent 3 years as American financial adviser to that new state. It was there I learned, working with the Polish people, among them and as a part of them, their great character, their great courage, and what they stand for. I saw with my own eyes a great country devastated by not 4 years of war but by 6 long years of war, which continued until August 1920. I saw that country regain its economic strength, forge ahead, always mindful of the traditions of her past, always looking forward to take her place in the history of the world as a great nation.

Poland has always taken her place in the world, even back as far as 1683, when she sent her armies to raise the siege of Vienna, when Europe was about to be overrun by Turkish hordes. She took her place later on as the achiever of the first liberal constitution that was ever given to a Central European country. Bounded, as she was, by envious, autocratic neighbors, her country was de-spoiled by three partitions until she disappeared as a unit, about the time that our great country achieved its liberty: but, for 125 years Poland fought on and was again reestablished as a nation by

the Treaty of Versailles.

During those days following the Treaty of Versailles-until recently overrun by a hostile force-she achieved greater and greater success. I believe that Poland. though fallen to these Nazi hordes and invaders, will rise again like a Phoenix from her ashes and stand beside us and the other democracies of the world and go forward to even greater heights and greater history.

I thank my distinguished colleague from Michigan for the privilege of saying a word on a subject so near my own heart.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, I now yield to the gentleman from Illinois [Mr.

McKEOUGH].

Mr. McKEOUGH. Mr. Speaker, I thank the distinguished gentleman from Michigan for the privilege of adding a few words in tribute to the great people of Poland in connection with the pending celebration of their Constitution Day.

The love of liberty on the part of the Polish people is exceeded only by their love of God. When we understand their love of God, we can better appreciate their love of liberty. Their sufferings, caused by their devotion to their religious convictions, automatically strengthen their will to militantly fight for their liberty. Their century-old struggle to secure and maintain their freedom is an inspiration to all other liberty-loving peoples in the world to fortify their determination to secure freedom from oppres-

sion and persecution.

It is this love of liberty that makes easy an appreciation of the valiant defense which the Polish people offered against Hitler and which so incensed the Nazis that they have singled out the people of Poland for persecutions of a satanic nature. The inhuman treatment imposed upon the people of Poland has shocked the sensibilities of all civilized nations.

It is this spirit, born of their age-old struggle to secure and maintain their liberty, that makes more determined the will of the peoples of the still free united nations to prosecute the war until the liberty previously enjoyed by the conquered peoples of Europe is again, first, restored, but, more important, maintained by an enduring peace based on moral justice.

It is to this high purpose that we, in company with our allies, have dedicated our efforts, as best described by President Roosevelt, to establish the "four freedoms" throughout the world.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Michigan [Mr. WCODRUFF]

Mr. WOODRUFF of Michigan. Mr. Speaker, I was interested in what the gentleman from Illinois [Mr. DEWEY] had to say about his personal experiences in Poland. I am reminded of experiences I had in that country back in the winter of 1919-20. I was there twice on business connected with the then Polish Government, and I had an opportunity to learn for myself the intelligence and the determination with which the Poles attacked the problem of the economic rehabilitation of that war-destroyed coun-

In order that you may know the conditions which existed there, I need only to remind you that Poland all down the centuries has constituted one of the great battlegrounds of all Europe. Armies have fought back and forth across that country since time began almost. This was especially true of the World War. The Germans and the Russians fought back and forth and back and forth across Poland time after time. Whenever one army was driven from the country, naturally it took with them everything that could be of any possible use to the retreating forces. All that could not be moved but could be used by the successful forces was destroyed. Consequently, one of the most beautiful farming countries I have ever seen was completely denuded of farm stock of every kind, of food, of everything which enabled a farmer to exist. As a result, when riding from Paris to Warsaw and passing through what I believe was one of the most beautiful agricultural sections I have ever seen, I noticed with amazement that hour after hour as I rode through that wonderful country I did not see the first sign of life, except those gathered at the railroad stations hoping to secure transportation to some city. where only the bare necessities of life could be had.

When I reached Warsaw I discovered. very much to my amazement, that bread lines were everywhere. One could not walk a half-dozen blocks in any direction from the center of that beautiful city without finding bread lines with long lines of half-starved men, women, and children waiting for their daily rations.

I was amazed then, as I still am when I look back to those days, to note that the people in the bread lines were not completely devoid of hope regardless of how desperate their situation was. It is true that they had no money; they had no work; they had no food other than that they were waiting for, but they were not hopeless. Why? Because Poland had been recreated. Poland was again a land of the free. Her people could again hold up their heads and look to the future unafraid. This feeling completely possessed the people of that country, and as a result there is no example in history where any people so completely destroyed economically as were they, who showed the same determination and the same intelligence and who earned the same success, made the same progress toward economic rehabilitation as was made by Poland following that period.

We in Michigan know something of the Polish race. They are a deeply patriotic people. We are privileged to have within our borders hundreds and hundreds of thousands of citizens of Polish extraction. In Bay City, my home town, during the days leading up to our active participation in World War No. 1, we had a demonstration of the quality of Polish patriotism. In my county between 20 and 25 percent of the citizens are of

Polish extraction. When the third draft came, Bay County's quota for that draft was only 9 men. The reason was that we had had many hundreds of volunteers prior to that time. Whenever a man volunteered from a county, that county was given credit for that enlistment when the succeeding quotas were called for.

The amazing thing to me, and to everyone who knows of it, I believe, is that while the citizens of Polish extraction constituted only 20 or 25 percent of the total population of the county, that 20 or 25 percent had furnished 75 percent of those who had volunteered previous to that time. That is a record which will stand as a lasting tribute to the patriotism of the American citizens of Polish extraction.

Mr. Speaker, knowing the Poles as I do, knowing their determination to live as a free nation, I prophesy that those hundreds of thousands of Poles who are now fighting in the ranks of those opposed to the Axis Powers will form a nucleus around which Poland will again rise and take her proper place in the great family of nations.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, I yield 4 minutes to my colleague the gentleman from Connecticut [Mr. Maciora].

Mr. MACIORA. Mr. Speaker, it is no accident that a strong bond has existed since colonial days between the United States and Poland.

Our Constitution was adopted September 17, 1787; the Constitution of Poland a few years later, May 3, 1791.

Polish patriots laid down their lives that the Constitution of the United States might come into existence; that the yoke of oppression might be broken. And, by the same token, American patriots in the forces of the United Nations are fighting today, laying down their lives, to the end that lovers of liberty in Poland and elsewhere throughout the world may once again enjoy the benefits of life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness.

It is altogether fitting that this Congress should pause today to extend the hand of fellowship to Poland. The anniversary of the Polish Constitution occurs next Sunday. It is not the first time that this great event in her national life—comparable to our own Fourth of July—has found her country torn and pillaged by forces who detest liberty and all that liberty stands for.

Men may die. Physical properties may be destroyed. Man-made institutions may crumble. But the spirit of a brave people can never be crushed. Out of the shambles of invasions, oppressions, pillaging, and partitioning, Poland has risen time and again down through the years. Poland will rise again.

Twice in my span of life I have been privileged to observe from afar gallant Poland ignoring overwhelming odds, resolutely refusing to submit to the yoke of tyranny; now, as always in her briliant history, preferring to die in defense of honor rather than to live in dishonor.

Wherever liberty and justice has been in the balance, sons of Poland have been found. This Congress has repeatedly paid deserved tribute to Gen. Casimir Pulaski by dedicating one day each year to honor the memory of that gallant Pole who died at George Washington's side in the heat of battle for freedom.

Of no less distinction in our Revolutionary War was Gen. Thaddeus Kosciusko, who willed his entire estate to Thomas Jefferson to buy freedom for the enslaved and educate them in democracy.

Whole speeches could be made on the contributions of individual Poles to the creation and development of the United States

Dr. Alexander Kurcjiusz founded and conducted the first high school in the United States, at what was then New Amsterdam, now New York City.

Olbracht Zaborowski was one of the first judges in the territory which became New Jersey.

In 1774, Jacob Sadowski surveyed and led a group of 40 persons in the settlement of Harrodsburg, the oldest city in Kentucky.

Karol Sadowski was the first engineer to map the coast of New England.

Joseph Truskolaski was the surveyor commissioned to lay out the lands of Louisiana and Utah.

Karol Radziminski helped establish the border line between the United States and Mexico.

Leopold Boech founded the first technical university in the United States.

Long before the Mayflower touched the shores of New England, the 400 Polish settlers who were with John Smith at Jamestown were laying the foundations for the greatest democracy the world has ever known.

In the Civil War, 4,000 Poles served with the Union forces. In the Confederate Army were 1,000 more.

Thaddeus Strawinski died at his post when Fort Sumter was fired upon and the War between the States began. The first officer to die on a Civil War battlefield was Konstanty Bledowski.

When the United States acquired its northwest outpost of Alaska, Wlodzimierz Kryanowski became its first Governor by Presidential appointment.

Of the first 100,000 men to enlist for service in the World War, 40,000 were Poles or of Polish extraction.

The first United States soldier to pay the supreme sacrifice in the World War was Sgt. John J. Czajka.

The first German soldiers to throw down their arms in the World War became the prisoners of two Poles wearing the uniform of the United States.

This recital could go on and on. Our history is studded with the gallant deeds of the men of Poland.

In the fields of music, literature, and science they have always been in the forefront.

Naturally, then, the United States and our entire liberty-loving populace today looks sympathetically upon Poland in her dark hour.

But there is comfort in a review of Polish history as Polish Constitution Day nears. There is comfort, too, in the obvious signs of a turn for the better in the world conflict which rages today. Poland has withstood the attack. She can, and will, hold out.

Poland will live!

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, I yield 5 minutes to my distinguished colleague the gentleman from New Jersey [Mr. Faron]

Mr. EATON. Mr. Speaker, I join gladly in these well-merited tributes to the great Polish people on this celebration of the adoption of their free constitution in 1791. The Polish Constitution of 1791 was a part of that marvelous spiritual awakening of the period which was born out of the regenerative spiritual forces resident in all races of men who rebel against oppression and slavery. This great spiritual force manifested itself in the French Revolution, in the American Revolution, and in a thousand forward movements, the benefit of which we are now reaping.

The Polish people have been the most oppressed, the most tragic in their suffering, of probably any civilized people on the continent of Europe. As they have been hammered out and tested in the fires of affliction they have developed a quality of character, a religious faith, a self-dependence, a passion for freedom, an industry and a courage, which marks them among the choice peoples of the world.

We have millions of them here in America as our fellow citizens, and they have added enormously to our strength and to our progress.

In 1917 President Wilson expressed the hope that after the war Poland should become a united, independent, and autonomous nation. After all these years we are again in another war, perpetrated upon Poland and the rest of us by the same evil forces that created the first World War. The answer of America at this hour is that some day we are going to implement the hope of Woodrow Wilson and see to it that Poland does become an independent, a united, and an autonomous nation.

In my State we have a great engineering triumph known as the Skyway, connecting the cities of Newark and Jersey City. That skyway was named, I believe, at the suggestion of Governor Moore, the Pulaski Skyway, in honor of the famed Polish hero who laid down his life at Savannah in defense of our country's liberties. I say to the Polish people of my State and of the country, as they fix their eyes upon that material monument to one of their noble heroes, and see the stream of American life pulsing over it, let them turn away for a moment and see the smoke belching from a hundred factory chimneys, and hear the sound of riveting and building in the shipyards, and feel the power and pulse of a mighty people preparing the instruments by means of which, under God, we shall free not only ourselves but Poland and the world, we hope permanently, from the curse of tyranny and slavery. This is the Polish hope, this is the American hope, and in that respect, as in many others, we are spiritually one.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, I yield 5 minutes to my friend the gentleman from Minnesota [Mr. KNUTSON].

Mr. KNUTSON. Mr. Speaker, 150 years ago the people of Poland adopted a constitution that was patterned largely after that which the American people had adopted several years previously.

This constitution was born, as have been many other constitutions, from the travail and the suffering and oppression that Europe had undergone for centuries. For hundreds of years the people of Europe had groped in darkness looking for a ray of light that would point out to them the road to a better life. It was only when George Washington and those who served and suffered with him in the War of the Revolution struck the shackles from the American peopleirons that had been forged by tyrannical kings across the sea-that Poland and the other peoples of Europe saw the light that countless generations had longed and prayed for.

We owe a great debt to Poland. She sent us some of our best-trained soldiers to help free the Thirteen Colonies from the tyranny of George III. Among these was Gaspar Pulaski, who fell in battle and whose blood consecrated American Then there was Kosciusko, another great general and hero and a tactician of the first magnitude. Poles also were active in helping to preserve the Union in the dark days when Father Abraham was at the helm. Poland has been in the forefront in the arts and sciences; but the greatest debt that the Christian world owes to Poland is the salvation of Christianity. We are prone to forget that it was John Sobieski and his gallant Poles who drove the infidel hordes back when they sought to overrun Europe and supplant the Cross of Christ. They were turned back at the gates of Vienna never to return, and it was he who firmly established the cross of Christianity on that continent and throughout

For 150 years the history of Poland has been one of tragedy, of oppression, and bloodshed. Her soil has been consecrated by the blood of heroic defenders who made the supreme sacrifice in repelling invaders. Poland has long been one of the battlegrounds of Europe. Many of Napoleon's battles were fought in that unhappy land, as have been the wars of other would-be conquerors.

I know the Polish people well, having lived among them the greater part of my life, and I admire them tremendously. I admire them for their faith, their courage, their honesty, their industry, their thrift, their loyalty, and their friendship. I join with thousands of other Americans throughout the length and breadth of the land-yes; with the liberty-loving peoples in all lands—in hoping that when this war is over and the terms of peace shall have been written, Poland may be reestablished in all her glory, secure against future aggression, so that she may be free to live in peace and to develop her manifest destiny. By all the laws of gratitude, justice, and service to liberty, she has earned in the fullest degree that small measure of recognition at the hands of the victors. May Almighty God shed His blessings on Poland and all other ravished lands where the torch of freedom has temporarily been extinguished.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Maryland [Mr. D'ALESANDRO].

Mr. D'ALESANDRO. Mr. Speaker, the Polish people of Baltimore will turn out in great numbers as they have in the past to celebrate the Polish Constitution Day on May 3.

The Polish Constitution was the first liberal document of its kind. It is more like our Constitution than any other country's. Many times Poland has been dismembered—recently on the east by the Communists and on the west by the Nazis. However, neither of these philosophies ever permeated Poland.

It is easy to understand that Poland could not stand by and submit to Hitler crushing her because the Poles are a great people. They have a glorious history. Europe's civilization is much in debt to the Polish people. They have made a glorious contribution to the advancement of our civilization. Yet, today, we see the heel of the aggressor on the heart of Poland. Everything the Polish people hold dear—religion, liberty, peace, security—the aggressor brutally destroyed.

The Poles are a peace-loving, God-fearing people, and I am happy to be a Member of the Seventy-sixth and Seventy-seventh Congresses and glad that I have always supported President Roosevelt, because I believe that under his leadership Poland and the other countries attacked and destroyed by Hitler will be liberated and Poland will rise again and continue to have its freedom.

I live among the Polish people and know that not only in Baltimore but all over the United States they are doing their part in our armed forces, defense plants, buying War Bonds and stamps, and making every sacrifice.

I consider it a great pleasure and privilege to be a member of the National Polish Relief Committee, and as a Member of the Congress of the United States I have supported all legislation brought before the House which would grant relief to the suffering people in Poland.

The Poles of America are patriotic and are firm in their support of President Franklin Roosevelt, our Commander in Chief, under whose leadership America will win for the civilized world.

Like the Polish people, the people of Italy and Germany are war tired and hungry and are ready to rebel. They would welcome the defeat of nazi-ism and fascism.

An American army made up in a good measure of Americans of Italian descent would be welcomed with open arms in Italy, and it would be a victorious march from Naples to Rome, with the destruction of Mussolini.

And when we are ready to land on German soil, I am confident that we can get an American army of German extraction and the people of Germany would rise en masse to welcome it as their liberation from Hitlerism.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Massachusetts [Mr. Wigglesworth].

Mr. WIGGLESWORTH. Mr. Speaker, during the years 1924 to 1928 it was my privilege to travel throughout the length and breadth of Poland and to know some of its outstanding leaders. I am more than happy to pay my tribute to that great country on this significant occasion.

The genius of the Polish people is recognized by all. In the field of literature, in the field of music, in the field of science, in the military and other fields they have made an outstanding contribution to the pages of history.

We, as Americans, have enjoyed our full share of that contribution. We, as Americans, are fortunate in having in our midst over 3,000,000 people of Polish descent contributing to the daily life and progress of the Nation.

Poland has been referred to in the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries as "the most ambitious experiment in a representative form of government since the days of the Romans" and as "the first experiment on a large scale down to the appearance of the United States."

The two nations have had much in common in their love of liberty, their love of justice, and their love of peace. Their friendship has expressed itself in mutual aid in time of need, notably in the Revolutionary War when General Kosciusko and General Pulaski came to our assistance; notably, also, in and after the World War when our own national leaders contributed so greatly to the rebirth and rebuilding of Poland.

Poland has had a magnificent history, a history which influenced the entire continent of Europe for many centuries. Though subdued and partitioned by the forces of three empires for a period of 146 years, the indomitable spirit of Poland reasserted itself as an independent nation in 1918. Overcome once more by the forces of aggression and tyranny, I have no doubt, Mr. Speaker, that Poland will rise again to play a brilliant role among the nations of a post-war world.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Pennsylvania [Mr. EBERHARTER].

Mr. EBERHARTER. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Michigan [Mr. DINGELL] for giving me an opportunity to add a few words on the anniversary on May 3 of the adoption of a democratic constitution by the Polish Government. It has been my privilege to know many hundreds of persons of Polish extraction, and I know of no group that has a more fierce or more burning desire for freedom and independence, both as a nation and as individuals. No person or group of persons, no nation, history shows, ever fought more determinedly and with more resolution over many, many years to maintain and reestablish freedom in their beloved country.

Not so very long ago I had the extreme pleasure of attending an executive meeting of the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House, at which meeting was present Gen. Wladislaw Sikorski, Prime Minister of the Polish Government, and commander in chief of the armed forces of Poland. Of course, the matters discussed there and the information gained by the members of the committee is confidential, but I say this much, that the heart of every person having Polish blood, as well as the heart of every freedom-loving person in the universe, would

have rejoiced and have been thrilled to know with what heroism the leaders of that country and the Polish people generally are carrying on in the face of the cruelty and the persecution of their oppressors, and to know of the resolute determination which they are displaying in fighting with every resource still remaining to them to once again regain their freedom. It would be idle for me to recount to you the many reasons I could give, but I am firmly convinced that the people of Poland can be solidly depended upon to continue to fight until the world is again liberated.

In conclusion, Mr. Speaker, I have every confidence, and I look forward to that happy day, when Poland will again take its stand along with the other free nations and help maintain that freedom throughout the universe for all time.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, I now yield to my colleague the gentleman from

Michigan [Mr. Hook].

Mr. HOOK. Mr. Speaker, I doubt whether there can be much added to the illustrious and glamorous speeches which have been delivered by my able colleagues here today in behalf of a deserving and patriotic people. As was ably stated, the Polish Constitution was adopted on May 3, 1791, which is only 2 years after the adoption of the Constitution of the United States. The Diet of Poland at that time, through the influence of the American and French Revolutions, adopted this democratic constitution, and established what is commonly known as a constitutional monarchy. The constitution stripped from the nobility many powers and transferred them to the people-guaranteeing to them the rights of a free people. After having enjoyed those rights, the Polish people became imbued with the spirit of democracy they had fought for down through the centuries in the interest of freedom. They now feel the tyranny of Hitler, and throughout the world-in every section of the world-where people are fighting for freedom, you will find the Polish people, both native Poles, from Poland, and naturalized Polish-Americans in this Nation. fighting side by side with those who are interested in the crushing of nazi-ism and tyranny. They shall fight and keep on fighting until Poland rises again as a free nation along with the rest of the democratic nations of the world.

When the peace is written and Hitlerism, with its pagan ideologies, have gone the way of Attila the Hun, the Polish people and the Polish nation will have a major part in establishing a lasting independence to all oppressed people. Long live the kind of patriotism and democratic spirit that is shown by a liberty-loving and God-fearing Polish people. We who love liberty and justice salute you.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as he may require to my distinguished friend the gentleman from Minnesota [Mr. O'Hara].

Mr. O'HARA. Mr. Speaker, I consider it a privilege to sincerely join with my colleagues today in paying tribute to the great people of Poland upon the anniversary of their Constitution Day. I thank the distinguished gentleman from Michigan [Mr. DINGELL] for his courtesy.

Many of the Members who have spoken today have expressed with deep feeling and emotion their own personal experiences in Poland. History records the glorious achievements of the people of Poland-the history of Europe as does the history of my own State and district. The record of their achievements is far more eloquent than words of mine might picture. Whether it be in the arts or crafts or the sciences, whether it be upon the battlefields of their native land or the battlefields of Europe or of America, or whether it be in the development of the frontiers of our country, the Polish people have made a glorious record.

In my State of Minnesota and my district there are many people of Polish and Czechoslovakian descent and, while I have not had the opportunity of visiting Poland, it has been my privilege to live among many people of Polish and Czechoslovakian descent whom I am glad to call warm personal friends. I can say of these people that we have no finer group of intensely patriotic, industrious and peace-loving citizens. I know how deeply the present tragedy of Poland and the similar tragedy of Czechoslovakia has affected our citizens of Polish and Czechoslovakian descent, with their ties of blood and affection, as it has been also the acknowledged tragedy of all of us who love democracy and freedom and who loathe oppression and tyranny. In our capacity for understanding the tears of a sympathetic America mingle with the tears of Poland in her dark hour.

Our two nations have much in common in love of liberty. Our friendship has expressed itself through the centuries.

In the Revolutionary War, General Kosciusko and General Pulaski fought with Washington for our freedom. The Christian world is indebted to Poland for the salvation of Christianity for it was Sobieski and the gallant Poles who stopped the infidel hordes from overrunning Europe and their attempts to destroy the Christian church.

It is fitting today that we extend to the Polish people our personal and national affection upon this occasion.

In Poland's hour of travail the prayers of America join with those of Poland's citizens in petitioning that Divine Providence restore to her place amidst the nations of the world a free Poland, a happy Poland, and a restored Poland.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as he may require to the gentleman from Connecticut [Mr. Shanley].

Mr. SHANLEY. Mr. Speaker, from the religious cry of Sursum Corda to the political slogan Polonia Restituta, Polish history has been studded with names who fulfilled those ambitions. Today a pronounced Democrat leads them in exile. By profession and education an engineer, by choice a soldier, and by unanimous acclaim a statesman. Gen. Ladislas Sikorski stands at the head of the Poles in exile. Today he carries aloft the post-war banner that was once held by Ignace Paderewski, and to him go the hopes of every freedom loving democrat in the world. We salute him as the typical personification of the ideals of Polonia Restituta.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. All time has expired.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent for such additional time as may be necessary to accommodate the requests of Members to me.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Before stating the request of the gentleman from Michigan, the Chair is constrained to call attention to the fact that other special orders have been arranged on the calendar. With that notice, is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Michigan?

There was no objection.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as he may require to my colleague from New York [Mr. Beiter].

Mr. BEITER. Mr. Speaker, May 3 marks the anniversary of the founding of the Polish Constitution. Wherever sons and daughters of Poland gather on Sunday this historic event will be celebrated.

Poland's Constitution ranks as a great document along with our own Constitution and our Declaration of Independence. Like our Fourth of July, the 3d of May marks the establishment of the first real freedom of a liberty-loving people.

Poland today has suffered adversity. It has fallen under the tyrant's heel, but through the indomitable national spirit of her people she will rise again. In the face of suffering, persecution, and exhausting war Poland's spirit carries on. The land may be devastated but the tyrant can never quench the spirit of these people who share with Americans everywhere a traditional love of freedom.

America and Poland have much in common. Both have fought in the past for freedom's cause. Both are fighting today for the preservation of that freedom. Both are writing enduring pages of history as great liberty-loving nations. They will win this conflict as they have won in the past. America salutes her gallant ally on this significant occasion.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as he may require to my distinguished friend and colleague from

Ohio [Mr. SWEENEY].

Mr. SWEENEY. Mr. Speaker, I am sure all of us owe a debt of appreciation to our colleague, Hon. JOHN DINGELL, of Michigan, for securing this time and causing the House of Representatives to pause in its busy deliberations and pay tribute to our sister republic on the occasion of the anniversary of the establishment of the Constitution of Poland. Once again the fair land of Poland is held in subjection by the cruel forces of evil and destruction. This is not a new experience for a freedom-loving people who have down through the centuries demonstrated that the freemen will live and freedom will endure despite the havoc of war, the brutality of despots, and the inhumanity of dictators. Poland may not be permitted to openly celebrate the anniversary of the founding of her Constitution, but rest assured there will be gatherings where freemen will celebrate. In the homes of her peasants, in the caverns, on the hillsides, and in the valleys of her fair land. In her churches and elsewhere Poland will pray for deliverance and will remember that they have a Constitution and that they are a free people. It has ever been such in all lands

where oppressors have sought to extinguish the light of freedom. Poland has had her Gethsemane before and she has had her Easter morn. In God's own time she will once more see a resurrection and her place firmly established among the free people of the earth.

As I listened to the beautiful tribute paid to Poland today by men who had the privilege of visiting that ancient country in the days of peace, when they heard the laughter of happy children, the songs of a free people, and enjoyed the worldfamed hospitality which the people of Poland lavished on strangers within her gates, I deeply regretted that privilege was not mine. However, I do know something about the sons and daughters who left Poland to establish their homes in America. During the influx of immigration in the early nineties I witnessed many of these substantial emigrants come to my city of Cleveland, Ohio. Poor but honest, in many cases having no earthly possessions except the clothes on their backs and a home-made handbag. Soon they were working in our industries. They became the hewers of wood and the drawers of water. They settled in our neighborhood. They practiced the virtue of thrift, built their homes, built their schools and churches. They sang the songs of the old country, they sang the songs of the new country.

The old folks sacrificed and saved to educate their children, and today we have among our leaders many fine Polish-Americans who are leaders in the spiritual, the business, and the professional life of our community. Their fine American boys are now in the armed forces of our country fighting for world freedom. Someone has said that suffering refines an individual, spiritually at least. In the case of Poland, I sincerely believe that loyalty and allegiance to the Roman Catholic faith, throughout the centuries, has given her courage and strength to overcome the suffering endured. The world owes a debt of gratitude to Poland. She has been, in fact, the savior of Christianity as history records.

Would the youth of America look for a model of a patriot and a Christian? Then let him read in the Georgia Historical Quarterly, a publication sponsored by the historical society of the State of Georgia and published in September 1929 an account of the death of Brig. Gen. Casimir Pulaski, who died for this country at the siege of Savannah. A quotation from that will suffice:

Major Rogowski speaks:

"For half an hour the guns roared and blood flowed abundantly. Seeing an opening between the enemy's works, Pulaski resolved, with his legion and a small detachment of Georgia Cavalry, to charge through, enter the city, confuse the enemy, and cheer the inhabitants with good tidings. General Implor-Lincoln approved the daring plan. ing the help of the Almighty, Pulaski shouted to his men, 'Forward!' and we, 200 strong, rode at full speed after him, the earth resounding under the hoofs of our chargers. For the first 2 minutes all went well. sped like knights into the peril. Just, however, as we passed the gap between the two batteries, a cross-fire, like a pouring shower, confused our ranks. I looked around. Oh! sad moment ever to be remembered! Pulaski lies prostrate on the ground. I leaped toward him, thinking possibly his wound was not dangerous; but a canister shot had pierced his thigh and the blood was flowing from his breast, probably from a second wound. Falling on my knees, I tried to raise him. He said in a faint voice, 'Jesus! Maria! Joseph!' Further I knew not, for at that moment a musket ball, grazing my scalp, blinded me with blood, and I fell to the ground in a state of insensibility."

This act portrays a true Christian gentleman. It dignifies the strength of the Polish people, who through centuries of oppression in their bitter struggle for independence never left the faith of their fathers. They remained steadfast and are today loyal to the Roman Catholic faith, which sustained them in their darkest hour. Pulaski gave evidence of this faith when he was mortally wounded at the Battle of Savannah.

Mr. Speaker, we who have the privilege of living with and fraternizing with the American sons and daughters of Poland know that their allegiance is first to the Stars and Stripes. Great organizations like the Alliance of Poles of America, the Polish National Alliance, and the Polish Roman Catholic Union reflect the patriotism of a people who love liberty and will die for its preservation. I am proud to salute the ever-living Republic of Poland. On this occasion America shares the suffering of her subdued people today. America will rejoice with her liberation tomorrow.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as he may require to my friend the gentleman from Wisconsin [Mr. Keppe]

Mr. KEEFE. Mr. Speaker, limitations of time will not permit me to state in full the sentiment and feelings which I share in common with many other Members of this body on this occasion. At a time when our thoughts are directed toward the anniversary of the establishment of constitutional government in Poland, one cannot fail to be emotionally moved when surveying the history of such a great and noble people.

On May 3, 1791, a short time following the ratification and adoption of our own Constitution, the liberty-loving people of Poland adopted a similar charter of liberty. A review of the history of this great nation and its people discloses a consistent and determined application of this great charter of liberty to the lives of the Polish people. Torn as they have been by devastating wars down through the centuries, a people in whose hearts there constantly burned a consuming passion for liberty have time and again rebuilt their nation and dedicated its people to the highest development of the arts and sciences of music, literature, and peace, and of agriculture, industry, and finance. In the present tragic hour of Poland's national history its people still cling to their ancient faith, and, guided and encouraged by the free people of the world, there can be no doubt but that out of the sorrow and suffering presently being visited upon these brave people a new Poland will again arise to take her proud position among Christian nations of the world dedicated to the cause of preserving individual liberty and peace.

No citizen of America can overlook the magnificent contribution that has been made by Polish people in the development of our own great country. In the district which I am honored to represent in the Congress of the United States there live many people of Polish extraction and descent. I have had long and intimate contact with many of these fine people. Without exception, they love their church, their homes, their families, and are industrious and patriotic in discharging their duties of citizenship.

Mr. Speaker, I esteem it a great privillege to be permitted in this brief moment to extol the virtues of a great people. I am certain that the God whom they have worshipped for so many centuries will guide them through the present harrowing crisis, and that in the years to come we may receive in this country renewed and continued inspiration from the sacrifice which they have made in the cause of human freedom.

Mr. DINGELL, Mr. Speaker, I now yield 3 minutes to my friend the gentleman from Nebraska [Mr. STEFAN].

Mr. STEFAN. Mr. Speaker, it is fitting that in the multifarious activities of this great legislative body in the last stronghold of liberty in the world, we should take a brief hour to direct our attention to the past, the present, and the future of Poland.

Poland, as a nation and a people, lies bleeding, suffering, starving, prostrate under the heel of a ruthless, brutal despot. And yet prostrate Poland, remembering May 3, 1791, lifts her eyes to the stars, renews her soul with her hope and faith in the power on high, and her indomitable will for life and liberty pulses anew through the heart of her.

On this day—in this very hour—thousands upon thousands of patriotic Polish Americans have their hearts and their thoughts turned across the seas to the country of their nativity, or that of their fathers, and anew in their hearts is born the determination, the undying zeal, the unquenchable will, that Poland shall rise again more noble, more glorious, stronger than ever before.

Wherein, Mr. Speaker, lies the reason for this hope of Poland's restoration? It lies in the past history of Poland herself. History tells us that on May 3, 2 years after the adoption of the Constitution of the United States, the Diet of Poland adopted a constitution which clearly showed the influence of both the French and American Revolutions. The Polish Constitution of 1791 was not the culmination of, but an incident in, the history of a nation and a people who had been submerged and suppressed, who had risen and thrown off the yoke of oppression, who had been overwhelmed time and again by vastly superior forces, but who had never been overwhelmed, defeated, or even daunted in their unquenchable love of liberty and their unchangeable determination to be a people free to shape their own destiny. The Polish Constitution of May 3, 1791, had a short existence. Again assailed. invaded, divided up, Poland had ceased by 1795 to exist as a separate and distinct nation. I do not intend in the brief moment I have here today to enumerate the Polish heroes, such as Kosciusko and Pulaski, both of whom are American heroes as well as Polish heroes. Other speakers will pay them tribute. I do not intend in my brief time at this moment

to try to voice any adequate tribute to one who will forever stand among the greatest of the musicians the world has ever produced-Paderewski. Ofher speakers will do that. I want. Mr. Speaker, to direct my tribute to that unquenchable fire in the soul of the Polish people which has impelled them, when overrun, defeated by superior power, divided, abused, brutally oppressed, to begin in that same hour to plan their own liberation and to struggle to that end. It is today an inspiration to every libertyloving human of every nationality in the world to know that under the very eyes of their brutal oppressors, the Polish people now have a secret organization which is awaiting the day when it may successfully strike back against Poland's oppressors and overthrow those brutal tyrants who crush her.

No people with the soul of the Polish people; no people with the courage of the Polish people; no people with the love of liberty of the Polish people; no people with the great spiritual urge to progress of the Polish people; no people with the capacity to endure suffering which the Polish people possess, can ever be conquered. They may for a time be subdued; they may for a time be laid prostrate in defeat; they may for a time be starved and herded into concentration camps, but they cannot be conquered because theirs is an unconquerable spirit, and spirit is not something of flesh and blood, it is a quality of the soul. Oppressors may believe they have conquered the soul of Poland, but as surely as the sun rises and sets in this world, the day will come-and pray God it may not be long delayed-when the Polish people will rise again, overthrow their oppressors, and help to reestablish liberty and progress, happiness, and prosperity among those people who, like themselves, have been despoiled by marauders and international bandits claiming to be liberators of their peoples.

So then, Mr. Speaker, my profound admiration and respect go out to the Polish people in this hour of their suffering. If any word of mine could reach their ears, I would say to them "Despair not; lift your eyes to the stars; let your souls rise to the heights of your hopes: dream your dreams of liberation because as surely as justice is the ultimate power in the universe, May 3, 1791, shall be repeated for you. You shall know freedom again. The legions of your loyal sons and daughters in the fighting forces scattered throughout the world and working, here in the vast stretches of free America, already are loosening your bonds. In a day not too far distant, your oppressors shall know the bitter regret that comes to those, as it has always come to those, who seek to oppress and destroy the Polish Nation and the Polish people.'

All hail, then, Poland, a Poland which, risen, shall be stronger, nobler, greater in the cause of liberty because of her sufferings and her sacrifices.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as he may require to my friend the gentleman from Wisconsin [Mr. Thill].

Mr. THILL. Mr. Speaker, Poland has given to the world a people who are strong of character, honest, industrious, and devoted to the ideals of freedom of the press, speech, and religion. Hundreds of thousands of patriotic Americans of Polish descent have contributed to the advancement of the arts and sciences and to service in the Government of our country. Milwaukee has risen to an eminent position in this Nation due to a great extent to the substantial citizens of Polish descent who have taken a keen interest in civic affairs and have in conjunction with our other good citizens made our city the best governed city in this country. My father, who was a physician in Milwaukee, learned to like and admire those Polish people to whom he ministered. I well remember driving around with him as he made his sick calls in the Polish section of Milwaukee and hearing his continual praises of the honesty and integrity of the people of Polish descent. My associations with those who have a racial heritage from Poland have caused me to admire them as sound, substantial citizens. I feel confident that the spirit of Poland will never die. It may be suppressed for a while by ruthless aggression, but that flame of liberty in the hearts of all Poles cannot be snuffed out.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, I now yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Massachusetts [Mr. Clason].

Mr. CLASON. Mr. Speaker, a century and a half has elapsed since the people of Poland adopted their famous constitution on May 3, 1791. Like our own Constitution, it provided for three coordinate branches, the legislative, the executive, and the judicial. It had much in common with our Constitution. It varied in some details as, for instance, a provision that the King of Poland should preside over the upper chamber of the Diet.

It further provided for freedom of religion in a period of intolerance. In a few years its people carved out a glorious history for their nation. Many of them have come to our shores and settled throughout the United States. In my own district they have established themselves as leaders in our communities. They have worked hard, prospered on farms, in business, and in industry.

In this tragic World War, Polish aviators have established an unsurpassed reputation for bravery and skill in the air. Many thousands are serving valiantly on widely dispersed battle fronts.

In the United States the record of Americans of Polish extraction is equally proud. These youths have been enlisting long before their names were reached on the draft rolls. I have just read a list of our own honored dead who have recently fallen in battle. A large proportion of the names were the same that one reads in a history of Poland.

Today Poland's future is entwined with that of our own country. Fighting shoulder to shoulder the armed men of these two nations and their allies will secure the all-out victory necessary to the reestablishment of Poland as an in-

dependent nation and lasting peace to all the world.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as he may desire to the gentleman from Ohio [Mr. Hunter].

WHY POLAND WILL RISE AGAIN

Mr. HUNTER. Mr. Speaker, Sunday, the 3d of May, will be the one hundred and fifty-first Constitution Day of the Polish Republic, and, to the great sadness and indignation of us all, it finds Poland enslaved. We all know the indignities practiced there—the persecution of religion, the degradation of the Polish people, the insuits to Polish womanhood, the transportation of armies of people to work at forced labor in Germany.

The fact is that there has never been barbarism with the same cold, clear aim as this. Hitler was determined in the first place—although he seems to have changed his mind now that his own manpower has begun to run out—to obliterate the Poles or to reduce them to a race of serfs. He was doomed to defeat before he began, because the Poles can take it.

The Poles can take it. Hitler has outlawed Polish books, Polish music, he has closed Polish universities, and the Polish language may not be taught in the schools. But the national spirit will survive these prohibitions. The Poles were a captive people throughout the last century and managed to maintain their national integrity, their dream of independence

Under the earlier oppressor also it was illegal to teach Polish in the schools. But behind locked doors, behind curtained windows, Polish parents taught it to their children. School teachers, at the risk of imprisonment, held secret classes so the language might survive. It is related in the biography of Mme. Curie, the great woman scientist, that as a young girl in Poland she was one of these patriotic teachers. Mme. Curie, as we all know, helped to make the miracle of radium possible. She died, as did her husband, a martyr to the cause of alleviating human suffering. A few years ago the women of America gave a gram of radium to the Radiological Institute of Warsaw in memory of its Polish discoverer. What has happened to the radium since the war? The Nazis have stolen it.

How can Hitler conquer a nation that survived a century of oppression without changing its determination to be free? Resistance against the oppressor is a tradition; it is born in the blood. Poland will-rise again.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to my genial friend from New York [Mr. Edwin Arthur Hall].

Mr. EDWIN ARTHUR HALL. Mr. Speaker, it is my privilege to represent a district in which is located the greatest industrial democracy in the world, the Endicott-Johnson Shoe Corporation. When at the turn of the nineteenth century George F. Johnson founded this great democracy, it was dedicated to a square deal among his workers. Hundreds upon

hundreds of Polish families migrated from abroad and came to the triple cities of Binghamton, Johnson City, and Endicott to help in and to contribute to the building of this great enterprise. During that time they enjoyed in Endicott-Johnson alongside workers of Anglo-Saxon, Irish, Czechoslovakian, Bohemian, Italian, and Lithuanian parentage the rights which the workers in other parts of the country gained only after great struggle.

Today on every train that leaves for the great training camps of the country, in every contingent from my district, the sons of Polish men and women are going along with all the others to do their bit in this great war against aggression. So today I take this opportunity to pay tribute particularly to the Poles of my section who have done so much to make the community that I represent loyal, American, and patriotic, and who have contributed their all to the defense and the offense of our cause.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as he may desire to the gentleman from Minnesota [Mr. PITTENGER].

Mr. PITTENGER. Mr. Speaker, I thank my colleague from Michigan [Mr. Dingell] for this opportunity to join with other Members of the House in paying tribute to the Polish people at this time.

There is not much that I can add to the encomiums which we have heard here today. The Polish people richly deserve every word of approbation that has been uttered on the floor of the House.

My first acquaintance with the Polish people came from the pages of American history. I recall my early days in school and the story of the Revolutionary War. Among the many outstanding heroes were Generals Kosciusko and Pulaski. They rendered a signal service to the Americans at that time. They were typical of the Polish people. They were men of courage, men of character, and outstanding in their love for liberty and their hatred of oppressors.

When I made my home in Minnesota I found a great many Polish people in the Eighth Congressional District. I became acquainted with them. I found them to be hardworking and industrious. They are good, loyal American citizens, and discharge their duties and obligations to the community and to the country in a way that entitles them to the highest commendation.

On this one hundred and fifty-first anniversary of the adoption of the Constitution of Poland they are thinking of the people of Poland. Others of different nationalities are doing the same thing.

All of us wish to convey to those people who have been overtaken by tragedy and who are now under the control of the oppressors, a message of sympathy and a message of hope at this time. It is our wish that Poland may again become a free and independent nation. We trust that out of this world conflict the oppressed people in other lands will be restored to their homes and that their countries may again be reestablished. Hope springs eternal in the human breast, and today may I say that I join

with my other colleagues in the fine sentiments that they have expressed about Poland and that I also trust that the time is not far distant when the people of that country will again be reunited.

I subscribe to the philosophy that truth must ultimately prevail over error. I believe that in the war now raging all over the world this philosophy will prove correct and that despotism and oppression will be defeated.

Truth crushed to earth shall rise again, God's eternal years are hers, But error wounded writhes in pain, And dies among her worshipers.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to my colleague from New York [Mr. BUTLER].

Mr. BUTLER. Mr. Speaker, neither Americans nor the world at large today need any proof of the simple courage and bravery of the Polish people. With their beloved and dearly bought country in ruins about them, their most glorious monuments and institutions destroyed, or debased by one of the most cruel tyrannies the modern age has ever experienced, still the Polish Nation lives in the hearts of its people.

Today I want to pay a brief tribute to the Polish people for the example of stanch faith they are maintaining in the principles for which all liberty-loving peoples of the world are fighting and sacrificing.

When the full record of the Nazi barbarities is written, we will know more of the horror which swept the Polish people and we will be thrilled again to know more of how they met a terrible catastrophe, touching every man, woman, and child of Polish blood. Any sacrifices we may make for our own beloved America cannot but pale before those so bravely made and being made today by the Polish people in their own country and in exile.

We thank them today for their magnificent example. Even to think of their battle strengthens the will of Americans to carry on for ultimate victory.

The Polish love of victory had great expression in America's own fight for freedom in 1776, when Pulaski, Sobieski, and Kosciusko devoted their lives and fortunes to the American cause.

Later, hundreds of thousands of Poles came here seeking liberty and greater opportunity and enriched American life with their thrift, enterprise, and patriotism. My own city of Buffalo numbers thousands of citizens of Polish descent, and we are proud to voice our appreciation for what they have done for our common community.

Above all, we Americans today thank the Polish people especially for their splendid dedication throughout the generations to the cause of human liberty, regardless of the terrible price they have paid and are still paying to maintain it.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to my colleague the gentleman from North Carolina [Mr. Folger].

Mr. FOLGER. Mr. Speaker, I cannot refrain from expressing my highest appreciation of and love for the people of Poland and those of Polish extraction who dwell among us. Poland has not been conquered. Poland seemingly has been crushed to earth, but Poland is true, and truth, though crushed to earth, will rise again.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to my colleague the gentlewoman

from Ohio [Mrs. Bolton].

Mrs. BOLTON. Mr. Speaker, I, too, want to thank the gentleman from Michigan [Mr. Dingell] for the opportunity to express at this anniversary time my personal sense of gratitude to the Polish people for their courage—for their stand throughout the centuries for those things which mean freedom and beauty to all the world.

We in Cleveland have reason to be grateful to Poland. To us have come many of her people. They have settled in and have taken upon themselves the duties of citizenship with intelligence and understanding of the meaning of freedom. They contribute much to our life

Many have spoken here today of Poland's amazing history—of her suffering. her indomitable soul, and the glory of her refusal to have the fire of her spirit dimmed. Many have told us of the freedom that has been hers-that she will so surely have again. I want to speak for a moment about what she has given all mankind through music. How many of her children there are who have contributed to keep alive beauty that is, after all, the interpretation of the law of life. Uncountable numbers have sat breathless while the great Ignace Paderewski played. How many of these same people revered him with deep understanding when he became President of the Poland he so truly loved. Others there aremany of them-whose music gives inspiration to all who hear it. Many there are who thrill to the story of courage that is Poland.

In Cleveland we are particularly proud that the conductor of our beautiful symphony orchestra, Artur Rodzinski, came to us from Poland. We rejoiced when it was made possible through the marvels of radio that our orchestra under his baton could recently interpret the martial music of Poland, with all its understanding of suffering and anguish and glory that only soldiers know, to our men in Bataan and other Philippine outbosts.

So it is with sincere appreciation of Poland's contribution to beauty and to freedom that I join with all of you in expressing the certainty that the Poland that is so thrillingly alive in the hearts of the world today will be a strong force for freedom in the world of tomorrow.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Kentucky [Mr. Robsion].

Mr. ROBSION of Kentucky. Mr. Speaker, I wish to commend our colleague the gentleman from Michigan for affording us this opportunity to give expression to our sentiments toward that fine country, Poland, and her people. The gentleman from Michigan [Mr. DINGELL], as a Member of this House, has himself displayed those splendid qualities characteristic of the Polish people.

I have a very, very limited number of Polish people in my own congressional district. However, more than 120 years ago the State Legislature of Kentucky, in order to show its appreciation for the wonderful services of the great Polish patriot, Count Pulaski, who gave his life for our country and our freedom in the Revolutionary War, named one of the finest counties in my district for him, and also named a town in that county for him.

I have always greatly admired the Polish people for their contributions to science, art, and literature. I have been intrigued with their tragic struggle through the centuries to maintain their freedom. I indulge the hope that at no distant date the Polish people and the Polish Nation will be restored to their freedom and to their rightful place in the world

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Ohio [Mr. THOM].

Mr. THOM. Mr. Speaker, there is nothing so dramatic or so glorious as the underground war that is being waged against Hitler and his armies by the subdued nations of the continent of Europe. In this secret and risky business of un-derground war against their oppressor Poland plays a leading part. Its people retain their patriotism. Because they do, they are resisting the German conqueror by delaying production in their factories. by destroying crops, and by circulating through secret newspapers news of what is happening outside of Poland favorable to the destruction of Germany and her army.

Some ingenious Pole in a spirit of patriotism has rewritten the Ten Commandments to apply to Poland, and I shall read his revised edition, which has been circulated in printed form among the loyal people.

I. Thou shalt have no earthly love above me.

II. Thou shalt not take the name of Poland for thine own glory, career, or reward.

III. Remember that thou shall give unto Poland without hesitation thy possessions, thy personal happiness, and thy life. IV. Honor Poland, thy motherland, as thine

own mother.

V. Fight persistently with Poland's enemies to thy last breath, to the last drop of blood in thy veins.

VI. Struggle with thine own complacency and cowardice.

VII. Be without mercy to them that betray the Polish name.

VIII. Always and everywhere boldly admit that thou art a Pole.

IX. Suffer none to have doubts as to

X. Let no one insult Poland, belittle her merits and greatness, her achievements and majesty. Thou shalt love Poland above all else, save only God. Thou shalt love her more than thyself.

A people who can so pledge themselves surely cannot finally lose their freedom.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as he may desire to the gentleman from Kansas [Mr. GUYER].

Mr. GUYER. Mr. Speaker, ever since John Sobieski and his Polish army saved western civilization at the gates of Vienna 300 years ago the world has owed a debt of gratitude it shall never be able to pay to Poland. Wherever there is a struggle for liberty the Pole is where the hell of battle plunges.

Ever since that day of Vienna the admiration of the earth has been divided between the ravishing beauty of the music and art of Poland and her romantic struggle for liberty.

Twenty-five years ago a great idealist was President of the United States. Woodrow Wilson dreamed that the Almighty had flung into his lap the opportunity to hammer the swords into plowshares and the spears into pruning hooks. He said that the Yugoslavs, the Czechoslovaks, and the Poles should again breathe the pure air of liberty. The fact that he was not able to make that dream permanent was the great tragedy of his life and made him the great casualty of that war.

In this war in which we are now engaged we have in this country an illustration of something that has happened but once or twice in the history of this country, and that is that on the subject of the war there is only one party in this Congress and in the United States. The great parties have joined in a relentless war to achieve a complete victory for liberty. When that time comes, then the Pole will again enjoy the free air of liberty. Until that end is attained we have no other issue and on that we are all agreed-just keeping in our minds always, the first, foremost, and paramount objective of all—the speedy winning of this war.

Then, never again will the craft of another Frederick the Great, as of old. partition Poland between Prussia, Russia, and Austria and thus enslave Poland. Then Poland shall enjoy her wellearned freedom and generation after generation of Poles shall recount her victories for liberty as we today celebrate the one hundred and fiftieth anniversary of her constitution.

Mr. DINGELL, Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to my distinguished friend the gentleman from Idaho [Mr. WHITE].

Mr. WHITE. Mr. Speaker, as a boy I was always impressed and inspired by the history of Poland. The Poles were of great assistance in the establishment of this Nation and the principles under which we have become one of the preeminent powers of the earth.

I am wondering how many of the Members of the House and how many of the people of the United States know that our standard of value, our unit of monetary value, the dollar, came to us from Poland. The story is that there was a silver mine in Poland in St. Joachimsdale, and the owner mined silver and minted a coin which was put into circulation and into business there, and they were called Joachimsthaler. Passing on to Germany, this name was shortened to "thaler," which in the Low Countries became a "daler." Then they went into England, and there they were called a dollar, and from that name we get our standard dollar of 4121/2 grains of silver as our monetary unit of value.

I think it appropriate at this time to remind the House of this bit of history about our money and the part the Poles played in giving us this unit of value. If you are interested in pursuing the subject further, I suggest you read the articles written by John Hays Hammond, the famous mining engineer, and printed

in Nation's Business, the official organ of the United States Chamber of Commerce, some 10 years ago under the title "Cheap Silver Means Reduced World Buying Power," in the issue for October

Mr. DINGELL, Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to my colleague the gentleman

from Illinois [Mr. Johnson].

JOHNSON of Illinois. Mr. Speaker, I desire to express my appreciation to the gentleman from Michigan for granting me this time. It so happens that I have never had the privilege of traveling the lands of Poland, as has been experienced by some of the former speakers, and neither do I have any amount of Polish people in my district, but I was impressed very much when I came on the floor by the experience I have had this morning in listening to these tributes paid to Poland. All the world knows of the sacrifice and the heroic fight that the Polish people are putting up so that they may perpetuate freedom throughout the world for the democracies. So in the Senate Office Building this morning, before the Gillette committee, which is studying the rubber situation, there was unfolded a story most dramatic of a great Polish scientist who has recently come to this country and who appeared before that committee this morning. He was a manufacturer of synthetic rubber in Poland. Synthetic rubber is the product which we so vitally need. Before the committee was told the dramatic story of how he lost his plant, lost his business, and was put in a concentration camp, and then was shipped down to Italy with instructions to help the Italians and show them the secret processes of making rubber. It was not revealed just how it happened, but through good Polish friends they got this scientist out of there and brought him down to Rio de Janiero, and then he was brought here and is now engaged in demonstrating beyond the shadow of a doubt how rubber, which we so vitally need to win the war, can be provided at a cost of about one-fifth of the plant cost as compared with rubber produced from petroleum and at one-third of the cost of plant operation. This source of rubber will come from grain alcohol. So Poland has sent, through her ambassador. aid to all of the Allies who are fighting to preserve democracy by showing the way to provide us with one of the things we have been most worried about, and I, in my humble way, am glad to pay my tribute to heroic Poland.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, I now yield to the gentleman from Michigan [Mr. JONKMAN]

Mr. JONKMAN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to extend my remarks in the RECORD at this point.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection?

There was no objection.

Mr. JONKMAN. Mr. Speaker, liberty-loving Americans spontaneously join the Polish people in the celebration of Constitution Day of Poland on May 3,

Situated in the heart of continental Europe, in most disadvantageous surroundings, Poland's heroic fight for the principles of freedom has for centuries been the inspiration of all apostles of free institutions.

Poland's contribution and sacrifice of military genius to America's struggle for independence fills us with a never-to-be-

forgotten debt of gratitude.

Poland's offspring, nurtured in this tradition and transplanted here have naturally, and as to the manner born, taken a leading part in the development of this great Nation. Both in my State and district Americans of Polish extraction have made outstanding contributions to the life and welfare of common-

wealth and community.

Once again Poland is crushed under the heel of the tyrant and the oppressor but the time will surely come when, with the help of the Nation which she befriended in its infancy, she will again stand forth and we hope permanently in the glorious freedom which has been her ideal throughout the centuries. A few weeks ago it was my pleasure to meet her great Prime Minister and commander in chief of the Polish armed forces, Gen. Władysław Sikorski, and I could not help but sense the inspirational leadership that has always helped the Polish people when crushed to rise again. It is my hope and prayer and faith that Poland will rise again and on her own soil, under her own leadership to celebrate Constitutution Day as a permanently free and independent people.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, I yield now to the gentleman from New York

[Mr. HANCOCK].

Mr. HANCOCK. Mr. Speaker, I was unaware until I came on the floor a few moments ago that today's session of the House was to be dedicated to an expression of our admiration for the Polish people, our sympathy for their sufferings, and our determination that this great people shall again take their proper place among the nations of the world. I wish to take advantage of this opportunity afforded by my friend from Michigan [Mr. Dingell] to add my tribute to those which others have been paid this afternoon.

Although I have never had the advantage of visiting Poland, there are a great many people of Polish descent living in my home city whom I am glad to call warm personal friends. I know their pride in the land of their forefathers and their love for it, and I know their loyalty and devotion toward this the land of their adoption. I do not think of them as a distinct and separate group living among us, but as Americans like the rest of us, all of whom have the blood of Europe in our veins. It is no disloyalty to America for one to hold the country of his ancestry in affectionate regard.

The world knows and acknowledges its debt to Poland for its contributions to science, music, and literature. Americans know how much the Poles who have come to these shores have enriched this country in industry, in agriculture, in the professions, in government, in the arts and sciences, and in sturdy character. We know the story of Casimir Pulaski, who gave his life fighting for our independence, and of Thaddeus Kosciusko,

Washington's friend and adviser; and we know that in all our wars the boys of Polish blood have fought bravely and loyally side by side with other American boys in defense of our common country. They are doing it today, and we are proud of them because we claim them as our own. Polish boys fight in our armies; they play on our football and baseball teams; and if there be any man who doubts their strength or courage, let him ask those other boys who have served with them in the grim business of war or competed and played with them on the peaceful fields of athletics.

Poland has had a troubled history. Throughout the centuries it has struggled for national existence against powerful and cruel neighbors. Americans pay tribute today to the character of the Polish people who are now a part of us, and join with them in the fervent wish that the Republic of Poland with our help may again expel ruthless invaders and become an independent, peaceful.

and happy nation.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, I yield now to the gentleman from California [Mr. Rolph].

Mr. ROLPH. Mr. Speaker, in extending felicitations to Poland and to the Polish people living in the United States, I want to say that my own city of San Francisco feels honored that our municipality has been selected as one of the cities in the United States to house a Polish General Consulate.

Recently the Honorable and Madame Wladyslaw Sokolowski, representing the Polish Government, have taken up their residence in San Francisco. We feel, with these charming people in our midst, the relationship between the far West and Poland will become ever closer.

It is a privilege to join with my colleagues in this signal tribute in the House of Representatives to the great Polish people and their great contribution to democracy.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, I now yield to the gentleman from Wisconsin [Mr. Genemann].

Mr. GEHRMANN. Mr. Speaker, I had something I wanted to say here this afternoon, but I was called out on business and have been unable so far to finish it. I, therefore, ask unanimous consent to extend my remarks in the RECORD at this point.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection?

There was no objection.

ALL HONOR TO POLAND AND HER BRAVE PEOPLE

Mr. GEHRMANN. Today Poland celebrates the anniversary of the adoption of her constitution. In this dark hour, after more than 2 years of persecution, wholesale murder, and destruction by Hitler's hordes, the spirit of the gallant people of Poland is unconquered. They have suffered untold agony, but they by their heroic example have shown the rest of the world that brutal might may temporarily check them, but the spirit of that burning desire to be a free people can never be subdued. It was the spirit of love of freedom that caused many great Polish statesmen and patriots to offer and give their lives for our beloved country.

I know these people and their real inborn spirit and desire perhaps as well as anyone here present today. I was born amongst them in East Prussia or that part of Germany that had not long before been a part of Poland. All the names of the cities and villages were then still Polish. The order had just been issued by the Kaiser to change them to German, and prohibited the use of the Polish language in schools and churches. Germans like ourselves were sent in there to take over and operate these formerly owned Polish farms. I believe that the effect of seeing these people who had been the real owners of these lands being made the servants or slaves of the German Government caused my folks to seek a haven in this country. The impression I got as a child living with these conquered people has never been forgotten. My father and mother were loved by these Polish people, because they knew that they were not treated as slaves or even servants; they and their children were always welcome in our home, and I and my brother and sister played with their children in their homes. I learned to talk Polish fluently but very often some German official or school teacher would punish me along with the Polish children for talking Polish.

I got so I hated everything connected with the government. The fact that when we met some small-town official we were compelled to doff our hats and stand at attention infuriated me. In spite of the punishment I received all too frequently I refused to refrain from mingling with the Polish children, in fact it made me more determined to be with them, because I knew that they were

certainly as good as I was.

Yes: that is the spirit that dominates our Polish friends today, and it will never be broken. Here in America these same formerly persecuted people have brought with them and retained the spirit and willingness to fight for and preserve freedom. No finer people can be found anywhere. They are honest, dependable, and above all else loyal to their friends and their country. I feel certain that these dark clouds will soon disappear, and that the bright light of freedom is very near. In conclusion let me congratulate the Polish people for their foresight when long ago they adopted their constitution. which like our own gave them that freedom that will again be the inspiration to other persecuted people like them who are now under the heel of the dictators. Truth and freedom will always prevail and never can be conquered.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from New York

[Mr. DICKSTEIN].

Mr. DICKSTEIN. Mr. Speaker, I, too, join in this great event, honoring the birthday of the first Constitution of Poland. I was one of the privileged to travel through that country on several occasions, and I witnessed the struggle of the Polish people to restore themselves to their proper place in the world. The Polish people in this country have demonstrated over and over again their loyalty, their fidelity, and their friendship for humanity. The people of Poland have struggled to regain the democracy which

has been lost to them on several occasions since 1772, when Poland was first partitioned by her neighbors, but what has happened to Poland in 1939 will go down in the blackest pages of history, recording the rape by the Nazis of that great democracy. I am told by people that at this very time all sorts of crimes and outrages are being committed by the Nazi Government in the occupied portion of Poland. God knows that the Polish people have suffered vastly more than any other nation in the world, and so I pray that the time will come when through God we will be able to avenge all of the rotten and dastardly things that Hitler has committed upon the women and children of Poland, and I hope and pray that the time is not far distant when the Polish people may be restored to their place in the world.

Poland was the bulwark of European civilization for hundreds of years, at the period when the German Empire was seeking to spread its tentacles throughout central and eastern Europe. Poland stood in the vanguard of protection of the small communities of that part of the world which resisted the might of the German Empire as well as the attacks coming from the east by the forces of Genghis Khan, which sought to enslave Europe in a Mongol empire.

When Germany, goaded by its early Hitlers, expelled a large number of Jews, it was the Polish King Casimir the Great who welcomed them into his own country. Thereafter, the story of Poland was the story of a nation which sought to establish within its borders a democratic government and which, by reason of its position, at the crossroads of the East and the West, acted as the defender of European civilization against Turks and Tartars.

It was the Polish King, John Sobieski, who, in 1683, saved the city of Vienna from the Turkish invasion, thus preventing the collapse of the then German Empire.

The German rulers of today are repaying Poland for her generosity by seeking to destroy every vestige of Polish independence and by seeking to eradicate this valiant race from the face of the earth.

I am sure that all liberty-loving persons in the world will watch with sympathetic concern the struggle of Poland to reclaim its independence.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as he may desire to the gentleman from Michigan [Mr. RABAUT].

Mr. RABAUT. Mr. Speaker, I just came into the chamber. I am very appreciative to my colleague from Michigan [Mr. DINGELL] yielding to me that I might voice my sentiments on this occasion.

I wish to say for my colleague from Michigan [Mr. DINGELL] that his devotion to his people has been something that has endeared him to me. I was with him in Europe when war broke out 2 years ago. Previous to that time he had made an extended trip into many parts of Poland. He feels to a marked degree, for which I admire him, the tremendous suffering that those people have endured. He has told me about it on many occasions and I know he is somewhat provoked that I should talk about it here, because the last

thing he would want me to do would be to praise him for his loyalty, but I am happy to do it. I am happy to do it because he deserves it. He is a whole-hearted person, sympathetic almost to a fault, and devoted to the principles for which he stands. I salute you, my colleague, for your devotion, and I think it a grand thing that he has asked for this time in order that the Members could come here today and say something in behalf of an afflicted people.

Detroit boasts much of its Polish population. The first man ever raised to the dignity of a bishopric from my own University of Detroit was the Polish priest and scholar, Bishop Plagens. Two of the Catholic bishops of Michigan today trace their origin to Polish Christian homes. Their leadership and patriotism are unsurpassed. The recent lieutenant governor, Leo Nowicki, is an outstanding leader, a graduate of the University of Michigan, cum laude. Likewise, I could mention a number of Members of this House. From my own State of Michigan there are three outstanding gentlemen of Polish extraction in Congress at this time: Representatives DINGELL, LES-INSKI, and TENEROWICZ. George Sadowski, previously a member of this body, was one of the first in recent years to carry the Democratic banner to the Senate of the State of Michigan. These statements of mine proclaim their leadership. "By their works ye shall know them." As a race, they have done much As a race, they have done much for the development of the great State of Michigan, nor has their effort been lacking in the progress of the automotive industry in the city of Detroit, now rightfully called the arsenal of democracy.

Mr. DINGELL. I thank the gentleman from Michigan.

Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as he may desire to the gentleman from New Jersey [Mr. Canfield].

Mr. CANFIELD. Mr. Speaker— America is freedom's paradise on earth.

Our land looks thus to a Polish lad who came to our shores in 1939, a few weeks before his homeland was blitzkrieged by the Nazi war machine.

The newcomer came to America to finish his education. He found a home with people in my neighborhood in Paterson, N. J. Today he wonders if his father and two brothers, left behind in Warsaw, are still alive. His description of America was given to me last Memorial Day when I saw him saluting our flag, and I asked him what he thought of the country where he had found asylum.

Today this lad seeks to fight alongside American youths, to conquer those who have enslaved his people and would extend their conquest world-wide.

For 3 years I have eaten American bread and inhaled American air, and believe me, it feels mighty good.

He recently wrote the President.

By fighting with the armed forces of America I hope to show at least in small measure my gratitude to this country and to see that the institutions of freedom I admire so much are not destroyed.

This lad breathes the spirit of old Poland which has contributed so much to our United States. I am glad to salute the brave Polish people on this anniversary of their constitution, and I am certain that with all their suffering they must realize history almost cries out the message tyrants' days are numbered, and wherever people are inherently and sincerely liberty loving liberty will be theirs.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, because of the many requests for permission to address the House which have been unfilled, I ask unanimous consent that the gentleman from Michigan [Mr. Tenerowicz], the gentleman from Pennsylvania [Mr. Van Zandt], the gentlewoman from New Jersey [Mrs. Norton], the gentleman from Michigan [Mr. Lesinski], and the gentleman from Massachusetts [Mr. Casey] may be given 5 legislative days within which to extend their own remarks.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the request is granted.

There was no objection.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members who have spoken may be privileged to revise and extend their own remarks.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, that concludes the program outlined for today. I wish to express my gratitude and appreciation to the Members of the House for having expressed themselves on this occasion of the birth of the Constitution of Poland.

ADJOURNMENT OVER

Mr. McCORMACK. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that when the House adjourns today it adjourn to meet on Monday next.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Massachusetts?

There was no objection.

SOLDJERS AND SAILORS' CIVIL RELIEF ACT

Mr. SPARKMAN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to address the House for 1 minute. I have consulted the three gentlemen who have special orders, and it is agreeable to them.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection?

There was no objection.

Mr. SPARKMAN. Mr. Speaker, I have asked for this time, and I do appreciate the cooperation of my colleagues in granting it, for the purpose of making an announcement.

I am today dropping into the hopper a bill to amend the Soldiers and Sailors' Civil Relief Act of 1940. For the last several weeks a subcommittee of the Military Affairs Committee, consisting of the gentleman from Texas [Mr. Kilday], the gentleman from Indiana [Mr. Harness], and myself as chairman of that subcommittee, has been working on this particular bill. While my name is attached to it, I want it understood that equal credit belongs to those two other gentlemen who have worked so long and patiently in the preparation of the bill.

We have had great help from Major Partlow and Major Longfellow, of the War Department, and Mr. Breining, Assistant Administrator of the Veterans'

Administration, as well as valuable suggestions from the American Bankers Association, the American Bar Association, the Legal Aid Bureau, and many other persons interested in this particular legislation. I invite your attention to this measure.

[Here the gavel fell.]

EXTENSION OF REMARKS

Mr. MONRONEY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to extend my own remarks in the Record and include therein an article written by the gentleman from Tennessee [Mr. Gore].

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, it is so ordered.

There was no objection.

Mr. HINSHAW. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to extend my own remarks in the Appendix of the RECORD and to include therein a letter from The Adjutant General

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without

objection, it is so ordered. There was no objection.

Mr. CLASON. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to extend my own remarks in the RECORD and to include therein a letter from State Representative Thomas T. Gray to Mr. Leon Henderson, Price Administrator, concerning the gasoline rationing.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, it is so ordered.

There was no objection.

Mr. McGREGOR. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to extend my own remarks in the RECORD and to include therein an article written by one of my constituents, winner of a contest sponsored by the American Legion of Ohio.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, it is so ordered.

There was no objection.

PERMISSION TO ADDRESS THE HOUSE

Mr. BEITER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that at the conclusion of the special orders for today I may address the House for 10 minutes.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, it is so ordered.

There was no objection.

EXTENSION OF REMARKS

Mr. BRADLEY of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to extend my own remarks in the Record and to include therein an article from the April edition of the magazine Columbia entitled "America Fights for God-Given Rights," which contains comments upon and excerpts from addresses by the Most Reverend Francis J. Spellman, archbishop of New York, and Hon. J. Edgar Hoover. Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, and others, broadcast over station WEAF in New York on March 22.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, it is so ordered.

There was no objection.

Mr. STEVENSON. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to extend my own remarks in the RECORD and to include therein an editorial from the La Crosse Tribune Leader Press of April 28, 1942.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, it is so ordered.

There was no objection.

LXXXVIII-243

Mr. McCORMACK. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to extend my own remarks in the RECORD and to include a very interesting item appearing recently in Business Week entitled "Boston Plan," showing a constructive approach made by leaders of public organizations, labor and business organizations, toward a voluntary solution of industrial and labor difficulties.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, it is so ordered.

There was no objection.

PERMISSION TO ADDRESS THE HOUSE

Mr. O'TOOLE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to address the House for 1 minute.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, it is so ordered.

There was no objection.

Mr. O'TOOLE. Mr. Speaker, the professional drys of this country who do not mind taking a nip themselves before they go to bed are once again endeavoring to foist upon the country the rule of Capone and Dutch Schultz. They have caused to be introduced into this House legislation that would bar the use of intoxicants by the armed forces. If these people believe in democracy let us hold a plebiscite among the members of the armed forces alone; let them vote as to whether or not they shall be entitled to the same recreational opportunities as civilians.

DISPENSING WITH CALL OF THE PRIVATE CALENDAR

Mr. McCORMACK. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the calling of bills on the Private Calendar on Tuesday next be dispensed with.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Massachusetts [Mr. McCormack]?

Mr. DICKSTEIN. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, when is the gentleman going to have that calendar called? We have reported some important bills we would like to dispose of.

Mr. McCORMACK. As the gentleman knows, the Private Calendar has been called more times during the past year than it has been in many sessions. only business for next week, if I may take a little time, will be the District appropriation bill, which will be called up either on Monday or Tuesday. Of course, bills on the Consent Calendar will be called on Monday. Calendar Wednesday business will be dispensed with. I know of no other business now for next week. I do not want to say definitely now that there will be no other business, but I know of none at this time. In connection with bills on the Private Calendar, of course, the Private Calendar was called

Mr. DICKSTEIN. There are a number of omnibus bills which we have committed ourselves to report out.

Mr. McCORMACK. Yes. Mr. DICKSTEIN. They will take up

the best part of the day.

Mr. McCORMACK. I would prefer not to have an omnibus bill taken up next week.

Mr. DICKSTEIN. That is agreeable to me except I would like to some day some time next month.

Mr. McCORMACK. I assure the gentleman that I have it definitely in mind. The gentleman will agree, of course, that the calling of the bills on the Private Calendar has not been dispensed with very much during the present session of Congress

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Massachusetts [Mr. McCormack]?

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous special order of the House, the gentleman from New York [Mr. Dick-STEIN] is recognized for 10 minutes.

ENLISTMENT OF CERTAIN ALIENS IN THE CAUSE OF THE UNITED STATES

Mr. DICKSTEIN. Mr. Speaker, in connection with the celebration of the anniversary of the Constitution of Poland, may I call to the attention of the House that there are a number of aliens who have entered this country since war broke out and even before-men outstanding in their particular fields of endeavor and vocations; men who are raring to go-anxious to put their skill, their knowledge, and their very lives at the disposal of the democracies. These men are begging to be allowed to join the armed forces of the United States. In a number of instances to which my attention has been called, however, they are encountering difficulties just because they are aliens—and in some cases classified "enemy aliens."

There are thousands and thousands of aliens who have no more use for the Nazi or Fascist forms of government than any one of us. These people would gladly become citizens immediately, if they had the opportunity, but under our statutes they have to wait a period of 5 years. They are ready and willing to fight on the American side-for the ideals and ideas for which they have suffered immeasurable miseries and heartaches. The Army has been a little slow in absorbing a lot of these men who have very valuable qualifications, as far as knowledge of foreign languages and enemy territory is concerned.

According to a little survey I have made during the past few years, among this group of aliens there are outstanding scientists, doctors, chemists, engineers, and other men who fled the Axis countries, whose families and friends have been destroyed by the Axis powers, whose property has been confiscated and whose women have been raped. Their hate of the Axis partners and everything they stand for is based upon personal injury and suffering. people are eager to revenge their loved ones and to free the world of fascism and nazi-ism, the brutality of which they have experienced themselves. Why do we brand the victims of oppression enemy aliens? Why do we humiliate these poor people who have escaped the persecution of the Axis countries and have come here to join forces with all who are willing to fight against the ruthless destroyers of civilization? I believe that we ought to remove the stigma of being classified "enemy aliens" from many lawabiding, sincere, and fine people whose only "crime" is that they were born in a

country that had the misfortune to fall easy prey to a Hitler or Mussolini. We should make use of their talents and training to fight our common enemy. They know the countries fighting against us, they know the areas, and they would be a great help to our American soldiers. I have every reason to believe they would make good soldiers. They are ready and willing to lay down their lives for this country and for democracy because they, better than anyone else, know what our fate would be if the dark forces of barbarism and destruction were to be victorious.

The Army and Navy may fear that they may be fifth columnists or sixth columnists, but believe me when I say to you, after the survey I have made, I am more than satisfied that there are hundreds and thousands of these fine men who are ready to serve and die for this country.

I appeal to the American people, I say to the Army and to the Navy, that all these technical restrictions now in effect should be relaxed, as we need man-This is not a war like the first war. This is going to be the war of wars for some time to come and we hope that it will end all wars for all time to come. We need all the manpower, we need all the brains, we need all the technical help we can get, and we have it right in our own country if we will only take advantage of these people, if we will only relax a number of technical regulations that have no place on our statute books at this time when we are fighting a desperate battle for the preservation of humanity and civilization.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous special order of the House, the gentleman from Michigan [Mr. Woodruff] is recognized for 10 minutes.

IT IS THE PEOPLE WHO MUST WIN

Mr. WOODRUFF of Michigan. Mr. Speaker, the country has learned somewhat more of the stark facts of the war in which we are engaged from the message sent by the President to the Congress and its further amplification in the President's radio address.

We now know the men of our Army and Navy are fighting all over the world. We now positively know what we have suspected for a considerable time—that the American people must pay the price and must make the sacrifices in "blood and sweat and tears," to use Churchill's words, and in "hard work and sorrow and blood," to use Mr. Roosevelt's words.

There was proposed by the President in his seven-point program to be carried out in the battle against threatened inflation, a plan which will give to the people great encouragement. It will achieve nothing except disheartenment and bewilderment unless he sees to it that the seven points of the economic policy are vigorously, intelligently, and honestly carried out. Unless it is, inflation will proceed apace and the people of this country will be ruined by runaway prices.

If it was not apparent before, it must now be plain to the American people that it is not the President, nor the Congress, nor the Army and Navy alone who can be relied upon to win this war. It is the people themselves who, as always, must not only do the fighting and the dying and the paying, but who must put the great, all-decisive strength of public determination behind the program enunciated by the President to combat inflation, and behind the efforts now being poured into production and delivery of the machines and munitions of war to the armies and navies of the Allies.

The information revealed in the President's message to the Congress, and in his radio address to the Nation, is not new, but seems so to the Nation because heretofore the question of the worldwide distribution of our fighting forces in the Army and the Navy has been largely a matter of rumor rather than of official declaration.

Whatever the feelings of the American people may be so far as the "blood and sweat and tears" involved are concerned, there must be, if we are to keep faith with our men in the fighting lines, one unified determination on the part of all the American people to see to it that the equipment and supplies necessary for our fighting forces and those of our Allies to achieve decisive victory are delivered to them in sufficient quantities in time to do the job. Anything short of that, no matter what the promises, no matter what the excuses, no matter what the conditions may be, would be a base betrayal of the boys we have sent out to risk their lives, their bodies, and their minds in the service of their country. The time has passed for any excuses. The time has passed for allowing anything whatsoever, any interest or any accidents, to interfere with the production and delivery of the machines and munitions of war.

The American people must and will keep before them, also, this further fact:

Just as it would be a base betrayal of our fighting forces for us at home to fail to produce and to deliver to them the fighting equipment and supplies they so desperately need, so, too, it would be a base betrayal of those fighting forces if we at home permit, in their absence on their missions to other parts of the world, our American way of government and our American way of life, to be overeither through inflation or turned through the machinations of any misguided groups who might believe they could improve upon our American constitutional form of government, our American free enterprise system of economy, or our American liberties in our American way of life. The men of the American fighting forces when they return home must find that kind of a United States of America they went out to defend. The American people must see to that. The American people will see to that.

Meanwhile there must be no profiteering by producer employer, worker, speculator, or officeholder, and that means profiteering in money, in property, or in political or economic power. The one sole aim and purpose of the Nation must be to win this war decisively and as quickly as it can be done, while keeping our American Government and our American system of economy and of life

intact. Anybody who pursues any other course, with any other idea than this, is a traitor to his people and his Nation.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Idaho [Mr. White] is recognized for 20 minutes.

MONETARY POLICY

Mr. WHITE. Mr. Speaker, today is pay day in the Capitol of the United States. It is very interesting to go into the office of the Sergeant at Arms of the House and then go over to the disbursing office of the Senate and see all the young folks, the clerical help employed about the Capitol, lined up and drawing down their pay, cashing their Government checks, and being handed out cash for those checks.

When we stop to think of the operations of the Treasury's fiscal policy, it is interesting to see that most of the money that is being paid to these folks, being paid to the Senators, and being . paid to the Members of the House, is silver certificates, in \$1, \$5, and \$10 denominations, that are redeemable in silver dollars, silver that is costing the Government, so the magazine Time said in an article I have just read, and that is borne out by the record of the Treasury, about 50 cents. In other words, instead of its costing the Government \$10,000 a year to maintain one Congressman in Washington, it costs the Government in actual cash about \$5,000 a year. That is a very interesting situation.

Today when the Sergeant at Arms was himself on hand I took occasion to draw a little check in the amount of \$16 in drawing down some of the pay that is due me for serving here in this capacity. The Sergeant at Arms handed me a brand-spanking-new \$1 bill, a \$5 bill, and a \$10 bill. On each one of these bills is inscribed "Redeemable in silver."

It was such an interesting fact, and so well refutes the constant barrage of propaganda put out against the Government's silver policy, that I took occasion to have a photographer on hand, a gentleman with a flash bulb and a camera. to take a picture and give ocular evidence that there was such a policy in operation by the Government, and that we are being paid with money "as good as gold," these silver certificates, flowing through the channels of trade and business, in every pocket and every till in this country where money is handled, certificates on which the Government is making a profit and on which the good people of the United States are making a big saving every day in the form of interest that they would have to pay if this money were retired and replaced by Federal Reserve notes.

Mr. Speaker, I want to refute this specious propaganda that is being put out against one of the best pieces of money ever invented, the American silver dollar, a monetary unit which we got from the good people of Poland, as I mentioned in my remarks a few minutes ago. I take this occasion to answer a pronouncement by 65 members of the Economists' National Committee on Monetary Policy. They have just made a pronouncement under date of April 20 against the silver-

purchase program of the Government and against this kind of money, on which the Government is making a profit and on which the people are making a huge saving in interest.

Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that in connection with my remarks there may appear in toto, with all the signatures attached, this communication from the Economists' National Committee on Monetary Policy, and also the tables giving the seigniorage on silver, taken from page 80, March Bulletin of the Treasury Department.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentle-

man from Idaho?

There was no objection.

Mr. WHITE. Mr. Speaker, the statement of the Economists' National Committee on Monetary Policy is as follows:

SIXTY-FIVE MEMBERS URGE CONGRESS TO REPEAL THE SILVER PURCHASE ACT OF JUNE 19, 1934, AND THE DOMESTIC SILVER PURCHASE LAW OF JULY 6, 1939

APRIL 20, 1942.

We the undersigned members of the Economists' National Committee on Monetary Policy, again urge Congress to repeal the Silver Purchase Act of June 19, 1934, and the domestic silver purchase law of July 6, 1939.

The former has never been sound in principle or practice, the common arguments for it were neither valid nor admirable, and its results have in the main been harmful to both the United States and certain foreign countries.

The latter act has been an indefensible subsidy to the domestic producers of silver and has forced the Treasury to pay approximately twice the world market price for this domestic silver with the consequence that the Treasury has greatly overvalued the silver which it holds.

Both acts have disturbed the silver markets of the world, have drained silver from industry and other countries' treasuries to our Treasury vaults, and have been important factors in the expansion of our money sup-ply at a time when such expansion has been

unnecessary and undesirable.
It is gratifying to note that both Secretary of the Treasury Morgenthau and Chairman Eccles of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System are recommending the repeal of these laws. In January of this year, Secretary Morgenthau stated to the House Appropriations Committee that "So far as I am concerned, I will be glad to see Congress strike all of the silver legislation off the books." In the hearings before the Committee on Ways and Means of the House in March and at a press conference in February he again made similar statements. Chairman Eccles, in a letter to Senator ARTHUR H. VANDENBERG on March 30, this year, stated that his views on this matter are generally in accord with those expressed by Secretary Morgenthau. Chairman Eccles pointed out in this letter that he had held these views for several years, and added: "In view of the war situation, it is all the more urgent that the inflationary effects of the silver program be removed and that so far as possible the materials, machinery, and labor now devoted to silver production be utilized in the war effort."

On April 20, 1934, the executive committee of the Economists' National Committee on Monetary Policy issued a statement opposing the enactment of the pending Silver Purchase Act of 1934.

Substantial majorities of the full commit-tee signed pronouncements in opposition to the Government's silver program as follows:

May 25, 1936: Recommending abandonment of the silver-purchase policy and that Treasury silver be disposed of as advantage-

ously as possible;
June 14, 1937: Advocating repeal of the silver-purchase program;

December 28, 1937: Urging, among other things, repeal of the Silver Purchase Act of

December 23, 1938: Urging that Government subsidy to silver interests be ended and that the Silver Purchase Act be repealed:

May 15, 1939: Urging enactment of the Townsend bill repealing the Silver Purchase Act of 1934; and

September 30, 1941: Recommending, among other things, repeal of the Silver Purchase Act of 1934 and of the act of July 6, 1939, providing for the purchase of domestic silver at 71.11 cents per ounce-approximately twice the market price.

We agree with Secretary Morgenthau's statement of January that if the Government's silver program were repealed "* * * it would be helpful at this time. I

think it would be well received."
Signed: Eugene E. Agger, Rutgers University; Benjamin M. Anderson, Jr., University of California in L. A.; Charles C. Arbuthnot, Western Reserve University; Don C. Barrett, Haverford College; Benjamin H. Beckhart, Columbia University; James Washington Bell, Northwestern University; Ernest L. Bogart, University of Illinois; Fred-erick A. Bradford, Lehigh University; William A. Brown, Jr., Brown University; J. Ray Cable, Washington University; Wilbur P. Calhoun, University of Cincinnati; Neil Carothers, Lehigh University; Edward H. Collins, New York Herald Tribune; Garfield V. Cox, The University of Chicago; William W. Cumberland, Wellington & Co., New York; Charles A. Dice, The Ohio State University; D. W. Ellsworth, E. W. Axe & Co., Inc., New York; William D. Ennis, Stevens Institute of Technology; Fred R. Fairchild, Yale University; Clyde Olin Fisher, Wesleyan University; J. Anderson Fitzgerald, The University of Texas; Herbert F. Fraser, Swarthmore College; Roy L. Garis, Vanderbilt University; Arthur D. Gayer, Queens College; Harry D. Gideonse, Brooklyn College; Earl J. Hamilton, Duke University, with reservations as to second paragraph; Lewis H. Haney, New York University; F. C. Harwood, American Institute for Economic Research; Hudson B. Hastings, Yale University; William F. Hauhart, Southern Methodist University; Frederick C. Hicks, University of Cincinnati; John Thom Holdsworth, The University of Miami; Edwin W. Kemmerer, Princeton University; William H. Kiekhofer, The University of Wisconsing. The University of Wisconsin; David Kinley, University of Illi-David Kinley, University of Illinois; William H. Kniffin, Bank of Rockville Centre Trust Co., Long Island; Frederic E. Lee, University of Illinois; J. F. Leonard, University of Southern California; James D. Magee, New York University; Ar-Magee, New York University; Arthur W. Marget, University of Minnesota; A. Wilfred May, New York City; Mark C. Mills, Indiana University; Margaret G. Myers, Vassar College; Melchior Palyi, The University of Wisconshi; Ergert Misconshi; Ergert Misc nest Minor Patterson, University of Pennsylvania; Clyde W. Phelps, University of Chattanooga; Charles L. Prather, Syracuse University; Howard H. Preston, University of Washington; Leland Rex Robinson, 76 Beaver Street, New York; R. G. Rodkey, University of Michigan; Olin Glenn Saxon, Yale University; Joseph A. Schumpeter, Harvard University; Walter E. Spahr, New York University; Oliver M. W. Sprague, Harvard University; William H. Steiner, Brooklyn College; Charles S. Tippetts, Mercersburg Academy; Alvin S. Tostlebe, The College of Wooster; James B. Trant, Louisiana State University; Rufus S. Tucker, Westfield, N. J.; Russell Weisman, Western Reserve University; William O. Weyforth, The Johns Hopkins University; Nathaniel R. Whitney, The Procter & Gamble Co., Cincin-nati; Edward Wiest, University of Procter Kentucky; Max Winkler, College of the City of New York; Ivan Wright, Brooklyn College.

Mr. Speaker, for the information of the Members of the House and especially for the enlightenment of all these 65 economists, there is inserted below a table appearing on page 80 of the March Bulletin of the Treasury Department, containing the record of seigniorage made on the silver purchases under the Silver Pur-chase Act of 1934.

Seigniorage on silver

[Cumulative from Jan. 1, 1934-In millions of dollars]

End of calendar year or month	Seigniorage on silver and minor coins	Sources of seignforage on silver bullion revalued ¹							
		Miscella- neous silver (including silver bul- lion held June 14, 1934)	Newly mined silver (proclama- tion of Dec. 21, 1933)	Newly mined silver (act of July 6, 1939)	Silver Purchase Act of June 19, 1934	National- ized silver (proclama- tion of Aug. 9, 1934)	Total seigniorage on silver revalued	Potential seigniorage on silver bullion at cost in general fund ²	
1934 1935 1936 1937 1938 1939 1940	4, 5 18, 5 46, 1 63, 7 69, 5 91, 7 122, 2 182, 1	48. 7 48. 7 48. 7 48. 7 48. 7 48. 7 48. 7 48. 7	0. 4 16. 8 36. 0 58. 0 74. 9 87. 3 87. 6 87. 6	4, 2 25, 7 48, 3	51, 1 226, 2 302, 7 366, 7 457, 7 530, 7 562, 7 580, 4	28. 5 34. 5 34. 7 34. 7 34. 7 34. 7 34. 7 34. 7	128, 7 326, 2 422, 1 508, 1 616, 0 705, 6 759, 4 799, 7	132, 6 274, 9 397, 5 541, 6 758, 8 950, 6 1, 055, 8 1, 089, 0	
January February	188, 5 192, 4	48. 7 48. 7	87. 6 87. 6	50. 2 51. 6	581. 3 582. 1	34. 7 34. 7	802, 5 804, 7	1, 094. 2 1, 096. 3	

¹ These items represent the difference between the cost value and the monetar—value of silver bullion revalued and held to secure silver certificates.

2 The figures in this column are not cumulative; as the amount of bullion held changes, the potential seigniorage thereon changes.

Basis: Daily Treasury Statements.

Mr. Speaker, what I want to know is, what is it worth to the banks to keep silver money out of circulation? And in connection with that I should like to know what is the profit to the Government and the saving to the American people in using silver money in transacting business?

Now I am going to read you my reply to these eminent economists of the leading institutions of higher education in this country, analyzing and making response to this communication put out to the country, the President, and the Congress for their guidance:

To the Members of the Economists' National Committee on Monetary Policy:

GENTLEMEN: In considering the recommendations of your committee of 65 economists to repeal the Silver Purchase Act of June 19, 1934, and the domestic silver-purchase law of July 6, 1939, made in your communication of April 20, presumably addressed to the President, the Congress, and the country, you state: "Its results have been harmful to both the United States and certain foreign countries." How has it been harmful? Do you seek to imply that \$2,024,000,000 in the form of silver dollars and silver certificates, \$1, \$5, and \$10 bills, redeemable in silver dollars now in circulation in the channels of trade and business, is harmful to our national economy or to the American people?

For fear that some of the details of the Treasury fiscal operations may have escaped the notice of the members of your economists' committee, and, to be more specific, let me point out to you, gentlemen, first, that on the day your communication was issued, April 20, there were \$1,962,101,367 in silver certificates outstanding, which, as you know, are redeemable in silver dollars (April 20 daily statement, U. S. Treasury), and in addition there were 62,327,405 silver dollars in circulation (March 31 Treasury Statement, United States money in circulation) -money issued by the Government interest free and in use throughout the country in handling the Nation's business—the kind of money that your members have steadily opposed; money which you say is harmful and should never have been issued, and now could best be replaced by unredeemable Federal Reserve currency, the other kind of money which business or the Government would be required to borrow from the bank at interest to get it issued and must continue to pay interest to the loaning banks to keep it in circulation.

In making your recommendations, it would be interesting to know if the members of your committee have calculated the difference in cost on the item of interest between the expense of using Federal Reserve money and the use of interest-free silver money to which your members object so strenuously, or in this connection has your committee considered the profits accruing to the Government in purchasing domestic and foreign silver and revaluing it and putting it into circulation as money in paying current Gov-ernment expense. For fear that by some mischance all of your 65 members may have overlooked some of these small items, there is presented the record of seigniorage from the report of the Secretary of the Treasury (Treasury Bulletin, March 1942) which I submit for your files and infomation in event you desire to have the actual facts, to wit:

On page 80 of this bulletin we find the following totals of seigniorage on silver:

(2) Total seigniorage on silver revalued less minor coins (January 1, 1934, to February 28, 1942), \$804,700,000;
(2) The total seigniorage on minor coinage

(2) The total seigniorage on minor coinage (January 1, 1934, to February 28, 1942) \$192,-400,000, which represents the actual gain to

the Government on this silver by revaluing it and putting it out as money.

it and putting it out as money. This still leaves the Government with a surplus of unused silver amounting to 1,364,-566,969.7 ounces on hand in the general fund, as appears on Treasury statement of even date with your communication (April 20), surplus silver that can be revalued and put into circulation as money under existing law in the form of silver certificates or silver dollars by the Secretary of the Treasury amounting to \$1,764,288,605.24 when revalued for use as money.

By consulting the Treasury statement, you will find that this silver has been taken into consideration by the Treasury in computing the seigniorage as appears in the last column of the table on page 80 of the March Treasury bulletin, headed "Potential Seigniorage on Silver Bullion at Cost in General Fund," amounting to a total of \$1,096,300,000. When you add up these three seigniorage items, which is the difference between the cost of the silver to the Government as bullion and its money value when issued by the Treasury in paying Government expense, you have a grand total of \$2,093,400,000 seigniorage, making a tidy profit of over \$2,000,000,000, which has and will accrue to the Government if the Treasury will use all the silver as money it has bought under the "objectionable" Silver Purchase Act since 1934. Leaving out the item of potential seigniorage: To date the record discloses the Government has made on the silver used as money, a total in seignior-age, amounting to \$997,000,000 and has, in addition, enough silver left over to make another \$1,096,300,000 in seigniorage as disclosed in the last Treasury bulletin, and you 65 eminent economists and educators in our leading institutions of learning object to our Government making this little profit in the present emergency, at a time when every monetary thread in the national financial fabric is strained to the utmost.

When you consider the cost in the form of interest the people would be required to pay by retiring the silver money now in use and replacing it with Federal Reserve notes, think of what your plan would add to the expense of doing business.

In order to determine the full amount that business has saved by our Government refusing to follow the money plan you have urged in your repeated recommendations, we must get the figures on silver in circulation year by year since the silver-purchase program went into effect in 1934 and calculate the interest on its equivalent in Federal Reserve money. I hope your committee will do that for your own information and the good of the American people.

In this time of emergency and mounting tax load on business what valid objection is there to issuing the balance of silver the Government has on hand as money and putting this currency into circulation in paying current Government expenses? Infla-tion. Your committee will say pointing to all the danger to our national economy and the disastrous effect on the living standards of labor-if we increase the volume of cashmoney of ultimate redemption in the channels of trade and business. If this is true, it may be that another fact has escaped the of all of your committee. If I may call this fact to your attention, the record discloses that on June 30, 1942, a little less than a year ago at a time when credit was vastly expanded in financing our nationaldefense program, there was Federal Reserve notes in circulation in the amount of \$7,-001,520,625, and at the same time there was 1,349,488,385.7 ounces of surplus and idle silver in the Treasury which the Secretary of the Treasury could have revalued and issued as money in paying the Government's current expenses. Now less than a year later on March 31 we find the Federal Reserve has increased its currency in circulation to \$9,-056,131,060, an increase of over \$2,000,000,000 and we still have the unused surplus silver left in the Treasury; to be exact the amount as of the date of your communication was 1,364,566,969.1 ounces. The money in circulation has been inflated all right but not by revaluing and using our idle silver. Money material which represents real profit to the Government now on hand and ready to be turned into \$1, \$5, and \$10 bills and put into circulation in paying Government expense just as is being done every day with the new silver that is coming in, these newly engraved silver certificates "as good as gold" being paid to the Members of Congress and their clerical help are eagerly accepted in transacting business everywhere when the Government employees put them in circulation.

In view of these incontrovertible facts and the heavy load of interest piling higher and higher on business everywhere, it is unfortunate, distressingly unfortunate, that the true situation and the real facts concerning the profits and the saving that the American people are deriving from their silver-purchase program is so confused, and so little understood, particularly by the educational leaders in this country. Surely in this critical hour when so much depends on the stability of national economy and the security of the monetary foundation on which both public and private credit must rest, the patriotism of our economist and educational leaders should direct their efforts first to understanding and presenting the true facts concerning money and then to advising and assisting those in charge of directing the affairs of our Government in establishing and maintaining a stronger national economy to safeguard the financial integrity of our Government and the business security of the American people by effecting any saving and obtaining any profits available in any quarter.

Gentlemen, be patriotic; lend your knowledge and your ability to your country; help the Members of Congress representing the people to right the financial ship of state and provide an equitable, workable, adequate monetary system to lift business and the American people out of this morass of debt and ease this crushing burden of interest and taxes.

Sincerely,

COMPTON I. WHITE, Member of Congress.

SPECIAL ORDER

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. Gore). Under previous order of the House, the gentleman from New York [Mr. Beiter] is recognized for 10 minutes.

Mr. BEITER. Mr. Speaker, on Monday, April 27, I made a statement on the floor of the House in which I said that present conditions required the total elimination of all considerations with regard to the St. Lawrence waterway and power project except those which have a bearing upon the probable effect which the approval of the St. Lawrence legislation would have on our war efforts.

In that statement I pointed out the drastically detrimental effect the project would have because of the diversion of essential manpower from our war needs.

On the following day my colleague and good friend the gentleman from Minnesota [Mr. PITTENGER], urged that the omnibus rivers and harbors bill be brought before the House and passed. In his statement he said among other things:

In the prosecution of this World War we need every transportation agency available.

* * * All of us better give consideration to the fact that we need all of these river and harbor improvements in connection with the

effort of America to win the World War. The menace of the U-boats off our shore has decided this matter.

* * everyone knows that there is an acute shortage of vessels at this time. Oil and gasoline for the eastern seaboard cannot be had because there are no boats to carry it from the production centers. Other agencies of transportation are inadequate.

And further-

As time goes on the need of this legislation becomes more and more real. It is needed as a part of our program for national defense and the winning of the World War.

Of course, everyone knows that the gentleman's special interest in the rivers and harbors bill arises from the fact that it contains provisions ratifying the St. Lawrence agreement with Canada, a project dear to his heart and one for the approval of which he has made a most gallant if futile fight.

I am happy to learn that the gentleman apparently agrees with my premise that such legislation, if it is to be seriously considered at all, must have at least some semblance of justification as a war meas-

ure.

I concede without reservation that in the prosecution of this war we need every transportation agency available, but the St. Lawrence waterway is not available and could not be made available if it were approved at once before 1946. This is not my own view of the matter. I think it would take longer, but the assumption is based upon the testimony of General Robins, who stated that with the "best of luck" and being granted full priorities, the project could be completed in 4 years. Even this optimistic forecast gives us no assurance that the waterway could be utilized for the purposes of navigation in 1946. Under the terms of the agreement with Canada, that country is not required to complete its portion of the waterway before December 31, 1948, and even that date may be extended if the exigencies of the war required it.

This point has been stated, reiterated, and stressed, both in and out of Congress and in the public press, for the past year, but up to the present time there is no indication that Canada would be agreeable to a change in the agreement which would accelerate the construction of the waterway so as to permit its use at an

earlier day.

Even if the St. Lawrence waterway as now proposed was completed and in operation at the present time, it could not and would not be used to relieve the shortage of vessels which now exists.

While many plans have been proposed and are now being considered to overcome the loss of tankers as a result of the depredations of U-boats in the Atlantic, no one has come forward as yet with the suggestion that it would be practical to undertake to transport petroleum and its products from Texas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, and other oil-producing States to the Atlantic seaboard by way of the circuitous, time-consuming and impractical St. Lawrence route. This, notwithstanding that at the present time, the Great Lakes and the St. Lawrence River can accommodate larger vessels than any other waterway that has been suggested as an alternative to the Gulf of Mexico and the Atlantic Ocean for that purpose.

The necessity for speed and more speed in supplying our allies and our own A. E. F. is being repeatedly emphasized. Ocean going vessels, which might be utilized in shipping war materials between the Great Lakes and overseas ports by way of the St. Lawrence are now being used exclusively on the sea. Even if the waterway were now a reality and not a mere plan and if the type of vessels that its 27-foot channels would accommodate, were available, it goes without saying that they would be used between our ocean ports and foreign destinations rather than operated through the slow. tortuous channels of the St. Lawrence River and the numerous locks and other channels of the seaway.

Who would argue that munitions and food should be held in transit for 6 days moving from Chicago to Montreal when they might be sent by rail to New York in less than 60 hours. No one in authority has yet said that there is a present or potential transportation shortage between the Great Lakes area and the At-

lantic seaboard.

Conceding momentarily, for the purpose of argument, that such delay would not be an important or persuasive factor, where would we get the vessels to operate this service?

As I pointed out to the Congress in a statement made on April 9, 1942, and found on page A1355 of the Appendix of the Congressional Record, the Maritime Commission has contracted for the completion of our cargo-ship building program calling for 2,300 ships by the end of 1943. None of these boats is the type that could be used advantageously in Great Lakes-St. Lawrence world-wide movement.

It the St. Lawrence waterway were to be completed according to present plans, it could not accommodate ships with a salt-water draft of more than 24 feet. While there is to be found in the testimony concerning the St. Lawrence some slight difference of opinion on this subject, I believe that my statement is entirely conservative and is supported by the great weight of authoritative opinion.

For its substantiation, I call your attention to a statement to be found in the Army engineers' report on one of the other projects in the Rivers and Harbors bill. The statement was made with respect to a project about which there is no controversy and therefore no incentive for argument on the subject. On page 15 of House Document No. 215, Seventy-sixth Congress, concerning improvements of the mouth of the Mississippi River, the Army engineers state:

Three feet of water is desirable under the keel of a ship for proper handling.

The oceangoing cargo vessels now being built for the Maritime Commission range in draft from 37 feet 4 inches to 45 feet 6 inches. Even though it may be argued that these vessels could enter and leave the Great Lakes partially loaded, no one will seriously contend, when shipping is our principal bottleneck, that they should not be used to their fullest capacity or that shipments should be delayed by requiring them to enter the Great Lakes for Cargo.

No one in charge of production or transportation for war purposes has as yet said, so far as my knowledge extends, that the transportation features of the proposed St. Lawrence waterway would in the slightest degree, even if they were available, contribute one iota to our war efforts. Nor do I anticipate that anyone qualified by experience to speak on the subject, would make such a rash assertion. Certainly no such statement could be expected from the man who has been chosen to supervise our all-out efforts to thwart those who would place the yoke of nazi-ism upon our shoulders.

Mr. Donald Nelson has been quoted repeatedly as accentuating the need for unlimited speed in our production of the necessary materials to win the war. Speed in transportation, to move those materials to the many far-flung points where they are so desperately needed is just as essential as speed in their ac-

quirement.

I have just come into possession of a transcript of a conference of business paper editors and publishers with War Production Board officials held in Washington on February 13, 1942. This transcript entitled "Converting Industry" has been issued by the War Production Board. In it, Mr. Nelson is quoted as having said to the editors:

We are expected to build a great armament program, to make up in a year or two—not more than 2 years—for all that the aggressor nations have been doing during a period of 10 years, and to build an armament program that will not alone meet their rate of production, but also make up for the reserves which they have built up during this period of time because of the determination and the will they have had to get the job done. * * *

We have to prevent now, not next year, an enemy from keeping on extending to a point where it may make it absolutely impossible for us to win this war. I think today we are really face to face, and looking it right squarely in the face, that we, great nation that we are, one of the greatest, of course, of all time, all throughout history, may not be able to win this war. * * *

Every weapon that we produce today or to-

Every weapon that we produce today or tomorrow is worth 10 that we are going to produce in 1943. Every airplane that we produce today is worth I don't know how many times one that we are going to produce in 1943. This 1942 is the critical year of the existence of this United States.

My good friend from Minnesota may be willing—though I do not think for a moment that he is—to have our most important, if not our only important, job interupted by the diversion from our war efforts of the men, money, and materials necessary to dig a ditch along the international border so that conceivably, in 1946 or thereafter, ocean vessels may enter the harbors of the Great Lakes in which he is so much interested.

If the gentleman from Minnesota has in mind the further utilization of Great Lakes shipbuilding facilities, I join with him most heartily in that suggestion, but, as I pointed out to this House on April 9, the shipyards in the Great Lakes are now building merchant and naval vessels in substantial numbers.

In addition to the 16 ore carriers to be built for the Maritime Commission, according to Marine News, it had on order or under construction prior to March 1, 8 coastal tankers, 18 coastal cargo ships, 9 coastal steamers, and 14 tugs. There are still unemployed facilities in the Lakes for the building of many types of vessels needed for war purposes, and these may be used if the Navy and the Maritime Commission choose to use them.

While the gentleman from Minnesota made no special reference to the St. Lawrence project in his speech of April 28, we all know how dear to his heart that particular project is. But the gentleman goes much beyond the St. Lawrence waterway. He endorses the entire omnibus rivers and harbors bill as being "needed as a part of our program for national defense and the winning of the World War."

Mr. Speaker, of the 236 projects in the rivers and harbors bill, no more than 36 of them bear any approval on the part of those in charge of our war efforts as having any relationship to national defense.

The gentleman has pointed the way to the accomplishment whatever may be necessary to protect the interest of this country insofar as river and harbor developments are concerned, when he referred to the manner in which provision has already been made by Congress for the building of the new Soo Canal, one of the projects originally included in the omnibus rivers and harbors bill.

If there are other projects in the bill necessary or desirable to improve the position of this country in its war on our aggressors, let us bring those measures forward, consider them and pass them, but let us not beguile ourselves with the illusion that this is the proper

time to consider whether "mud-creek" or other boondoggling and unnecessary improvements should be seriously considered.

I am happy in the thought, supported by a strong conviction, that the views of my friend from Minnesota are not shared by the great majority of my colleagues in the House.

Many advocates of the St. Lawrence seaway contend the project is necessary in order that ships, needed in the war, might be built on the Great Lakes. Marine News of March 1942 published a list reporting a ship construction record. I include the list for the information of the House and at the same time to point out to the proponents of the seaway, that at the present time, a full program of combat ships is now in full progress.

Ship-construction record—Great Lakes
[From Marine News, March 1942]

Company	Plant	Num- ber	Length	Character	Built for—
American Ship Building Co	Loraine	8	220	Mine sweepers	U. S. Navy.
		2	614	Bulk freighters	Pittsburgh Steamshin Co.
		6	605	do	U.S. Maritime Commission
Barnes Duluth Ship Building Co	Duluth, Minn	8	212	Coastal tankers	. Do.
Burger Boat Co	Manitowoc, Wis	6	135	Wood mine sweepers	U.S. Navy.
	The same	2	110	Wood subchasers	Do.
Walter Butler	Superior, Wis	18	250	Coastal cargo	U. S. Maritime Commission
Calumet Shipyard & Drydock Co	South Chicago, Ill	5	88	Tugs	Do.
Chicago Bridge & Iron Co	Chicago, Ill Benton Harbor, Mich	1	136	Floating drydock	U. S. Navy,
Dachel-Carter Shipbuilding Corporation	Benton Harbor, Mich	2	110	Mine sweepers	Do.
		1 4	100	Aircraft rescue	U. S. Army.
Defoe Boat & Marine Works	Bay City, Mich.	4	220	Mine sweepers	U. S. Navy.
Deloc Doar & Marine Works	Day City, Mich	20	165	Sub chasers	Do.
		1 4	132	Tugs (seagoing)	U. S. Maritime Commission
Erie Concrete & Supply Co	Erie, Pa	3	202	Steel freight lighters	U. S. Navy.
Foster Boat Works	Detroit Mich	2	110	Subchasers	Do.
Froemming Bros		4	185	Armored target ships	U. S. Army.
Gar Wood Industries	Marysville, Mich.		175	do	Do.
Globe Ship Building Co	Superior, Wis Detroit, Mich	5	185	Seagoing tugs	 U. S. Maritime Commission
Globe Ship Building CoGreat Lakes Engineering Co	Detroit, Mich	3	614	Bulk freighters	Pittsburgh Steamship Co.
Do	Ashtabula, Ohio	1 10	605	do	U. S. Maritime Commission
H. C. Grebe & Co	Chicago, Ill	2	135	Mine sweepers	U. S. Navv.
		5	65	Harbor tugs	. Do.
Hacker Poat Co	Mount Clemens, Mich		175	Armored target ships	
Inland Waterways Co., Inc.	Duluth, Minn	2	110	Wood subchasers	. U. S. Navy.
Lake Superior Ship Building Co	Superior, Wis	2	235	Fuel-oil barges	Do.
Manitowoc Ship Building Corporation	Manitowoc, Wis	. 10		Submarines	Do.
Marine Iron & Ship Building Co	Duluth, Minn	6	180 65	Coast Guard cutter	. U. S. Coast Guard.
Niagara Ship Building Co	Stundard Par Win	0	110	Harbor tugs	U. S. Navy.
Peterson Boat Works Robinson Marine Construction Co	Buffalo, N. Y. Sturgeon Bay, Wis. Benton Harbor	9	110	Subchasers.	Do.
Robinson Marine Construction Co	Denton Harbor	9	65	Wood subchasers	Coast and Geodetic Survey
		18	40-50	Launches.	U. S. Army.
Richardson Boat Co	North Tonawanda, N. Y.	47	36	Landing boats	U. S. Navy.
L. Smith Ship Building Co	Sturgeon Bay, Wis	6	165	Subchasers	Do.
was a summing to a		9	250	Coasting steamers	U. S. Maritime Commission
Stadium Yacht Basin	Cleveland, Ohio	8	135	Wood mine sweepers	U. S. Navy.
Sturgeon Bay Ship Building Drydock	Sturgeon Bay, Wis	4		Box boats	U. S. Army.
		3	150	Retrieving vessels	Do.
Toledo Ship Building Co	Toledo, Ohio	1	230	Coast Guard cutter	U. S. Coast Guard.
Zenith Dredge Co	Duluth	4	180	do	Do.

PERMISSION TO ADDRESS THE HOUSE

Mr. JONKMAN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to preced for 2 minutes and to revise and extend my remarks in the Record.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Michigan?

There was no objection.

Mr. JONKMAN. Mr. Speaker, there has been an alarming increase in unemployment at Grand Rapids, Mich., and the area covered by Kent and Ottawa Counties. in my home State, comprising the Fifth Congressional District thereof. My efforts have been and will continue to be toward doing everything possible to relieve the situation, which not only affects labor but manufacturing as well,

by securing war-production contracts for that city and the district.

On April 28 the Price Administrator issued a series of orders designating defense-rental areas, included in which is Grand Rapids, Mich. The order includes Kent and Ottawa Counties in the defense-rental area.

In the order I find the following statement:

The designated areas now are or will be the location of establishments of the armed forces of the United States or war-production industries.

The order further states that in the areas covered, including Grand Rapids, an increase in employment has taken place in most of the same and is about to take place in the other areas and that

most of the areas have been placed on the list of defense-housing areas in which builders may secure priority ratings on critical materials for residential construction.

I am now accumulating detailed data to present to the Government officials having jurisdiction with the view of, first, having Grand Rapids, Mich., designated as a defense-housing area; and second, to show the availability of ample office space to quarter some of the Federal agencies required to be transferred from Washington to other cities to provide quarters here for war-agency work.

It is therefore pleasing to note from the order of the Price Administrator that inasmuch as Kent and Ottawa Counties, Mich., are included in the same, warproduction industries will be located | therein.

EXTENSION OF REMARKS

Mr. KEFAUVER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that I may have permission to extend my own remarks in the RECORD, and to include a transcription of a "Buy a Bomber" campaign at Chattanooga.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Tennessee?

There was no objection.

SENATE BILL REFERRED

A bill of the Senate of the following title was taken from the Speaker's table and, under the rule, referred as fol-

S. 2404. An act to authorize officers and enlisted men of the armed forces of the United States to accept decorations, orders, medals, and emblems tendered them by governments of cobelligerent nations or other American republics and to create the decora-tions to be known as the Legion of Merit and the Medal for Merit; to the Committee on Military Affairs.

ADJOURNMENT

Mr. BEITER. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now adjourn.

The motion was agreed to; accordingly (at 2 o'clock and 46 minutes p. m.) the House adjourned to meet, in accordance with its previous order, on Monday, May 4, 1942, at 12 o'clock noon.

COMMITTEE HEARINGS

COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY

Subcommittee No. 3 of the Committee on the Judiciary will continue hearings on the following bills at 10 a. m., Friday, May 1, 1942, room 346, House Office Building:

H. R. 5218, to confer jurisdiction in the United States courts in cases involving work stoppages, and for other purposes;

H. R. 5259 and H. R. 6752, to confer jurisdiction in the United States courts in cases involving work stoppage for illegitimate and nonlabor purposes; and

H. R. 6872, to amend the act entitled "An act to protect trade and commerce against interference by violence, threats, coercion, or intimidation," approved June 18, 1934.

COMMITTEE ON INTERSTATE AND FOREIGN COMMERCE

The petroleum investigating subcommittee of the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce will hold a meeting at 10 a. m. on Monday, May 4, 1942, in connection with the investigation of petroleum.

There will be a meeting of the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce at 10 a.m. Tuesday, May 5, 1942.

Business to be considered: The hearing in connection with the Federal Communications Commission will be resumed on May 5 at 10 a. m.

COMMITTEE ON THE MERCHANT MARINE AND FISHERIES

The Committee on the Merchant Marine and Fisheries will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, May 5, 1942, at 10 a.m., on Senate Joint Resolution 130, to extend and amend certain emergency laws relating to the merchant marine, and for other purposes.

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES ON PRIVATE BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 2 of rule XIII, reports of committees were delivered to the Clerk for printing and reference to the proper calendar, as follows:

Mr. LESINSKI: Committee on Immigration and Naturalization. H. R. 4248. A bill for the relief of Helen Mary Nichols; without amendment (Rept. No. 2063). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House.

Mr. LESINSKI: Committee on Immigration

and Naturalization. H. R. 4249. A bill for the relief of William Frank Coman Nichols;

with amendment (Rept. No. 2064). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House.

Mr. RAMSAY: Committee on Immigration and Naturalization. H. R. 5819. A bill directing the Attorney General to record the lawful admittance for permanent residence of Vivian Chang; with amendment (Rept. No. 2065). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House.

PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 3 of rule XXII, public bills and resolutions were introduced and severally referred as follows:

By Mr. SPARKMAN:

H.R. 7029. A bill to amend the Soldiers' and Sailors' Civil Relief Act of 1940 to extend the relief and benefits provided therein to certain persons, to include certain additional proceedings and transactions therein, to provide further relief for persons in military service, to change certain insurance provisions thereof, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Military Affairs. By Mr. THILL:

H. Con. Res. 64. Concurrent resolution urging the Civil Aeronautics Authority immediately to institute an aircraft pilot and mechanics training program in high schools; to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign

PRIVATE BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 1 of rule XXII, private bills and resolutions were introduced and severally referred as follows:

By Mr. BARRY:

H. R. 7030. A bill for the relief of Mrs. Mary Daufkirch; to the Committee on Claims. H. R. 7031. A bill for the relief of Acting

First Sgt. David Schlesinger; to the Committee on Military Affairs.

By Mr. JONES:

H. R. 7032. A bill for the relief of the Custer Lumber Co.; to the Committee on Claims. By Mr. McGEHEE:

H.R. 7033. A bill for the relief of certain trial examiners of the National Labor Relations Board; to the Committee on Claims.

By Mr. NICHOLS: H.R. 7034. A bill for the relief of Roy Chandler; to the Committee on Military Affairs.

By Mr. WHELCHEL:

H. R. 7035. A bill for the relief of Garland Gailey, of Baldwin, Ga., and Mrs. Clara Mae Gailey, of Baldwin, Ga.; to the Committee on Claims

By Mrs. ROGERS of Massachusetts:

H. J. Res. 309. Joint resolution tendering the thanks of the American people and the Congress of the United States to Capt. Maude Davison and the other members of the United States Army Nurse Corps in the Phil-ippines; to the Committee on Military Affairs.

PETITIONS, ETC.

Under clause 1 of rule XXII, petitions and papers were laid on the Clerk's desk and referred as follows:

2742. By Mr. JONES: Petition of Dora A. States and 158 others, in support of Senate bill 860 as a contribution to a wholesale defense program; to the Committee on Military

2743. By Mr. ROLPH: resolution of the California Society of the Sons of the American Revolution, San Francisco, Calif., relative to the California State Guard; to the Committee on Military Affairs.

SENATE

FRIDAY, MAY 1, 1942

(Legislative day of Thursday, April 30, 1942)

The Senate met at 12 o'clock noon, on the expiration of the recess.

The Chaplain, the Very Reverend ZeBarney T. Phillips, D. D., offered the following prayer:

Almighty God, of whom are all things and for whom all things exist, teach us to be as children in our Father's house. where we are thankful for every gift of Thy bestowal. We thank Thee for the glow of battle when we fight to win; for the glow of satisfaction as we worthily attain our goal; for the bliss that comes from doing always that which should be done, no matter what the difficulties, and, finally, we bless Thee for the joy that comes to us when we share our best for others' good.

May we ne'er be exercised with the mere question of what we shall eat or drink, or wherewithal we shall be clothed, but let this mind be in us to be rid of all selfishness and pride, that, with clean hands and pure hearts, we may do our

Make us glad with that summer in the heart which faith creates and love sustains; give us the gladsomeness of Christ. who, despite His short and troubled life. was nonetheless the happiest of men, whose joy o'erflowed on everyone with whom He came in contact. Open Thou to us the vision of a kingdom not from hence, regions of power beyond the range of ordinary sight; inspire us with those far-off influences that give new meaning to our Nation's cause and lend new beauty to each act of consecration to that cause. We ask it in the Name of Jesus Christ, our Lord and Saviour. Amen.

THE JOURNAL

On request of Mr. BARKLEY, and by unanimous consent, the reading of the Journal of the proceedings of the calendar day Thursday, April 30, 1942, was dispensed with, and the Journal was approved.

CALL OF THE ROLL

Mr. HILL. I suggest the absence of a

The VICE PRESIDENT. The clerk will call the roll.